ประสิทธิภาพของการตอบกลับในระบบการเรียนรู้แบบปรับเหมาะ เพื่อการพัฒนาทักษะการเขียนวิชาการของนักศึกษามหาวิทยาลัย

The Effectiveness of Feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems for the Improvement of University Students' Academic Writing Skills

Dr. Thanaset Chavangklang

Asst. Prof. Pitchayapa Chavangklang

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University

Fiscal Year 2024

December 2024

ประสิทธิภาพของการตอบกลับในระบบการเรียนรู้แบบปรับเหมาะ เพื่อการพัฒนาทักษะการเขียนวิชาการของนักศึกษามหาวิทยาลัย

The Effectiveness of Feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems for the Improvement of University Students' Academic Writing Skills

Dr. Thanaset Chavangklang

Asst. Prof. Pitchayapa Chavangklang

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University

Fiscal Year 2024

December 2024

Acknowledgment

The research titled “The Effectiveness of Feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems for the Improvement of University Students' Academic Writing Skills” has been successfully completed with the generous support of research funding from the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, for the fiscal year 2023. The research team would like to express our heartfelt gratitude to all individuals and organizations who contributed to the success of this study, as follows:

We extend our sincere thanks to the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, not only for providing financial support but also for facilitating various aspects of the research process, including access to resources, facilities, and expert guidance throughout the study.

We would like to thank the co-researchers who collaborated closely in every phase of the research, from identifying research topics, designing methodologies, and collecting data to analyzing results and preparing the final report, ensuring the research was conducted to the highest standard.

Our appreciation also goes to the students who participated in the study, the primary target group whose cooperation in completing activities, tests, and surveys was crucial in achieving the research objectives.

We are also deeply grateful to the faculty members of the Bachelor of Arts Program in Business English for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout the research journey, providing both academic and moral assistance.

Finally, the research team would like to express our profound gratitude to all who contributed in any capacity to the successful completion of this research. Thank you very much.

The Research Team

Research Title	The Effectiveness of Feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems for the Improvement of University Students' Academic Writing Skills
Researcher	Dr. Thanaset Chavangklang and Asst. Prof. Pitchayapa Chavangklang
Institute	Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University
Year	2024

Abstract

This research, titled “Enhancing Academic Writing Skills and Student Satisfaction through Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS),” investigates the effectiveness of ALS in improving academic writing skills and fostering student satisfaction in a university context. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study collected data from 70 participants through pre-tests, post-tests, and Likert scale surveys, complemented by thematic analysis of qualitative responses. The results indicate significant improvements in writing skills and high levels of satisfaction with the ALS intervention.

Quantitative findings showed that the mean score for academic writing skills increased from 54.05 (pre-test) to 78.56 (post-test), representing a statistically significant enhancement ($p < 0.001$, Cohen’s $d = 1.35$). Writing knowledge scores improved from a mean of 41.90 to 55.77 (Cohen’s $d = 0.94$), while writing quality scores rose markedly from 12.15 to 22.79 (Cohen’s $d = 1.44$), demonstrating substantial gains in grammar, coherence, and critical thinking skills. Student satisfaction, measured through Likert scale surveys, achieved a mean score of 4.36 out of 5 (Cohen’s $d = 2.02$), with feedback quality receiving the highest rating of 4.43.

Qualitative analysis revealed that students valued the immediate and specific feedback provided by the ALS, which clarified errors and guided improvement. Key themes included enhanced engagement, motivation, and a sense of autonomy in learning. These results affirm the potential of ALS to address diverse student needs,

providing personalized learning pathways and fostering critical academic competencies.

The findings align with existing literature on adaptive learning, offering theoretical contributions to understanding the role of feedback in skill development. Practical implications include recommendations for integrating ALS in higher education, focusing on usability, feedback mechanisms, and scalability to support diverse learning contexts.

Keywords: Adaptive Learning Systems, Academic Writing, Feedback Mechanisms, Student Satisfaction

หัวข้อวิจัย ประสิทธิภาพของการตอบกลับในระบบการเรียนรู้แบบปรับเหมาะเพื่อการพัฒนาทักษะการเขียนวิชาการของนักศึกษามหาวิทยาลัย

ชื่อผู้วิจัย ดร. ธนเศรษฐ ชะวางกลาง และ ผศ. พิชญาภา ชะวางกลาง

หน่วยงาน หลักสูตรภาษาอังกฤษธุรกิจ คณะมนุษยศาสตร์และสังคมศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยราชภัฏนครราชสีมา

ปีที่ทำวิจัยเสร็จ 2567

บทคัดย่อ

การวิจัยเรื่อง “การพัฒนาทักษะการเขียนเชิงวิชาการและความพึงพอใจของนักศึกษาผ่านระบบการเรียนรู้เชิงปรับตัว (Adaptive Learning Systems: ALS)” มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษาประสิทธิภาพของ ALS ในการพัฒนาทักษะการเขียนเชิงวิชาการและเสริมสร้างความพึงพอใจของนักศึกษา การวิจัยใช้วิธีการวิจัยแบบผสม (Mixed-Methods Research) โดยเก็บข้อมูลจากผู้เข้าร่วมการวิจัยจำนวน 70 คน ผ่านการทดสอบก่อนเรียนและหลังเรียน (Pre-test และ Post-test) แบบสอบถามระดับความพึงพอใจ (Likert Scale) และการวิเคราะห์เชิงเนื้อหา (Thematic Analysis) ผลการวิจัยชี้ให้เห็นถึงพัฒนาการที่ชัดเจนในทักษะการเขียนและระดับความพึงพอใจที่สูงต่อ ALS

ผลการวิเคราะห์เชิงปริมาณพบว่าคะแนนเฉลี่ยด้านทักษะการเขียนเชิงวิชาการเพิ่มขึ้นจาก 54.05 (ก่อนเรียน) เป็น 78.56 (หลังเรียน) โดยมีนัยสำคัญทางสถิติ ($p < 0.001$, ค่า Cohen’s $d = 1.35$) คะแนนด้านความรู้เกี่ยวกับการเขียนเพิ่มขึ้นจาก 41.90 เป็น 55.77 (Cohen’s $d = 0.94$) ขณะที่คะแนนด้านคุณภาพการเขียนเพิ่มขึ้นอย่างมากจาก 12.15 เป็น 22.79 (Cohen’s $d = 1.44$) ซึ่งสะท้อนถึงพัฒนาการในด้านไวยากรณ์ ความเชื่อมโยง และการคิดเชิงวิพากษ์ ความพึงพอใจของ

นักศึกษาที่วัดผ่านแบบสอบถามมีคะแนนเฉลี่ยอยู่ที่ 4.36 จาก 5 (Cohen's d = 2.02) โดยด้านคุณภาพของข้อเสนอแนะ (Feedback) ได้คะแนนสูงสุดที่ 4.43

ผลการวิเคราะห์เชิงคุณภาพพบว่านักศึกษาให้ความสำคัญกับข้อเสนอแนะที่ทันเวลาและเฉพาะเจาะจงที่ระบบ ALS มอบให้ ซึ่งช่วยให้เกิดความเข้าใจและพัฒนาการที่ชัดเจน หัวข้อสำคัญที่ได้จากการวิเคราะห์เนื้อหา ได้แก่ การเพิ่มพูนความสนใจ ความกระตือรือร้น และความเป็นอิสระในการเรียนรู้ ผลการวิจัยยืนยันว่า ALS มีศักยภาพในการตอบสนองความต้องการที่หลากหลายของผู้เรียน ช่วยเสริมสร้างความสามารถเชิงวิชาการ และสนับสนุนการพัฒนาทักษะเชิงวิพากษ์ได้อย่างมีประสิทธิภาพ

ผลการวิจัยสอดคล้องกับวรรณกรรมที่เกี่ยวข้องเกี่ยวกับการเรียนรู้เชิงปรับตัว และยังมีส่วนช่วยขยายความเข้าใจในเชิงทฤษฎีเกี่ยวกับบทบาทของ ALS ในการพัฒนาทักษะการเขียน ข้อเสนอเชิงปฏิบัติที่สำคัญ ได้แก่ การปรับปรุงการใช้งานของ ALS การพัฒนาเครื่องมือให้เหมาะสมกับผู้เรียน และการขยายการใช้ ALS ในบริบทการศึกษาที่หลากหลาย

คำสำคัญ: ระบบการเรียนรู้เชิงปรับตัว, การเขียนเชิงวิชาการ, กลไกข้อเสนอแนะ, ความพึงพอใจของนักศึกษา

Contents

CHAPTER 1:
INTRODUCTION

The opening chapter establishes the research's significance by exploring the challenges of academic writing in higher education, especially among Thai university students. It outlines the role of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in addressing these challenges through tailored learning experiences and advanced feedback mechanisms. The chapter further elaborates on the study's objectives, hypotheses, and expected benefits, emphasizing the potential for ALS to bridge gaps in writing proficiency and foster academic success.

1.1 Background of the Study

The background of this study addresses the growing challenges faced by university students in mastering academic writing skills, which are crucial for both academic success and professional readiness. Academic writing serves as a cornerstone for critical thinking, clear communication, and engagement in scholarly discourse, yet many students, particularly in non-native English contexts, struggle to meet the demands of higher education. These challenges are further compounded by language barriers, limited exposure to analytical writing, and insufficient support systems. In response, Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) have emerged as an innovative solution, offering personalized learning pathways and timely feedback tailored to individual needs. This study investigates the potential of ALS to bridge the gap in academic writing proficiency, with a particular focus on their effectiveness in providing dynamic and constructive feedback to enhance students' learning experiences and outcomes.

1.1.1 Importance and Origins of the Problem

The importance and origins of the problem surrounding academic writing skills for university students are multifaceted, addressing both the evolving landscape of higher education and the global perspective on academic writing's significance. Academic writing is crucial for expressing ideas clearly and engaging in scholarly discourse, reflecting a student's critical thinking, analytical abilities, and mastery of

course material. It is also highly valued by employers as a reflection of a student's competence and readiness for the workforce (Kellogg & Raulerson, 2007).

Advanced writing skills correlate positively with academic success, with studies showing that students' writing performance can predict their overall GPA (Lea & Street, 1998; Hyland, 2011). However, many students, especially at the undergraduate level, struggle with the transition from high school to university, where expectations around writing are significantly higher. This is particularly evident in Thailand and, by extension, Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, where students face unique challenges such as language proficiency barriers and limited exposure to critical writing and analytical thinking (Tomak, 2022; Suwandi, 2022).

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) have been proposed as a solution to overcome these challenges by providing customized learning pathways and incorporating feedback mechanisms for skill improvement. These systems aim to tailor the learning experience to meet individual student needs, potentially offering a way to address the gap in academic writing skills among university students (Boyle, Ramsay, & Struan, 2019).

1.1.2 Academic Writing Skills for University Students

Academic writing skills are crucial for university students to succeed academically and prepare for their careers. Research indicates that students often face challenges in achieving high levels of writing proficiency, which is essential for both academic success and workplace performance (Kellogg & Raulerson, 2007). To improve these skills, Kellogg and Raulerson advocate for reducing the cognitive load of writing through regular practice and feedback, pointing out the benefits of technologies like automated essay scoring software in providing additional opportunities for writing practice. Similarly, Lea and Street (1998) argue that understanding academic writing requires more than mastering technical skills; it involves navigating the complex social practices and power dynamics within academic institutions, suggesting that academic writing is not just a skill but an interplay of identity and institutional norms.

Further studies contribute to the understanding of how to effectively support students in developing their academic writing abilities. Boyle, Ramsay, and Struan (2019) report on the Academic Writing Skills Programme (AWSP) at a UK university, designed to help a diverse student population through a blended learning approach, highlighting the necessity of comprehensive support systems for student success. Aunurrahman, Hamied, and Emilia (2017) propose an instructional model that treats academic writing as a complex skill that demands extensive practice, understanding of academic genres, and critical thinking, emphasizing the importance of exposing students to exemplary writing and thinking practices. Collectively, these insights underline the multifaceted process of learning academic writing, demonstrating that effective instruction, consistent practice, and constructive feedback are key to helping students master academic discourse and achieve success in their educational and professional pursuits.

A. Necessity for effective communication in academia

Effective communication within academia, facilitated through proficient academic writing, is indispensable for university students' success and their ability to contribute meaningfully to their fields. By mastering academic writing, students not only express and critique ideas but also partake in the critical scholarly exchange, proposing new insights and methodologies that are essential for intellectual growth and the advancement of knowledge. This skill fosters critical thinking and analytical abilities, crucial for developing arguments, substantiating claims with evidence, and articulating complex concepts clearly, thereby nurturing a vibrant academic culture (American Psychological Association, 2020; Hamp-Lyons & Condon, 2000). Furthermore, these communication skills are not confined to academic success but also extend to professional readiness, underscoring the significance of academic writing in driving both personal achievement and the collective progress within scholarly and professional realms (Hyland, 2003).

B. Employer expectations and career readiness

The gap between university education and employer expectations is a pivotal concern as students transition into the professional sphere, with Cruzvergara, Testani,

and Smith (2018) underscoring the competencies outlined by the National Association of Colleges and Employers (NACE) aimed at bridging this divide. Simpson, Safa, Sokolova, and Latiolais (2019) advocate for a unified language that allows students to articulate co-curricular experiences as transferable skills, highlighting the necessity of embedding career guidance early in the academic journey. Similarly, Wardani (2018) demonstrates the profound influence of career expectations on vocational students' workforce readiness, a principle equally pertinent to university education. Thorp and Goldstein (2018) call for clarity in academic objectives related to job preparedness, suggesting the incorporation of internships and experiential learning to better equip students. Furthermore, Metz, Fouad, and Ihle-Helledy (2009) delve into the mismatch between students' career aspirations and expectations, pointing to career barriers and self-efficacy issues. Collectively, these studies emphasize the crucial role of aligning educational outcomes with the demands of today's job market and the need for comprehensive career readiness integration within university curriculums.

1.1.3 The Role of Feedback in Learning

The significance of feedback in the educational sphere is multifaceted, impacting students' comprehension, performance, and motivation significantly. Feedback serves as a crucial link between current understanding and the knowledge yet to be acquired, effectively facilitating the learning journey. Highlighting its importance, Kirk and Macdonald (1989) discuss feedback's dual role in both fostering the learning process and constituting a core part of the learning content, especially within management education. This perspective is enriched by Hattie and Timperley's (2007) analysis, which positions feedback as a pivotal element in learning and achievement, with the potential to yield both positive and negative outcomes. They advocate for feedback that is closely aligned with learning objectives, specific in nature, and delivered timely to address common challenges, such as determining the most effective timing for feedback and understanding the differential impacts of positive versus negative feedback.

Further research by Bangert-Drowns, Kulik, Kulik, and Morgan (1991) elaborates on the instructional value of feedback, primarily its role in rectifying errors,

which is contingent upon variables like the timing of delivery and feedback characteristics. Their findings suggest that while the impact of feedback can vary, under ideal conditions, its effects are markedly substantial. Molloy and Boud (2014) delve into the complexities surrounding feedback's influence on learner outcomes, highlighting the significant role of personal, relational, and situational factors in maximizing feedback's efficacy as a tool for enhancing performance and motivation. Elshout-Mohr (1994) also underscores the conditional nature of feedback's effectiveness, noting that its educational utility depends on the interplay between the learning material, the learner, and the specific learning context. These scholarly contributions collectively emphasize the critical role of feedback in education, advocating for refined feedback practices that are capable of significantly improving learning outcomes by offering students clear insights into their progress and guiding them towards achieving their learning goals.

A. Theoretical foundations: Constructive feedback mechanisms

Constructive feedback mechanisms are essential in enhancing academic writing skills, particularly within adaptive learning systems, serving as a foundation for pedagogical strategies that promote learning and skill development. Shute (2008) highlights the importance of feedback in fostering critical thinking and refining writing skills, while Hattie and Timperley (2007) describe its multifaceted role in reflecting current abilities, supporting future learning, and bridging gaps in knowledge with its specificity, timeliness, and actionability. These mechanisms are theoretically supported by Vygotsky's (1978) Zone of Proximal Development, which posits that feedback helps students achieve higher understanding and skill levels with guided assistance, effectively navigating their learning deficiencies and applying corrective strategies. Furthermore, the constructivist approach, as outlined by Jonassen (1999), views feedback as a dialogic process that enhances learner engagement, reflection, self-assessment, and autonomy, thereby deepening the writing engagement and facilitating the internalization of feedback, underscoring the critical role of constructive feedback in the academic development process.

B. Feedback in digital learning environments

The integration of feedback within digital learning environments offers both opportunities and challenges for educational outcomes, sparking debates on its effectiveness across various learning contexts. Raubenheimer, Jeffries, and Yacef (2021) discuss the importance of understanding optimal feedback forms in online programming courses, while Pardo et al. (2019) explore personalized feedback through learning analytics as a solution to the scalability issue in large classes, despite the need for advanced technological support and data analytics expertise. Olsson and Öberg (2011) highlight a gap between the theoretical and practical application of feedback in Digital Learning Environments (DLEs), calling for design guidelines that ensure comprehensive and balanced feedback. Additionally, Pardo (2018) introduces the concept of utilizing algorithms for feedback, which, despite offering new insights, raises concerns about the potential over-reliance on technology over human judgment. Turel (2013) focuses on the design principles for feedback in multimedia language learning, pointing out concerns about inclusivity and accessibility. These insights collectively underscore the complexity of implementing effective feedback in digital contexts, emphasizing the need for future research and practices to navigate the intricacies of technological reliance, empirical support for feedback strategies, and the balance between automated and human-generated feedback to enhance learning in digital environments.

1.1.4 Challenges in Academic Writing for First-Year Students

The transition into university-level academic writing poses significant challenges for first-year students, encompassing issues from linguistic proficiency to the mastery of academic conventions and critical analysis. Studies shed light on the particular struggles faced by students from diverse backgrounds, including refugees dealing with language barriers (Hirano, 2014) and students in South Africa grappling with the disconnect between previous educational experiences and university expectations (Pineteh, 2013). The issue of coherence in essay writing, as explored by Cekiso, Tshotsho, and Somniso (2016), points to the broader inadequacies in teaching methods that fail to adequately equip students with necessary writing skills. Further

complicating this landscape are the findings of Gerova, Ivanova (2023), and Kruse (2003), who explore the discrepancies between student and instructor perceptions of academic writing and the historical and epistemological underpinnings that influence current practices. These studies collectively underscore the systemic challenges within higher education to effectively support a diverse student body in developing robust academic writing skills, calling for a reevaluation of instructional approaches and a push towards more inclusive and adaptable academic writing pedagogies.

A. Transition from secondary to higher education

The transition from secondary to higher education is a pivotal phase, marked by challenges that significantly affect students' academic performance and personal development. Briggs, Clark, and Hall (2012) stress the importance of nurturing a higher education learner identity to bridge the gap in the transition process, while Gomez, Guzmán, and Santelices (2022) highlight the discrepancies between students' expectations and the realities of higher education, pointing to the struggles with academic adjustments and identity shifts. Kyndt et al. (2018) bring an individualistic perspective by focusing on self-efficacy as a key to overcoming transition challenges, yet this approach may underplay the structural hurdles that hinder students' adaptation. Conversely, Hussey and Smith (2010) argue for a more adaptive higher education system that aligns with the transformative journey of students, implicitly suggesting students shoulder the primary responsibility for managing transition challenges. This collective body of work underscores the need for a balanced approach to addressing transition challenges, advocating for strategies that are both inclusive and supportive, and that emphasize the dual responsibility of educational institutions and students in ensuring a seamless and successful transition to higher education.

B. Familiarity with academic writing conventions

Navigating academic writing conventions poses significant challenges for first-year university students, highlighting issues of linguistic proficiency and educational inclusivity. Hirano (2014) details the linguistic hurdles faced by refugee students, underscoring the broader question of how academic writing standards accommodate diverse linguistic and cultural backgrounds. Similarly, Pineteh (2013)

addresses the struggles of South African undergraduates with academic writing norms, advocating for the incorporation of academic literacies into curricula to bridge the gap between students' backgrounds and university expectations. Staples et al. (2016) examine the grammatical complexity in academic texts, revealing a steep learning curve for students unfamiliar with the conventions, which could deter their initial progress. Kamaşak, Sahan, and Rose (2021) emphasize the difficulties students encounter in English medium instruction, stressing the importance of English for Academic Purposes (EAP) support. Together, these studies illuminate the multifaceted nature of mastering academic writing conventions, calling for universities to reevaluate and enhance their support systems to ensure all students can successfully adapt to and thrive within academic writing frameworks.

1) Specific Challenges in Thailand.

Navigating the transition to English academic writing poses significant challenges for first-year university students in Thailand, encapsulating a multifaceted dilemma of linguistic hurdles, educational gaps, and cultural nuances. Phothongsunan (2016) sheds light on the struggles Thai lecturers face in publishing in English, paralleling the academic writing obstacles encountered by students and highlighting a systemic deficiency in English language support within Thai higher education. Foley (2013) and Seensangworn et al. (2017) contribute to this narrative by revealing students' limited exposure to diverse genres and their struggles with lexical and grammatical choices, exacerbated for non-English majors due to inadequate English language instruction that fails to cater to the needs of all students. Chaisiri (2010) explores genre pedagogy as a potential solution, though such pedagogical innovations alone cannot bridge the gap without addressing the wider issues of English proficiency and support mechanisms. Collectively, these studies call for comprehensive systemic reforms in Thai higher education to bolster English academic writing support, underscoring the need for enhanced English language instruction, equitable support across disciplines, and pedagogical innovations backed by substantial institutional changes.

a. Language proficiency barriers. Transitioning to academic writing in English presents notable challenges for first-year university students, especially those

for whom English is a second language. Studies such as Carson et al. (1990) indicate the potential for literacy skills transfer across languages, suggesting foundational competencies that could ease the acquisition of second-language writing skills. However, Knoch, Rouhshad, and Storch (2014) highlight a critical distinction: while students may see improvements in writing fluency over time, achieving the requisite accuracy and complexity for academic writing in English remains a persistent challenge, emphasizing the necessity for specialized support focused on these aspects. Furthermore, Wang (2003) explores the cognitive strategies of ESL students, revealing how variations in language switching correlate with second-language proficiency and underscore the cognitive complexity of academic writing in a non-native language. Graham (1987) reinforces the importance of English proficiency for academic success, identifying a threshold of language proficiency below which students struggle significantly with academic tasks. Collectively, these insights underscore the multifaceted nature of language proficiency barriers in academic writing for ESL students, highlighting the need for targeted language support that addresses the unique challenges of fluency, accuracy, and complexity in academic writing.

b. Limited exposure to critical writing and analytical thinking. Transitioning from high school to university introduces students to heightened expectations of critical writing and analytical thinking, yet many enter higher education underprepared for these academic demands due to limited prior exposure. Harrell and Wetzel (2013) advocate for incorporating argument diagramming in initial writing courses to bolster argumentative skills, suggesting that traditional text-centric secondary education may not adequately develop the analytical abilities required at the university level. Morozov (2011) underscores the benefits of clear, detailed assessment criteria focused on critical thinking in secondary education, aiming to align student preparation more closely with university standards. Benedict (2013) examines first-year experience courses as a means to enhance critical thinking, yet these courses often reveal pre-existing gaps in students' skills. Pally (2001) and Woodward-Kron (2002) stress the necessity of adapting instructional strategies to the varied linguistic backgrounds of ESL/EFL students, advocating for content-based instruction to foster analytical skills. Collectively, these insights illuminate a systemic shortcoming in pre-university

education's ability to equip students with essential critical writing and analytical thinking skills, indicating an urgent need for educational reforms that prioritize these competencies to bridge the gap between secondary and higher education.

2) Situations at Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University.

At Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, first-year students navigate a complex array of challenges in academic writing, rooted in linguistic barriers, cultural differences, and educational practices. While specific studies on this university are scarce, analogous issues in the Thai higher education system suggest students likely encounter obstacles similar to those faced by Thai university lecturers, as outlined by Phothongsunan (2016), including struggles with English academic writing and a lack of sufficient support. This reflection of systemic educational challenges underscores the urgent need for enhanced English language instruction and academic writing training. Termsinsuk (1970) proposes a blended instructional model to improve English summary writing skills, highlighting innovative teaching strategies that could alleviate these issues. Given the parallels drawn from the broader Thai educational context, it is imperative for Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University to adopt comprehensive strategies that enhance English proficiency, familiarize students with academic writing standards, and implement pedagogical innovations to meet students' diverse needs, thereby facilitating their successful transition into higher education and beyond.

a. Curriculum and instructional challenges. Curriculum and instructional challenges within higher education encompass a broad spectrum of issues, from the conveyance of knowledge to pedagogical methodologies and their congruence with student necessities and anticipations. Costandius and Bitzer (2015) advocate for integrating a critical citizenship education perspective into curricula, aiming for societal engagement and transformation, yet this ambitious goal prompts scrutiny regarding its feasibility within current educational frameworks. Dehghani, Pakmehr, and Sani (2011) highlight the managerial hurdles in curriculum implementation, pointing out the discord between administrative capabilities and the requirements of efficacious curriculum execution. This underscores a systemic gap in adapting educational structures to meet evolving curricular demands. Fook and Sidhu (2015)

pinpoint the disconnection between designed curricula and student learning exigencies, advocating for pedagogical practices attuned to the varied cognitive and cultural student profiles. Barnett (2009) and Ashwin (2014) further this discourse by emphasizing the curriculum's role in fostering individual and societal development, critiquing the prevailing oversight of the curriculum-knowledge nexus in academic and policy dialogues. These reflections underscore an imperative for educational institutions to critically reassess curriculum design, delivery, and evaluation processes, ensuring they are both academically rigorous and attuned to the multifaceted needs of a diverse student body, thereby equipping learners for the complexities of contemporary societal and professional landscapes.

b. Institutional support for academic writing skill development. The development of academic writing skills is crucial in higher education, impacting students' academic and professional success. Institutional support, including resources, curriculum strategies, and writing services, is key to fostering these skills at Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University. Challenges include resource availability and integrating digital tools effectively. Studies by Boonchan (2015) and Yangklang (2013) emphasize the importance of a supportive instructional climate and the potential of e-learning in improving foundational language skills for academic writing. Addressing these challenges requires institutional commitment to resource investment, faculty training, and technology integration to fully support students' writing skill development.

1.1.5 Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) as a Solution

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) are heralded as a transformative solution to the one-size-fits-all approach traditionally seen in education, particularly in higher education environments like Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University. They promise to deliver customized learning pathways and incorporate feedback for skill improvement, theoretically offering a more personalized and effective learning experience. However, a critical examination reveals both promising prospects and significant challenges.

A. Customized Learning Pathways: The potential of ALS to create customized learning pathways, adapting to the unique needs, pace, and learning style of each student, cannot be understated. Karoui et al. (2021) illustrate the effectiveness of game-based learning environments that adapt to students' competency profiles, potentially improving engagement and outcomes for learners with diverse needs, including those with dyslexia or hearing impairments. Similarly, Chen et al. (2018) detail a recommendation system that personalizes instruction to a learner's current status, showing promise in achieving high-quality learning outcomes efficiently. Yet, these advancements underscore a critical reliance on sophisticated algorithms and data analysis capabilities, raising concerns about accessibility for institutions lacking robust technological infrastructure or expertise. Moreover, the focus on technical implementation risks overshadowing the importance of pedagogical considerations, potentially reducing the educational experience to a series of algorithmically determined steps rather than a holistic learning journey.

B. Incorporating Feedback for Skill Improvement: Feedback mechanisms within ALS are pivotal for reinforcing learning and guiding students through their educational journey. Bimba et al. (2017) review various implementations of adaptive feedback in computer-based learning environments, revealing a diverse landscape of approaches that range from intelligent tutoring systems to web-based e-learning platforms. These systems aim to tailor feedback to the learners' characteristics, enhancing the learning process. However, Shute's (2007) work on formative feedback highlights the nuanced balance required to make feedback nonevaluative, supportive, timely, and specific. The challenge lies not only in the technical execution of these feedback mechanisms but also in ensuring that they are pedagogically sound and contribute meaningfully to the learning process. Additionally, the effective integration of feedback within ALS requires a deep understanding of the learners' needs and the contextual nuances of their learning process, which may not be fully captured by current models.

The shift towards ALS as a solution for the educational challenges faced by institutions like Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University is fraught with complexity. While customized learning pathways and the incorporation of feedback for skill

improvement offer exciting possibilities for personalization and enhanced learning outcomes, they also present significant challenges. These include the need for advanced technological infrastructure, a balance between algorithmic recommendations and pedagogical soundness, and a deep understanding of student needs. As such, while ALS represent a promising direction for the future of education, their implementation and impact must be carefully considered and continuously evaluated to ensure they fulfill their transformative potential.

1.2 Statement of problems

In higher education, mastering academic writing within adaptive learning systems presents significant challenges, impacting students' academic success and career readiness. The issue is twofold: feedback mechanisms often fail to meet individual student needs, and the shift from secondary education to university intensifies these challenges, especially for those facing language barriers or limited exposure to critical writing. Research underscores the importance of specific, timely feedback for learning outcomes, yet adapting this feedback to diverse learner needs remains problematic, suggesting a gap in support for developing academic writing skills in university settings (National Association of Colleges and Employers, 2022; Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Hyland, 2003; Hamp-Lyons & Condon, 2000). This highlights the need for a thorough examination of feedback within adaptive learning systems to enhance academic writing proficiency, particularly for students navigating the complexities of academic writing for the first time or those from non-English speaking backgrounds.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

1. To compare the academic writing abilities before and after the use of an adaptive learning system

2. To study student satisfaction with learning with an adaptive learning system

1.4 Research Scope

Population and Sample: 70 students from Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University enrolled in academic reading and writing courses in the second semester of the 2023 academic year

1.5 Conceptual Framework of the research

This study is underpinned by a conceptual framework that integrates the principles of adaptive learning systems (ALS) with the pedagogical strategies of feedback mechanisms to foster the development of academic writing skills among university students. At the heart of this framework is the recognition that academic writing is not merely a skill but a complex cognitive process that involves critical thinking, analysis, and synthesis (Hyland, 2003). The framework posits that ALS, when coupled with effective feedback mechanisms, can significantly enhance this process by providing personalized learning experiences that cater to the individual needs of students.

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS): ALS are defined as technologically advanced learning environments that adapt to the unique learning styles and paces of individual students. These systems utilize data from student interactions to tailor the learning content and strategies, aiming to optimize learning efficiency and outcomes (Aleven et al., 2016). The framework considers ALS as a dynamic tool for delivering academic writing instruction, enabling a more engaged and personalized learning experience.

Feedback Mechanisms: Central to the conceptual framework is the role of feedback in learning. Feedback is conceptualized as information provided to students about their performance that aims to reduce the gap between their current and desired performance levels (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). In the context of academic writing, feedback is crucial for helping students identify their strengths and weaknesses, offering them specific, actionable advice for improvement. The framework emphasizes the need for feedback to be timely, relevant, and constructive, facilitating the iterative process of writing, receiving feedback, and revising.

The interplay between ALS and feedback mechanisms forms the core of the conceptual framework, suggesting that the integration of these components can lead to improved academic writing skills among university students. This integration is grounded in the constructivist theory of learning, which posits that learners construct knowledge through experiences and interactions within their environment (Jonassen, 1999). Accordingly, the framework advocates for an instructional approach that not only delivers content but also actively engages students in the learning process through feedback and adaptation.

Independent Variables:

IV1: Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS)

- Personalization of learning content
- Adjustment to learning pace
- Data-driven learning pathways

IV 2: Feedback Mechanisms

- Timeliness of feedback
- Relevance and specificity
- Constructiveness and actionability

Dependent Variable:

DV 1: Academic Writing Skills of University Students

- Improvement in writing quality
- Enhanced knowledge about academic writing skills

DV 2: Student Satisfaction

- Satisfaction with the Adaptive Learning System (ALS)
- Satisfaction with Feedback Mechanisms

The conceptual framework posits that enhanced academic writing skills are the result of personalized learning experiences and constructive feedback, facilitated by ALS, and actively engaged with by the students.

1.6 Research Hypotheses

Based on the defined research objectives and the relationships between the independent, mediator, and dependent variables identified in this study, the following hypotheses are proposed to guide the empirical investigation of the adaptive learning system's (ALS) impact on university students' academic writing skills and their satisfaction with the learning process:

Hypothesis 1 (H1): Impact of ALS on Academic Writing Skills

- There will be a significant improvement in the overall writing quality of students after using the ALS.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): Effect of Adaptive Lessons on Student Satisfaction

- Adaptive lessons within ALS significantly enhance students' satisfaction with the learning experience

1.7 Expected Benefits

These hypotheses are formulated to test the direct effects of adaptive learning systems and feedback mechanisms on students' academic writing abilities, as well as the mediating role of student engagement. Additionally, they aim to assess the overall satisfaction of students with the ALS, thereby providing a comprehensive evaluation of its effectiveness. Each hypothesis addresses specific aspects of the learning experience and contributes to a holistic understanding of how adaptive learning technologies can be optimized to enhance educational outcomes in university settings. This structured approach not only aligns with the research objectives but also scaffolds the analysis towards meaningful insights and actionable findings.

This research study is designed to explore the effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) integrated with advanced feedback mechanisms to enhance academic writing skills among university students. The conceptual framework of the study positions ALS not just as technological interventions but as pedagogical tools that adapt to the individual learning paces and styles of students, thus providing personalized learning experiences. By focusing on the interplay between ALS and

dynamic feedback mechanisms, the study aims to foster a deeper understanding and proficiency in academic writing—a complex cognitive skill encompassing critical thinking, analysis, and synthesis. The integration of systematic and constructive feedback within ALS is expected to offer actionable insights to students, thereby promoting an iterative learning process that is both reflective and efficacious.

Expected Benefits of the Research Study:

1. **Enhanced Writing Skills**: Students are expected to show marked improvement in their ability to construct well-organized, coherent, and critically engaged academic texts.
2. **Personalized Learning Experiences**: By adapting to individual learning styles and paces, ALS can provide personalized instructions that cater to the specific needs and learning gaps of students.
3. **Improved Critical Thinking**: The adaptive feedback mechanisms are designed to challenge students' understanding and application of concepts, thereby enhancing their critical thinking and analytical skills.
4. **Increased Student Engagement**: The personalized and interactive nature of ALS is likely to maintain student interest and motivation, leading to higher engagement levels.
5. **Actionable Feedback**: Immediate and personalized feedback will help students recognize their strengths and areas for improvement, enabling them to make informed revisions to their writing.
6. **Data-Driven Insights**: The use of ALS allows for the collection and analysis of data regarding student performance, offering valuable insights that can continuously refine teaching strategies and content delivery.
7. **Scalability and Accessibility**: Once effectively implemented, such systems can be scaled to benefit a larger cohort of students with diverse academic needs and backgrounds.

8. **Preparation for Professional Success**: Enhanced writing and analytical skills are critical for academic and professional success, and the targeted improvements in these areas are expected to better prepare students for their future careers.

9. **Theoretical and Practical Contributions**: The study aims to contribute to both theoretical frameworks regarding adaptive learning and practical methodologies for implementing technology-enhanced learning solutions.

This study, through its detailed and methodological exploration of ALS in academic writing, aspires to demonstrate significant improvements in student outcomes and provide a scalable model for enhancing academic proficiency in higher education settings.

1.8 Definitions of Key Terms

In order to ensure clarity and consistency throughout this study, it is essential to define several key terms that underpin the conceptual framework and hypotheses. These definitions draw upon established academic literature and the specific context of adaptive learning systems and feedback mechanisms in academic writing skills development.

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS): Adaptive Learning Systems are computer-based or online educational systems that modify content, resources, and learning activities in real-time, based on individual learner's needs, abilities, and performance. These systems aim to provide a personalized learning experience that enhances student engagement and learning outcomes (Aleven et al., 2016).

Feedback Mechanisms: Feedback mechanisms in the context of education refer to the processes and methods through which learners receive information about their performance and how it aligns with the learning objectives. Effective feedback is timely, specific, and constructive, enabling students to reflect on their learning and identify areas for improvement (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

Academic Writing Skills: Academic writing skills encompass the ability to construct well-organized, clear, and coherent texts that effectively communicate

scholarly ideas. These skills include the use of appropriate vocabulary, grammar, citation practices, and the ability to engage critically with sources and present a reasoned argument (Hyland, 2003).

Constructive Feedback: Constructive feedback is a specific type of feedback that is not only informative but also supportive, aimed at encouraging learners to think critically and improve their work. It focuses on providing clear, actionable suggestions for development rather than merely highlighting errors or shortcomings (Shute, 2008).

Personalized Learning: Personalized learning refers to educational approaches that tailor instruction to the learning preferences, interests, and abilities of individual students. In ALS, personalized learning involves adjusting the pace, level, and content of learning activities based on real-time data from student interactions (U.S. Department of Education, 2017).

CHAPTER 2:
LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter presents a comprehensive overview of the theoretical foundations of Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback in educational environments. It delves into prior studies, highlighting how ALS and feedback mechanisms have been employed to enhance learning outcomes, particularly in academic writing. The discussion also critically evaluates the benefits and challenges of ALS implementation, paving the way for the study's conceptual framework.

2.1 Adaptive Learning Systems: An Overview

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) represent a significant innovation in the educational landscape, promising personalized learning experiences through the use of advanced technologies. These systems adjust the content, pace, and learning strategies based on real-time assessments of individual learner's needs, skills, and preferences (Chen et al., 2018). While the theoretical foundation of ALS is robust, promising an educational paradigm shift towards more individualized learning, the practical application of these systems in higher education raises critical considerations. The reliance on sophisticated algorithms and extensive data to tailor learning experiences necessitates a robust technological infrastructure and poses significant challenges in terms of privacy, data security, and the ethical use of student information. Furthermore, the effectiveness of ALS hinges on the quality of the adaptive algorithms and the educational content, raising questions about the pedagogical soundness of these systems and their ability to truly enhance learning outcomes (Capuano & Caballé, 2020).

The scalability of ALS to large student populations and the personalization of learning pathways are among its most touted benefits. However, these features also introduce complexities in implementation, requiring significant institutional resources and a shift in the roles and responsibilities of educators (Mirata et al., 2020). Educators are expected to transition from traditional teaching roles to more facilitative roles, guiding students through personalized learning journeys. This transition demands

extensive professional development and a reevaluation of traditional teaching methodologies. Moreover, the integration of ALS into existing curricula and the alignment with institutional goals and standards presents additional challenges. Institutions must navigate these hurdles thoughtfully, balancing the potential benefits of ALS with the practical realities of their implementation, to fully realize the transformative potential of adaptive learning in higher education.

2.1.1 Definition and Key Features

The definition and key features of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) highlight a progressive shift in educational methodologies, promising a more personalized and effective learning experience for students in higher education. At their core, ALS are designed to adapt teaching strategies and content in real-time, tailoring instruction to the individual needs, preferences, and performance of each student (Chen et al., 2018). This capability for personalization, grounded in sophisticated data analytics and machine learning algorithms, marks a fundamental departure from traditional, one-size-fits-all teaching approaches. However, the integration of such complex systems into existing educational frameworks raises significant challenges, from the technological infrastructure required to support them to the pedagogical adjustments needed by educators to effectively leverage these tools (Capuano & Caballé, 2020).

Among the core characteristics distinguishing ALS from traditional educational systems are their dynamic adaptability and learner-centered focus. ALS not only adjust the pacing and complexity of instructional content based on continuous assessment but also provide personalized feedback, thereby fostering a more engaging and potentially more effective learning environment (Real-Fernández et al., 2019). Nonetheless, the implementation of ALS requires substantial institutional commitment, including investments in technology and professional development for educators to adapt to new roles as facilitators of learning rather than mere deliverers of content (Gibson, 1962). Furthermore, the reliance on extensive data collection to inform the adaptive mechanisms of these systems introduces concerns regarding privacy, data security, and the ethical use of student information.

While ALS offer promising avenues for enhancing the student learning experience through customization and adaptivity, they also present considerable challenges that institutions must navigate. These include the technological and infrastructural demands of supporting such systems, the need for educators to adapt to new pedagogical roles, and the imperative to address ethical considerations surrounding data use. As such, the journey toward the widespread adoption of ALS in higher education is likely to be complex, requiring careful planning, ongoing evaluation, and a commitment to addressing the multifaceted needs of all stakeholders involved.

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in higher education are distinguished by their ability to offer personalized educational experiences through customized learning pathways and incorporate feedback for skill improvement. This adaptive approach contrasts sharply with traditional educational systems, which often follow a one-size-fits-all model.

A. Conceptual Understanding of ALS: ALS are premised on the use of technology to dynamically adjust learning content and methods to the individual learner's needs, skills, and preferences (Chen et al., 2018). Unlike traditional learning environments that present the same material in the same way to all students, ALS use data about a learner's performance and behavior to present content that is most likely to be effective for that learner at a specific time.

B. Core Characteristics Distinguishing ALS from Traditional Systems:

1. Personalization: One of the fundamental features of ALS is the ability to personalize the learning experience. This personalization extends beyond just the pace of learning to include the adaptation of content, challenges, and feedback to match the learner's profile, thus optimizing the learning process (Capuano & Caballé, 2020).

2. Data-Driven: ALS are inherently data-driven, utilizing data analytics and machine learning algorithms to continually assess and adapt to the learner's needs. This approach allows for a level of responsiveness and individualization that

traditional educational systems, with their fixed curricula and pedagogical approaches, cannot match (Real-Fernández et al., 2019).

3. Feedback and Support: Unlike traditional systems where feedback may be infrequent, generic, or delayed, ALS provide immediate, targeted feedback and support based on the learner's interactions with the system. This real-time feedback is crucial for promoting understanding, retention, and skill development (Gibson, 1962).

4. Learning Efficiency and Engagement: By adapting to the learner's needs, ALS have the potential to make learning more efficient and engaging. Personalized learning paths can reduce frustration and boredom by ensuring that tasks are neither too easy nor too difficult, thereby maintaining an optimal challenge level for the learner (Frosch-Wilke & Sánchez-Alonso, 2006).

While the adaptive and personalized nature of ALS offers promising avenues for enhancing learning experiences, challenges remain in terms of implementation, access, and ensuring the pedagogical quality of adaptive content. Moreover, the success of ALS depends not only on the sophistication of the underlying algorithms but also on the educational design and the integration of these systems into broader educational strategies and practices. As such, while ALS represent a significant advance over traditional educational models, their full potential is contingent upon careful implementation, ongoing evaluation, and a clear focus on pedagogical outcomes.

2.1.2 Benefits and Challenges in Higher Education

The advent of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in higher education heralds significant benefits and challenges that warrant critical scrutiny. One of the foremost benefits highlighted by adaptive learning proponents is its potential to tailor educational experiences to the individual needs of students, thereby enhancing the learning experience (Mirata et al., 2020). This customization extends beyond merely addressing different learning styles to adaptively adjusting the content, difficulty level, and pace of learning based on real-time assessments of a student's performance.

However, the integration of ALS into the conventional higher education framework poses substantial challenges, not least of which are the technological and organizational barriers that institutions face. These challenges, ranging from the need for substantial initial investment in technology infrastructure to the professional development of faculty to effectively utilize these systems, underscore the complexities involved in adopting ALS in higher education settings (Cavanagh et al., 2020).

Further complicating the adoption of ALS are the challenges associated with ensuring that these systems genuinely enhance student learning outcomes. While ALS promises to bridge knowledge gaps and offer personalized learning pathways, evidence-based research on its effectiveness remains limited, with mixed findings on its impact on learning outcomes (Liu et al., 2017). This lack of definitive evidence raises questions about the efficacy of ALS in improving student performance compared to traditional learning methods. Moreover, the success of ALS hinges on the alignment of adaptive learning strategies with the specific learning objectives and outcomes of each course, necessitating a close collaboration between educators and technologists to design and implement effective adaptive learning interventions (Walkington, 2013).

In light of these considerations, it is evident that while ALS offers promising avenues for personalizing education and potentially improving learning outcomes in higher education, its successful implementation is fraught with challenges. These include the need for significant technological and infrastructural investments, the professional development of educators, and the establishment of clear evidence of its effectiveness in enhancing student learning outcomes. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from all stakeholders involved in higher education, including institutional leaders, educators, technologists, and policy makers, to ensure that the benefits of adaptive learning can be realized while mitigating its associated challenges.

A. Enhancing Student Learning Experience

The enhancement of the student learning experience through Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) is underscored by several core advantages, yet it also faces notable challenges. ALS aim to revolutionize the educational landscape by providing tailored educational experiences, dynamically adjusting to the needs of each learner through sophisticated algorithms and data analytics (Chen et al., 2018). This personalization not only caters to individual learning styles and paces but also promises to bridge knowledge gaps more efficiently than traditional, one-size-fits-all approaches. However, the implementation of ALS raises concerns regarding the scalability of such personalized approaches, especially in environments with large student populations, and the ability of ALS to maintain the quality and depth of education provided.

From a pedagogical perspective, ALS offer the potential to significantly enhance engagement and motivation by aligning learning content with students' individual interests and current understanding, potentially leading to improved academic performance (Walkington, 2013). This personalized engagement is facilitated through adaptive feedback mechanisms and learning paths that are responsive to the learner's progress, ensuring that students are neither under-challenged nor overwhelmed. Nonetheless, the efficacy of these systems depends heavily on the underlying educational models and the quality of content delivery. There is a risk that over-reliance on algorithmically determined learning paths could lead to a reductionist view of education, where complex concepts are oversimplified, and critical thinking is not adequately fostered.

Moreover, the technological and infrastructural requirements for deploying ALS pose significant challenges. Effective ALS require robust data management systems, advanced analytics capabilities, and secure, scalable platforms to manage the personalized learning experiences of potentially thousands of students (Khosravi, Sadiq, & Gašević, 2020). Institutions must invest in these technologies and ensure the security and privacy of student data, raising questions about the accessibility of ALS for smaller or less well-resourced educational entities. Additionally, there is the

challenge of integrating ALS into existing educational curricula and systems, which may require substantial restructuring of course designs and teaching methodologies.

The implementation of ALS also necessitates a reevaluation of the roles of educators. Teachers and instructors transition from being the primary source of knowledge to facilitators of a personalized learning process, which requires a shift in pedagogical strategies and possibly a realignment of educational objectives (Kasinathan, Mustapha, & Medi, 2017). This shift underscores the need for professional development and support for educators to adapt to these new roles effectively, ensuring that the introduction of ALS enhances rather than diminishes the educational experience.

In conclusion, while ALS present a promising avenue for enhancing the student learning experience through personalization and adaptivity, the realization of these benefits is contingent upon overcoming significant challenges. These include ensuring the pedagogical efficacy of ALS, meeting the technological and infrastructural demands, integrating ALS into existing educational frameworks, and supporting educators in adapting to new instructional roles. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for educational institutions aiming to leverage ALS to improve student learning outcomes and overall educational quality.

B. Facilitating Instructor Roles and Responsibilities

The facilitation of instructor roles and responsibilities through Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) presents a nuanced shift from traditional teaching models towards a more personalized, learner-centric approach. This shift is pivotal in leveraging the potential of ALS to enhance educational outcomes. Folsom-Kovarik, Wray, and Hamel (2013) highlight the role of adaptive assessment algorithms in instructor-mediated systems, which necessitate minimal data for generating accurate skill estimates. This innovation enables instructors to maintain a personalized learning environment even in settings where calibration data is sparse, thereby underscoring the adaptability of ALS to varied educational contexts. However, this shift also implies a significant transformation in the instructors' roles from content deliverers to

facilitators of learning, necessitating a comprehensive reevaluation of pedagogical strategies to effectively implement ALS.

The core characteristics distinguishing ALS from traditional educational systems underscore the enhanced capabilities of instructors in personalizing learning experiences. As Chen et al. (2018) elucidate, the recommendation systems within ALS serve as a critical component, dynamically tailoring instruction to the learner's current status. This capability not only facilitates a more responsive educational environment but also places instructors in a pivotal position to guide students through personalized learning pathways effectively. Despite these advancements, the integration of ALS poses challenges related to scalability, especially in managing large student populations and ensuring the quality and depth of personalized learning experiences.

Moreover, the shift towards ALS necessitates a redefinition of instructor roles, as highlighted by Kenny and Pahl (2009), who discuss the transformation from traditional instructor-oriented teaching to active learning facilitated through interactive and adaptive environments. This transition demands instructors to develop new skills and adopt innovative pedagogical approaches, thereby enhancing their ability to support student learning effectively. Nonetheless, the successful implementation of ALS requires not only technological advancements but also significant changes in institutional policies, professional development programs, and curricular designs to accommodate the evolving roles of instructors.

In conclusion, while ALS offer significant opportunities to enhance the student learning experience through personalized education, they also present substantial challenges in facilitating instructor roles and responsibilities. The successful adoption of ALS in higher education necessitates a concerted effort to address these challenges, including the need for professional development, institutional support, and a reevaluation of traditional teaching methodologies. As the educational landscape continues to evolve, the roles of instructors will remain central to harnessing the potential of ALS to improve educational outcomes.

1. Scalability to Large Student Populations.

Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) are increasingly recognized for their potential to cater to large student populations by personalizing the learning experience. This personalization is achieved through technology that adapts instructional content and feedback to individual student needs, enhancing learning outcomes and engagement. However, implementing ALS on a large scale presents several challenges and opportunities.

One of the primary challenges of scalability is the integration of adaptive systems into existing educational structures. Jing Liang et al. (2022) emphasize the need for accurate student models that incorporate multidimensional learner data to predict behavior and inform adaptation, yet highlight the difficulties in managing noisy data and ensuring data synchronization in large-scale implementations (Liang et al., 2022). Similarly, the efficacy of ALS in terms of learning outcomes, such as course scores, is still a subject of debate, with studies showing mixed results and underscoring the need for further research to establish the systems' effectiveness in diverse learning contexts (Lim, S. Lim, & Wei-Ying Lim, 2023).

Moreover, adaptive learning's potential to accommodate different learning styles and preferences suggests a path towards overcoming traditional educational limitations. Huong May Truong (2016) reviews the integration of learning styles into adaptive e-learning systems, proposing that understanding and applying these styles can optimize the learning process for individual students (Truong, 2016). Additionally, the development of automated grading systems with adaptive learning components, as explored by Kevin Matthews et al. (2012), indicates a direction for scaling feedback mechanisms to support large student cohorts efficiently (Matthews, Janicki, He, & Patterson, 2012).

The review by Liliia O. Fadieieva (2023) further explores the advancements and challenges of adaptive learning, highlighting the potential of emerging technologies like AI to improve system personalization and effectiveness. However, Fadieieva also notes the importance of ongoing professional development for educators to leverage these systems effectively (Fadieieva, 2023).

In conclusion, while ALS offer promising avenues for enhancing learning experiences for large student populations, the successful implementation of these systems requires careful consideration of integration challenges, effectiveness, and the role of educators. Future research and development in ALS must address these issues to fully realize their potential in scaling personalized learning environments.

2. Personalization of Learning Pathways.

The personalization of learning pathways in adaptive learning systems (ALS) represents a significant shift from the traditional, one-size-fits-all educational model to a more learner-centered approach. This transformation is driven by the integration of artificial intelligence and sophisticated data analytics within ALS to tailor the learning experience according to individual learner needs, preferences, and performance levels (Ivanova, 2023; Peng, Ma, & Spector, 2019). While this customization promises to enhance student engagement and improve learning outcomes by delivering content that resonates with each learner's unique learning style and pace, it also introduces challenges related to the development and implementation of such systems.

One of the core benefits of personalized learning pathways is the ability to adapt instructional content and assessments in real-time, ensuring that each learner is provided with learning opportunities that are optimally challenging and relevant to their interests and goals (Walkington, 2013). This dynamic adjustment not only helps in maintaining learner motivation but also facilitates deeper understanding and retention of knowledge. However, the effectiveness of these personalized pathways hinges on the accurate identification of learner characteristics and the ability to generate adaptive learning strategies that effectively address the identified needs.

The development of ALS capable of offering truly personalized learning experiences requires a comprehensive understanding of the diverse factors that influence learning, including cognitive styles, prior knowledge, learning preferences, and emotional states (Yang, Hwang, Chiang, & Yang, 2013). This complexity underscores the necessity for sophisticated learner modeling techniques and algorithms that can accurately capture and interpret the multifaceted nature of learner data.

Moreover, ensuring the scalability of these systems to accommodate large and diverse learner populations remains a significant challenge, necessitating innovations in technology and pedagogy to achieve widespread adoption and impact (Vassoyan, Vie, & Lemberger, 2023).

Furthermore, the integration of personalized learning pathways within existing educational systems and curricula requires careful consideration of the pedagogical implications of such an approach. Educators must be prepared to shift from traditional teaching methods to more facilitative roles, guiding learners through their personalized learning journeys and providing support and feedback that is tailored to individual learner progress (Taylor, Yeung, & Bashet, 2021). This shift not only demands significant changes in teaching practices but also requires institutional support in the form of professional development and resources to enable educators to effectively leverage the capabilities of ALS.

In conclusion, while the personalization of learning pathways in ALS offers promising opportunities to enhance the learning experience and outcomes for individual learners, realizing this potential requires overcoming substantial challenges. These include the need for advanced learner modeling techniques, scalable system designs, and the redefinition of educator roles within the personalized learning environment. Addressing these challenges through continued research and development, collaboration between educators, technologists, and researchers, and the thoughtful integration of ALS into educational practice is essential for fulfilling the promise of personalized adaptive learning.

2.1.3 Challenges

The integration of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) into higher education poses significant challenges, particularly concerning their integration into existing curricula and the technical and infrastructure requirements needed for their implementation.

A. Integration into Existing Curricula

The integration of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) into existing curricula represents a significant challenge for higher education institutions. Despite the potential benefits of personalized learning experiences, seamlessly embedding these systems into the established curricular framework poses complex issues. Mirata et al. (2020) emphasize the multifaceted challenges encountered by institutions attempting to adopt ALS, ranging from technological to pedagogical and organizational hurdles. These challenges are not only indicative of the substantial shift required in teaching methodologies but also highlight the logistical and infrastructural adjustments necessary for effective integration. The varying socioeconomic backgrounds and organizational contexts of universities further complicate this process, suggesting that a one-size-fits-all approach to ALS integration is ineffective and impractical.

The need for substantial curriculum redesign to accommodate ALS underscores a critical issue. Traditional curricula are often rigid and standardized, designed to deliver content uniformly across diverse student populations. In contrast, ALS demand flexibility and adaptability, enabling personalized learning paths that cater to individual student needs (Cavanagh et al., 2020). This divergence necessitates a fundamental rethinking of curriculum structure, content delivery, and assessment methods, posing significant challenges for institutions in terms of time, resources, and faculty willingness to adapt to new teaching paradigms.

Furthermore, faculty readiness and professional development emerge as critical factors in the successful integration of ALS into existing curricula. Educators are required to transition from traditional lecturing roles to facilitators of personalized learning journeys, a change that requires not only a shift in pedagogical approach but also in mindset (Battou, 2017). This transition can be daunting for faculty members accustomed to conventional teaching methods, necessitating comprehensive support mechanisms, training programs, and incentives to encourage the adoption of new roles aligned with ALS.

The technological infrastructure required to support ALS is another significant barrier to their integration into existing curricula. Effective implementation

of ALS necessitates advanced IT infrastructure, reliable internet connectivity, and robust data analytics capabilities, which may not be readily available in all educational institutions (Sasakura & Yamasaki, 2007). Additionally, concerns regarding data privacy, security, and the ethical use of student information need to be addressed, further complicating the integration process.

In conclusion, while the integration of Adaptive Learning Systems into existing curricula holds the promise of transforming higher education by offering personalized learning experiences, it presents significant challenges that must be carefully navigated. Institutions must undertake a holistic approach, addressing technological, pedagogical, and organizational challenges, to successfully embed ALS into their curricular frameworks. This endeavor requires not only substantial investment in infrastructure and faculty development but also a commitment to reevaluating and redesigning curricular structures to accommodate the adaptive and personalized nature of ALS.

B. Technical and Infrastructure Requirements

The implementation of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in higher education faces significant challenges related to technical and infrastructure requirements. These challenges stem not only from the need for sophisticated technological platforms but also from the requirement for a supportive infrastructure that can facilitate the effective delivery of personalized learning experiences. Barr and Robson (2019) emphasize the critical need for managing and securely sharing various types of data across applications to enable effective and economical deployment of adaptive instructional systems. The infrastructure must handle the learner's background, objectives, current mastery level, live activity data, and metadata describing available learning activities. This complexity underlines the extensive technical groundwork necessary for ALS, including robust IT infrastructure, data analytics capabilities, and cybersecurity measures.

Further complicating the deployment of ALS are the considerations related to the integration of these systems within existing educational frameworks. The necessity for adaptive learning technologies to be compatible with current learning

management systems (LMS) poses an additional layer of technical challenges (Sein-Echaluce et al., 2015). Ensuring that ALS can operate seamlessly within the technological ecosystem of an institution requires careful planning, development, and testing of interoperability standards. Moreover, the reliance on data for personalization highlights the importance of data governance policies to protect student privacy and ensure ethical use of data.

The scalability of ALS to accommodate large student populations adds another dimension to the technical challenges. Adaptive systems must be designed to efficiently process the data of numerous learners simultaneously, adapting content and learning paths without compromising the quality of education or the speed of system responses (Sasakura & Yamasaki, 2007). Achieving this level of scalability often necessitates significant investment in server capacity, network infrastructure, and software optimization to handle the demands of a large-scale adaptive learning environment.

Educator readiness and professional development also play a crucial role in addressing the technical challenges associated with ALS. Instructors must be equipped with the necessary skills to utilize adaptive learning technologies effectively within their teaching practices. This includes understanding how to interpret data generated by ALS, integrate adaptive strategies into course designs, and provide personalized support to students based on insights gained from the system (Cai, 2018). The need for ongoing training and support underscores the institutional commitment required to leverage the full potential of ALS in enhancing educational outcomes.

In conclusion, while the benefits of ALS in personalizing education and improving learning outcomes are widely acknowledged, the successful implementation of these systems in higher education is contingent upon overcoming significant technical and infrastructure challenges. Institutions must navigate these hurdles through strategic investment in technology, professional development for educators, and the development of policies and practices that support the ethical and effective use of adaptive learning technologies.

2.2 Feedback in Learning Environments

Feedback in learning environments, particularly in the context of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS), plays a crucial role in shaping the educational experiences and outcomes of students in higher education. Hattie and Timperley (2007) emphasize the powerful influence feedback has on learning and achievement, which can be both positive and negative depending on its implementation. The complexity of providing effective feedback in ALS, which tailors the learning experience to individual students, raises significant challenges. It requires not only a deep understanding of the subject matter but also the ability to gauge the student's current knowledge state, learning style, and the most appropriate form of feedback to facilitate further learning. This demands a level of pedagogical and technological sophistication that may not be readily available or easily developed within all educational institutions.

Moreover, the transition to more personalized learning environments through ALS necessitates a reevaluation of traditional feedback mechanisms. Carless (2006) highlights the differing perceptions in the feedback process, underscoring the complexity and the sometimes problematic nature of providing meaningful feedback in a manner that is both constructive and conducive to learning. This complexity is magnified in ALS, where feedback must be not only personalized but also timely and relevant to the specific learning pathway of each student. Achieving this level of personalization and relevance in feedback requires ALS to be equipped with sophisticated analytical tools capable of interpreting a wide range of student data to generate appropriate feedback responses.

Furthermore, Shute (2007) outlines the importance of formative feedback in modifying students' thinking or behavior to improve learning. In the context of ALS, the challenge lies in providing formative feedback that is nonevaluative, supportive, timely, and specific, tailored to the unique learning journey of each student. This requires a delicate balance between automated feedback mechanisms within ALS and the human touch provided by educators who understand the nuances of student learning. It underscores the need for ALS to be designed and implemented in a way that enhances, rather than replaces, the critical role of educators in the feedback process.

In conclusion, while feedback within ALS offers the potential to significantly enhance the learning experience in higher education, it presents considerable challenges. These include ensuring the pedagogical effectiveness of feedback, integrating advanced technological capabilities for personalized feedback, and maintaining the essential role of educators in the feedback loop. Addressing these challenges is paramount for realizing the full potential of ALS in improving educational outcomes and experiences for students.

2.2.1 Importance of Feedback for Student Learning

Feedback plays an indispensable role in fostering student learning by guiding improvements and reinforcing good practices. Hattie and Timperley (2007) underscore feedback's significant influence on learning outcomes, highlighting its dual potential to positively or negatively impact student achievement based on its delivery and content. They advocate for feedback that is specific, timely, and aligns with learning objectives to maximize its effectiveness. Meanwhile, Jonsson (2013) points out that the ultimate utility of feedback in enhancing student learning hinges on students' ability to comprehend and act upon the feedback, suggesting a gap in students' readiness to engage with feedback productively. These perspectives underscore the complexity of feedback as a tool for learning enhancement, necessitating careful consideration of its design and implementation to truly benefit student learning outcomes (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Jonsson, 2013).

A. Role in Enhancing Academic Performance.

The role of feedback in enhancing academic performance is a multifaceted issue that has garnered attention across various disciplines within higher education. Feedback is fundamentally recognized as a crucial element for academic improvement, serving as a bridge between teaching and learning by providing students with insights into their performance and guiding them towards better outcomes (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). However, the effectiveness of feedback in genuinely enhancing academic performance is contingent upon several factors, including the quality, timeliness, and relevance of the feedback provided. These factors are critical in ensuring that feedback

acts as a constructive tool for learning, rather than merely an evaluative measure of student performance.

Moreover, the delivery and reception of feedback play a significant role in its utility for improving academic performance. Feedback that is perceived by students as constructive and supportive is more likely to be embraced and acted upon, compared to feedback that is seen as critical or punitive (Carless, 2006). This underscores the importance of the feedback process being a reciprocal engagement between educators and learners, where feedback is not only given but also received and internalized by students. However, challenges arise in ensuring that feedback is adequately communicated and understood by students, with studies indicating that mismatches in perceptions between teachers and students regarding feedback can hinder its effectiveness (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

The contextual and individual constraints within higher education further complicate the role of feedback in enhancing academic performance. Henderson, Ryan, and Phillips (2019) identify contextual constraints and individual capacity as significant barriers to effective feedback. These include logistical issues such as class size and composition, as well as individual factors like students' and educators' attitudes and capabilities toward feedback. Such constraints can limit the opportunity for feedback to be personalized and targeted, which is essential for facilitating meaningful improvements in student learning and performance.

Furthermore, the advent of digital and adaptive learning systems introduces new dimensions to the feedback process, offering potential for more personalized and timely feedback. Yet, the integration of these technologies also presents challenges in maintaining the human element of feedback, which is vital for fostering a supportive learning environment (Shute, 2008). The impersonal nature of automated feedback might fail to address the nuanced needs of students, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach that combines technological advancements with the pedagogical understanding of feedback's role in learning.

In conclusion, while feedback holds significant potential for enhancing academic performance in higher education, its effectiveness is highly dependent on

how it is implemented and perceived within the educational context. Addressing the challenges related to feedback delivery, reception, and the constraints of the higher education environment is crucial for realizing its benefits. Future efforts should focus on developing feedback practices that are not only informative but also supportive and adaptive to the diverse needs of students, thereby truly enhancing their academic performance and learning experience.

B. Impact on Student Motivation and Engagement

The impact of feedback on student motivation and engagement is a critical aspect of the learning process within higher education environments. Skinner and Belmont (1993) articulate the significance of teacher behavior, particularly involvement, structure, and autonomy support, in enhancing children's motivational and engagement levels across a school year. This relationship underscores the potential of feedback to not only inform students about their academic performance but also to significantly influence their motivation to learn and engage with educational content. However, the intricacy of achieving the right balance in feedback that adequately motivates and engages students cannot be overlooked. Feedback must be constructed and delivered in a manner that encourages students, fosters their autonomy in learning, and provides clear structure and direction.

Handley, Price, and Millar (2011) delve into the complexities of student engagement with feedback, highlighting the necessity for a shift from merely 'doing time' to 'mindful' engagement that involves reflection, interpretation, and application for future improvement. The nature and utility of feedback, therefore, hinge on students' engagement with it, suggesting that without active and thoughtful engagement, even well-constructed feedback may fall short of its intended benefits. This underscores the importance of fostering an environment and culture that promote active engagement with feedback, moving beyond the surface level compliance to deeper, more meaningful interactions with feedback content.

The technological advancements in educational settings, particularly the development and implementation of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS), offer new opportunities for personalized and timely feedback that could potentially enhance

student motivation and engagement. Iraj et al. (2020) investigate the association between feedback engagement and course success, revealing that early engagement with feedback correlates with higher academic achievement. This finding points to the potential of personalized feedback to foster a more engaging and motivating learning environment. Nonetheless, the challenge remains in ensuring that feedback, whether delivered through traditional methods or advanced ALS, is effectively designed and implemented to truly capture students' interest and promote their active engagement with the learning process.

In conclusion, while feedback has the potential to significantly impact student motivation and engagement, realizing this potential requires a nuanced understanding of student needs, thoughtful design of feedback mechanisms, and fostering an educational culture that values and promotes active engagement with feedback. The role of educators in modeling and encouraging such engagement, alongside leveraging technological tools for personalized feedback, remains paramount in enhancing the educational experiences and outcomes for students in higher education.

1. Feedback as a Tool for Self-Reflection.

Feedback, as a tool for self-reflection, is an integral component of the learning process, enabling students to introspectively examine their performance, understand their strengths and weaknesses, and identify areas for improvement. Quinton and Smallbone (2010) highlight the critical role of feedback in promoting student reflection and learning within the university context. They emphasize the necessity for students to actively engage with feedback, suggesting that reflection on feedback may be used formatively by teachers to encourage students to feed forward into future assessments, thereby completing the learning cycle. This approach to feedback underscores its potential not only as a mechanism for evaluation but also as a catalyst for personal growth and development.

However, engaging students in meaningful reflection through feedback presents challenges. Brodsky and Doherty (2010) argue that effective feedback must be specific, based on direct observation or objective data, and delivered using neutral,

non-judgemental language to foster a supportive learning environment. They also stress the importance of feedback being a collaborative process, where teachers and learners work together as allies. This collaborative approach is crucial for ensuring that feedback is not only received but is also internalized and acted upon by students, thus enhancing their self-reflection and learning outcomes.

Moreover, Hoo, Tan, and Deneen (2020) explore the negotiation of self- and peer-feedback through reflective journals, demonstrating how structured reflection can enable students to engage more effectively with feedback. Their findings suggest that students who actively reflect on both self- and peer-feedback, particularly when facilitated by a reflective journal, are more likely to improve their competencies. This highlights the significance of structured reflection in maximizing the impact of feedback on student learning and underscores the necessity for educational strategies that encourage students to thoughtfully consider and act upon the feedback they receive.

In summary, feedback serves as a powerful tool for fostering self-reflection among students, enabling them to critically assess their learning and identify pathways for improvement. However, realizing the full potential of feedback in enhancing student learning requires that feedback be specific, constructive, and part of a collaborative process between educators and learners. Moreover, incorporating structured reflective practices, such as reflective journals, can further enhance students' engagement with feedback, thereby promoting deeper learning and personal development.

2. Aligning Feedback with Learning Objectives

Aligning feedback with learning objectives is a critical strategy to enhance the efficacy of teaching and learning processes. Gallagher (2017) asserts that feedback, as a pivotal element of the Constructive Alignment model, significantly impacts student learning when explicitly aligned with learning outcomes, assessment criteria, and teaching activities. This alignment ensures that feedback is not just a retrospective commentary on student performance but a forward-looking tool that guides students toward achieving intended learning outcomes. However, achieving such alignment

necessitates a profound understanding of the curriculum and a cohesive approach to designing learning experiences, which may pose challenges in diverse educational settings where learning objectives and assessment practices vary widely.

Fernández-Toro and Furnborough (2018) explore the alignment between student and tutor perspectives on feedback, emphasizing the importance of mutual understanding for feedback's effectiveness. Their research highlights areas of potential misalignment, such as differences in expectations and interpretations of feedback's role in the learning process. This misalignment can dilute the impact of feedback on student learning, suggesting that clear communication and shared expectations between instructors and students are essential for aligning feedback with learning objectives effectively. Moreover, engaging students in the feedback process as active participants rather than passive recipients can further enhance this alignment, fostering a more meaningful and constructive engagement with feedback.

In the context of aligned teaching-learning methods and assessment, Goyal et al. (2017) demonstrate the potential of feedback to affirm the coherence of the educational design for both students and teachers. However, collecting and utilizing feedback effectively requires systematic approaches that consider the diversity of student experiences and the complexity of learning environments. The challenge lies not only in gathering feedback but also in interpreting and acting upon it in a manner that consistently supports learning objectives. This complexity underscores the necessity for educational strategies that not only align feedback with learning objectives but also adapt to the evolving needs of students and the dynamics of the learning environment.

In conclusion, aligning feedback with learning objectives is fundamental to maximizing learning outcomes. However, the complexity of achieving this alignment should not be underestimated. Effective alignment requires a holistic approach that encompasses clear communication, shared expectations, and active engagement of students in the feedback process. Addressing the challenges associated with feedback alignment necessitates a concerted effort from educators to develop and implement strategies that support the dynamic and diverse needs of learners.

2.2.2 Types of Feedback (Immediate vs. Delayed, Formative vs. Summative)

The distinction between immediate and delayed feedback, as well as between formative and summative feedback, is foundational to understanding their respective impacts on student learning and engagement. Immediate feedback, provided directly after a task or test, is lauded for reinforcing learning by promptly correcting mistakes, thus preventing the reinforcement of incorrect knowledge (Kulik & Kulik, 1988). Conversely, delayed feedback is posited to enhance learning by allowing time for students to reflect on their answers and develop problem-solving skills, promoting deeper understanding and retention (Maddox, Ashby, & Bohil, 2003). The timing of feedback, whether immediate or delayed, thus carries implications for instructional design and the optimization of learning processes, albeit with nuanced effects contingent upon the context and nature of the learning task.

Formative feedback, designed to modify thinking or behavior to improve student learning, plays a critical role in educational settings, providing learners with detailed information on their performance and how it can be improved (Shute, 2007). This contrasts with summative feedback, which typically occurs at the end of a learning cycle and serves to evaluate and grade a learner's performance, offering less in the way of ongoing learning support. While formative feedback is integral to the learning process, offering a roadmap for improvement and development, summative feedback closes the loop of a learning module or program, providing a final assessment of learner achievements. The distinction between formative and summative feedback underlines their different roles in the educational process, with formative feedback acting as a guiding tool for learning enhancement and summative feedback serving as a benchmark for evaluating educational outcomes.

However, aligning feedback with learning objectives is paramount for maximizing its effectiveness. Feedback must be purposefully designed to meet the specific goals of the curriculum and address the individual needs of learners. The challenge lies in ensuring that feedback, whether immediate or delayed, formative or summative, is not only relevant and timely but also actionable, enabling learners to apply insights gained to improve their performance (Van der Kleij et al., 2015). This

alignment demands a deliberate and thoughtful approach to feedback design, one that considers the varied dimensions of feedback and their potential impacts on student motivation, engagement, and learning outcomes. As educational paradigms shift towards more personalized and adaptive learning environments, the strategic use of feedback becomes increasingly critical, necessitating ongoing research and innovation to harness its full potential in enhancing student learning.

A. Advantages and Disadvantages of Each Type

Immediate and delayed feedback each have unique advantages and disadvantages in the context of learning. Immediate feedback is praised for its capacity to correct errors in real-time, thus preventing the reinforcement of incorrect practices and facilitating immediate self-correction. Hattie and Timperley (2007) assert that immediate feedback is crucial for tasks requiring quick adaptation and for fostering an environment where learners can rapidly assimilate corrective information. However, Kantak and Winstein (2012) caution against the overreliance on immediate feedback, noting it may lead to dependency and reduce the learner's ability to self-assess and correct without external inputs.

On the other hand, delayed feedback, while not offering the instant correction of immediate feedback, encourages deeper cognitive processing and self-reflection, allowing learners to develop self-regulation and assessment skills. Thurlings et al. (2013) discuss how delayed feedback can enhance the learner's ability to integrate feedback over time, fostering a more profound understanding and retention of the learning material. However, the effectiveness of delayed feedback is contingent on the learner's ability to recall their original response and understand the feedback's relevance, which can be challenging for complex tasks or for learners with developing metacognitive skills.

Both forms of feedback play critical roles in different learning phases and tasks, suggesting a need for a balanced approach that utilizes immediate feedback for initial learning and skill acquisition and delayed feedback for refining and mastering skills, promoting long-term retention, and developing independent learning capabilities. The decision on when to provide immediate versus delayed feedback

should consider the specific learning objectives, the nature of the task, and the learner's stage in the learning process (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Kantak & Winstein, 2012; Thurlings et al., 2013).

B. Application in Various Learning Contexts

The application of immediate and delayed feedback has been explored in a variety of learning contexts, each with unique considerations and outcomes. Hattie and Timperley (2007) emphasize the universal importance of feedback in enhancing learning and achievement across different settings. Their analysis suggests that the effectiveness of feedback, whether immediate or delayed, is contingent upon its ability to be specific, understandable, and actionable by learners. This underscores the need for educators to adapt feedback strategies to the specific learning environment and objectives.

In higher education, Jonsson (2013) discusses the challenge of ensuring that feedback is not only provided but also used productively by students. The study highlights the potential for feedback, particularly when delayed, to foster deeper cognitive processing and self-regulation among learners. This is particularly relevant in complex academic tasks where students benefit from reflecting on feedback over time to integrate it into their knowledge base and skill set. However, the effectiveness of such feedback strategies is heavily dependent on students' ability to understand and apply the feedback to future tasks.

In the clinical education context, Ramani and Krackov (2012) offer practical tips for providing effective feedback, emphasizing the importance of immediacy in feedback to correct errors and reinforce positive behaviors in a high-stakes, fast-paced environment. Their work illustrates the critical role of feedback timing in supporting skill acquisition and professional development in healthcare settings. These varied applications underscore the nuanced nature of feedback in education, highlighting the importance of tailoring feedback strategies to the specific learning context and objectives to maximize its positive impacts on student learning and performance.

1. Real-time Feedback in Online Environments

The deployment of real-time feedback in online learning environments represents a significant advancement in educational technology, offering a promising approach to enhancing student engagement, learning efficiency, and overall educational experience. Luo (2010) discusses the technical implementation of real-time feedback using web technologies like JavaScript, HTML, and CSS, underscoring the potential to reinforce learners' outcomes through immediate responsiveness to their actions. This technological framework provides an essential foundation for developing interactive and dynamic online learning materials that can adapt to learners' immediate needs.

However, the effectiveness of real-time feedback extends beyond its technical implementation. Mandernach (2005) explores the educational impact of various levels of computer-based feedback in online learning, including real-time feedback mechanisms. The study reveals that while student learning benefits from human interaction, the specific type of computer-based feedback, including real-time feedback, does not significantly influence learning outcomes. Despite this, students exhibited a strong preference for feedback types that provide immediate knowledge of responses, highlighting the importance of real-time feedback in meeting learners' expectations and preferences.

Real-time feedback's application in online learning environments is further examined by Meikleham and Hugo (2018), who identify challenges in replicating the nuanced informal feedback present in face-to-face settings. The study suggests that real-time feedback mechanisms can serve as alternative "face-to-face" experiences, facilitating informal feedback through digital means. This adaptation is crucial for maintaining the quality of learner-educator interactions in the transition from traditional to online educational formats.

Espasa and Meneses (2010) provide an analytical perspective on feedback processes in online learning, emphasizing real-time feedback's role in promoting learning regulation. The study associates the presence of feedback with improved

performance levels and higher satisfaction, suggesting that real-time feedback mechanisms are integral to effective online teaching practices.

Kosba, Dimitrova, and Boyle (2007) further underscore the significance of adaptive feedback systems in supporting educators within web-based distance education. Their research on generating feedback based on learner models and taxonomies highlights the potential of real-time feedback to tailor educational interventions to individual learners' needs, thereby enhancing the learning experience.

In a practical application, Barmaki and Hughes (2015) demonstrate how real-time feedback can support student teachers in a virtual environment, offering immediate guidance on nonverbal communication strategies crucial for classroom management and pedagogy. This study illustrates real-time feedback's applicability across different educational contexts, extending its benefits beyond traditional academic subjects to include professional skills development.

In conclusion, the integration of real-time feedback in online learning environments offers a multifaceted approach to enhancing the educational experience. From technical implementation to pedagogical application, real-time feedback serves as a crucial tool for meeting learners' immediate needs, facilitating informal feedback, and supporting personalized learning pathways. Despite challenges in replicating the depth of face-to-face interactions, the evolving landscape of educational technology presents opportunities for innovative feedback mechanisms that can significantly improve online learning outcomes.

2. Summative Feedback in Assessing Learning Outcomes

Summative feedback, typically provided after assessments meant to evaluate a student's performance, has a critical but often misunderstood role in educational contexts. Harrison et al. (2013) explore student engagement with web-based summative feedback, revealing a complex interaction between student engagement and learning-related characteristics. This study underscores a critical point: despite its potential for guiding future learning, summative feedback is frequently not optimized to foster deep engagement or meaningful learning improvements.

Further complicating the landscape, Harrison et al. (2015) delve into the barriers to utilizing feedback provided in a summative context. The study highlights a summative assessment culture focused more on avoiding failure than on excelling, which can dampen the efficacy of feedback. This cultural backdrop, coupled with strong emotions tied to assessments, can skew the focus towards merely passing, thus underutilizing feedback's potential for enhancing learning.

Addressing the timing and perception of feedback, the study by Kleij et al. (2012) on computer-based assessments sheds light on a pivotal issue: the timing of feedback (immediate vs. delayed) does not significantly impact learning outcomes, yet students show a preference for immediate feedback. This preference suggests a disconnect between student expectations and the actual impact of feedback timing, pointing towards the need for educational strategies that better align with student perceptions for feedback to be truly effective.

McCarthy (2015) examines the modalities of delivering feedback (written, audio, video) in higher education, proposing that different feedback forms can provide varied insights into academic performance. This diversity in feedback forms suggests a potential for leveraging different modalities to enhance the summative feedback experience, making it more engaging and insightful for students.

Taras (2005) provides a theoretical reflection on the nature of summative and formative feedback, suggesting that all feedback begins with a summative judgment, with formative elements adding value through learner engagement with the feedback. This perspective challenges conventional views and underscores the potential for integrating formative elements into summative feedback to enrich the learning process.

Blayney and Freeman (2004) discuss the automation of feedback in summative assessments using spreadsheet assignments, highlighting the potential for technology to deliver personalized feedback efficiently. This approach points towards the possibility of enhancing summative feedback's impact through innovative use of technology, making feedback more tailored and actionable for students.

Ridhwan (2017) emphasizes the importance of balancing formative and summative feedback in teacher education, suggesting that an overemphasis on summative assessment can hinder learning by limiting opportunities for constructive feedback. This viewpoint highlights the need for educational systems to foster an environment where formative and summative feedback coexist harmoniously, supporting continuous learning and improvement.

These studies collectively underscore the multifaceted challenges and opportunities in optimizing summative feedback in educational contexts. A shift towards integrating formative elements, leveraging technology, and aligning feedback modalities with student preferences could significantly enhance the efficacy of summative feedback, transforming it into a powerful tool for student learning and development.

2.3 Previous Studies on Feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems

The exploration of feedback within Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) has garnered significant attention, with research focusing on how feedback mechanisms can be optimized to support personalized learning experiences. This area of study encompasses a broad array of findings, including insights into the effectiveness of feedback across different learning domains and its long-term impacts on students' learning trajectories. While key discoveries have shed light on the critical role of feedback in enhancing learning outcomes, they also unveil a landscape rife with gaps and unanswered questions, particularly regarding the specificity of feedback's effectiveness and its enduring influence on learners. Furthermore, the practical application of these research findings into educational settings prompts a closer examination of strategies for implementing effective feedback mechanisms, underscoring the need for best practices in online learning platforms and actionable recommendations for educators and curriculum designers. This body of work not only highlights the nuanced role of feedback in ALS but also serves as a foundation for future research and practice aimed at refining and leveraging feedback to maximize educational success.

2.3.1 Findings and Gaps in the Literature

The exploration of feedback in adaptive learning systems (ALS) has unearthed significant findings but also highlighted notable gaps in the literature, demanding further investigation to optimize educational strategies. A review by Bimba et al. (2017) outlines the diversity in adaptive feedback implementations across computer-based learning environments, revealing a variety of approaches to enhancing student learning through adaptive feedback mechanisms. This comprehensive review categorizes feedback implementations based on students' information used for providing feedback, the aspect of the domain or pedagogical knowledge that is adapted, and the pedagogical reason for providing feedback. Despite the extensive analysis, the review also uncovers a gap in understanding the direct impact of specific adaptive feedback characteristics on learning outcomes, suggesting the need for more nuanced research that can link feedback strategies directly to student performance metrics.

Further, the work of Vandewaetere and Wauters (2010) investigates the role of learner control over feedback in adaptive learning environments, emphasizing individual differences in the benefits derived from such control. This study points to a significant gap in understanding how personal attributes, such as motivation and prior knowledge, interact with learner control over feedback to affect learning outcomes. It suggests a personalized approach to feedback could potentially enhance learning efficiency, yet detailed exploration into how these personal attributes can be accurately measured and effectively incorporated into ALS remains scant.

Moreover, Foerde and Shohamy (2011) delve into the neural underpinnings of learning from feedback, differentiating between the impacts of immediate versus delayed feedback on brain systems. This research illuminates the complex biological basis of feedback processing but also highlights a gap in translating these findings into practical ALS design principles. There's a lack of direct application of neuroscientific insights into the development of feedback mechanisms within ALS, suggesting an interdisciplinary gap where the potential for neuroscience-informed educational technology has yet to be fully realized.

These studies collectively advance our understanding of feedback in ALS, illustrating the varied approaches and significant potential of adaptive feedback to enhance learning. However, they also underscore the critical need for further research that bridges the gaps identified, from linking feedback characteristics directly to learning outcomes, understanding the interplay between learner attributes and feedback control, to integrating neuroscientific insights into ALS design.

A. Summary of Key Discoveries

Key discoveries in the domain of feedback within adaptive learning systems (ALS) have illuminated the diverse applications and potential impacts of feedback mechanisms, yet they also underscore the need for further research to refine these systems for broader educational use. The integration of adaptive feedback, based on real-time analysis of student actions and performance, presents a notable advancement in personalized learning, offering tailored support that can significantly enhance learning outcomes (Bimba et al., 2017). This adaptive approach, which dynamically adjusts to each learner's unique needs, underscores the potential of ALS to revolutionize the educational landscape by providing highly individualized learning experiences.

However, the literature reveals gaps in the understanding of the long-term impacts of adaptive feedback on learning retention and transfer. While immediate enhancements in learning outcomes are well-documented, less is known about how these improvements translate into long-term retention of knowledge and skills or their transfer to new contexts (Foerde & Shohamy, 2011). This gap suggests an area ripe for future research, particularly in studies that follow learners over extended periods to assess the durability of learning gains facilitated by adaptive feedback.

Furthermore, the role of learner characteristics, such as motivation, self-efficacy, and prior knowledge, in mediating the effectiveness of adaptive feedback remains an underexplored area. Research indicates that these individual differences can significantly influence how learners perceive and engage with feedback (Vandewaetere & Wauters, 2010), pointing to the need for ALS designs that consider and adapt to the wide variability in learner profiles. By addressing these gaps, future

research can advance the development of ALS that not only provide effective adaptive feedback but also cater to the diverse needs and characteristics of learners, thereby maximizing the educational benefits of these advanced learning technologies.

B. Identified Needs for Further Research

Within the realm of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS), the examination of feedback's role has unveiled critical insights, yet it simultaneously highlights the landscape's complexity and the pressing need for further research. Specifically, two areas stand out as particularly pivotal for deepening our understanding: the effectiveness of feedback in specific learning domains and its long-term impact on learning trajectories. These sub-topics suggest a nuanced dynamic where feedback's influence might vary greatly depending on the subject matter, indicating that a one-size-fits-all approach to feedback may not be universally effective. Additionally, the enduring effects of feedback on a learner's educational journey—beyond immediate performance improvements—raise questions about how feedback strategies in ALS can support sustained academic growth and skill development. Addressing these gaps through targeted research could significantly enhance the design and implementation of feedback mechanisms, ensuring they are both tailored to the specific learning context and aligned with long-term educational objectives.

1. Effectiveness of Feedback in Specific Learning Domains

The effectiveness of feedback within specific learning domains has garnered increasing attention, indicating both significant advancements and critical areas for future research. In educational settings, the influence of feedback is complex, contingent on the learning theory applied and the context in which feedback is given. Thurlings et al. (2013) provide a nuanced perspective on feedback effectiveness, underscoring that regardless of the underlying learning theory, effective feedback is generally goal- or task-directed, specific, and neutral. This assertion highlights the universal principles underpinning the constructive application of feedback across various learning domains. However, the literature reveals a gap in understanding how these principles translate into practice within specialized learning domains, such as those requiring intricate procedural knowledge or complex problem-solving skills.

Feedback's role in promoting self-regulation and metacognition among learners further illustrates its critical function in educational psychology. Poulos and Mahony (2008) delve into students' perceptions of feedback, emphasizing its contribution beyond mere academic performance to include aspects like learning engagement and self-regulation. This perspective expands the scope of feedback's effectiveness, suggesting that its impact is not confined to immediate academic improvements but extends to fostering long-term learning strategies. Despite these insights, there remains a need for research exploring the differential effects of feedback in learning domains characterized by varying levels of abstraction and application, such as mathematics versus language arts or science versus social studies.

Moreover, the application of feedback in technology-enhanced learning environments, particularly in adaptive learning systems, presents new challenges and opportunities. Gielen et al. (2009) highlight the potential of peer feedback for learning, pointing to the effectiveness of certain characteristics of feedback content and style. While these findings are promising, they also indicate a gap in understanding how adaptive feedback mechanisms can be optimized for specific learning domains within digital platforms. The interaction between the medium of feedback delivery (e.g., textual, audiovisual) and the domain-specific learning objectives necessitates further exploration to harness the full potential of adaptive learning technologies.

In conclusion, while significant strides have been made in elucidating the general principles of effective feedback, substantial work remains in applying these principles within specific learning domains. Future research should focus on domain-specific feedback strategies, the integration of feedback in technology-enhanced learning environments, and the longitudinal effects of feedback on domain-specific learning outcomes.

2. Long-term Impact of Feedback on Learning Trajectories

The exploration into the long-term impact of feedback on learning trajectories reveals significant findings and underscores the complexity of feedback's role in educational progression. Studies emphasize feedback's potential not only to correct immediate errors but also to facilitate sustained academic growth and

adaptability. However, a thorough analysis uncovers a conspicuous gap in longitudinal research that tracks the lasting effects of feedback across diverse learning domains and educational stages.

Feedback's capacity to shape learning trajectories hinges on several factors, including the type, timing, and delivery mode, each presenting unique advantages and challenges for long-term learning outcomes. For instance, Hattie and Timperley (2007) delineate the conditions under which feedback is most effective, highlighting the importance of clear, actionable insights that align with learners' goals. While their work is foundational, it prompts further inquiry into how these principles apply to long-term educational trajectories, especially in fields undergoing rapid evolution or in interdisciplinary contexts.

Moreover, the role of feedback in fostering self-regulated learning over time remains an area ripe for exploration. The ability of learners to internalize feedback and apply it to future learning tasks is crucial for sustained academic success. Studies like those by Mory (1992) and Carless (2019) touch on the importance of feedback in developing self-regulatory capacities, yet more research is needed to understand how these processes unfold over longer periods and across various stages of education.

In the realm of adaptive learning systems, where feedback can be highly personalized and immediate, the longitudinal effects of such tailored interventions are yet to be fully understood. The work of Azevedo and Bernard (1995) points to the potential of adaptive feedback to significantly impact learning trajectories through customized support. However, the dynamics of how this immediate, personalized feedback influences long-term learning processes, particularly in fostering adaptability and resilience in learners, are not well-documented.

Lastly, the affective and motivational dimensions of feedback—how it influences learners' engagement, persistence, and attitudes toward learning over time—are critically underexplored. The immediate impact of feedback on motivation and engagement is recognized, as noted by Lewthwaite and Wulf (2010), but its enduring effects on learners' academic journeys and their evolving relationship with learning remain largely uncharted.

In summary, while the existing literature provides valuable insights into the immediate and specific effects of feedback on learning, there is a marked need for comprehensive studies that examine the longitudinal impact of feedback across diverse educational landscapes. Addressing these gaps will enhance our understanding of feedback's role in shaping durable learning trajectories and inform the development of more effective educational practices.

2.3.2 Implications for Practice

The integration of feedback within adaptive learning systems has highlighted its crucial role in enhancing educational outcomes, yet the exploration of its implications for practice reveals several nuanced considerations. The review by Bimba et al. (2017) sheds light on the diverse implementations of adaptive feedback, categorizing these based on various characteristics such as the pedagogical rationale and the means of feedback delivery. This comprehensive analysis points towards the potential of adaptive feedback to personalize the learning experience significantly. However, it also underscores the necessity for educators and developers to carefully design feedback mechanisms that align with specific learning objectives and student needs, suggesting a targeted approach rather than a one-size-fits-all solution.

The study by Tiam-Lee and Sumi (2018) on providing adaptive feedback based on student emotion within a programming practice system exemplifies the intricate balance required in adapting feedback to learner states. By detecting confusion through facial expressions and engagement metrics, the system dynamically adjusts the complexity of exercises and provides timely guides, demonstrating the practical implications of incorporating affective computing in feedback mechanisms. This approach underscores the importance of considering emotional and cognitive states in feedback design, yet it also highlights the challenges in accurately detecting and interpreting these states across diverse learning contexts and populations.

Furthermore, the work by Miyamura and Kimura (2002) on the control theoretical validity of feedback error learning schemes introduces a critical perspective on the stability and efficacy of feedback mechanisms from a computational standpoint. While offering insights into the potential for sophisticated feedback algorithms to

enhance learning, it also emphasizes the complexity of ensuring these algorithms' stability and their alignment with pedagogical goals. This underscores a significant implication for practice: the need for ongoing research and development to refine feedback algorithms and ensure they are robust, reliable, and pedagogically sound.

These studies collectively emphasize the critical role of feedback in adaptive learning systems and its potential to transform educational practices. However, they also highlight the need for a nuanced understanding of feedback's diverse aspects, from emotional to computational, to optimize its implementation and impact on learning trajectories.

A. Practical Applications of Research Findings

The practical applications of research findings on feedback in adaptive learning systems (ALS) underscore a pivotal shift toward more personalized, effective educational practices. Bimba et al. (2017) provide a comprehensive review of adaptive feedback mechanisms, highlighting how these systems can tailor feedback based on individual learner characteristics and preferences, thus enhancing the learning experience. This adaptability ensures that feedback is not only more relevant but also more engaging for learners, potentially leading to improved learning outcomes. However, the application of these findings necessitates careful consideration of the diversity of learners' needs and the contextual nuances of learning environments to effectively implement adaptive feedback strategies.

The research by Miyamura and Kimura (2002) on the stability of feedback error learning schemes in ALS presents critical insights for the practical design of these systems. Ensuring the stability of feedback mechanisms is essential for maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the learning experience. This research suggests that feedback in ALS must be meticulously calibrated to avoid overwhelming or confusing learners, pointing towards the need for a balanced approach that considers the cognitive load and the learners' capacity to process and act upon feedback.

Furthermore, the meta-analysis conducted by Azevedo and Bernard (1995) on the effects of feedback in computer-based instruction underscores the significant

impact that feedback can have on learning and retention. The findings suggest that diagnostic and prescriptive feedback strategies are particularly effective, highlighting the importance of feedback that not only identifies errors but also guides learners towards understanding and correcting those errors. Implementing these findings in practice requires ALS to be equipped with sophisticated diagnostic tools and content generation algorithms that can provide specific, actionable feedback tailored to each learner's needs.

Additionally, the exploration of adaptive feedback based on student emotion by Tiam-Lee and Sumi (2018) introduces an innovative dimension to feedback strategies, emphasizing the role of affective states in learning. This research underscores the potential of using emotional cues to adapt feedback in real-time, enhancing engagement and motivation. Practical application of these findings involves integrating advanced affective computing technologies into ALS, enabling these systems to respond not just to cognitive signals but also to emotional states, thereby providing a more holistic learning support mechanism.

In summary, the practical application of research findings on feedback in ALS involves a multidimensional approach that considers cognitive, affective, and contextual factors. Ensuring the effectiveness of feedback strategies requires a deep understanding of learning processes, sophisticated technological capabilities, and a commitment to personalizing the learning experience. As the field of ALS continues to evolve, leveraging these insights will be crucial for developing systems that can adaptively support learners in achieving their educational goals.

B. Strategies for Implementing Effective Feedback Mechanisms

Implementing effective feedback mechanisms within Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) demands a nuanced understanding of how feedback functions across diverse learning environments and individual learner needs. According to Bimba et al. (2017), adaptive feedback in computer-based learning environments should be tailored to individual learner characteristics, such as prior knowledge, learning progress, and preferences, to enhance learning outcomes. This entails developing feedback that is not only personalized but also timely and relevant, addressing the specific gaps in a

learner's understanding or skill set. The complexity of designing such feedback systems requires an integrated approach that considers the various dimensions of learning, including cognitive, emotional, and social factors, and how they interact within an adaptive learning environment.

Furthermore, the literature suggests that the effectiveness of feedback mechanisms is significantly influenced by their alignment with learning theories and educational goals. Thurlings et al. (2013) emphasize the importance of feedback being goal-directed, specific, and neutral to be effective. This implies that feedback strategies must be grounded in a solid understanding of learning processes and designed to promote specific learning outcomes. Effective feedback mechanisms should facilitate a dialogue between the learner and the system, offering constructive criticism and encouragement that motivate learners to engage deeply with the material and reflect on their learning process.

However, despite the potential of adaptive feedback to enhance learning, there remains a gap in the literature regarding the long-term impact of such feedback on learning trajectories and its effectiveness across various learning domains (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). As educational technologies continue to evolve, there is a pressing need for empirical research that investigates these aspects of feedback in depth. Strategies for implementing effective feedback mechanisms must be continuously refined and tested in real-world settings to ensure they meet the diverse needs of learners. This entails a commitment to ongoing research and development, informed by both theoretical insights and empirical evidence, to realize the full potential of adaptive feedback in fostering meaningful learning experiences.

1. Best Practices for Feedback in Online Learning Platforms

Implementing effective feedback mechanisms in online learning platforms involves a multifaceted approach that incorporates several best practices to enhance student learning and engagement. Leibold and Schwarz (2015) underscore the importance of providing feedback that is positive, specific, timely, and enhances the learning experience. This emphasizes the need for educators to craft feedback that not only corrects errors but also motivates and guides learners towards better performance.

However, the transition of these practices to online environments introduces challenges, such as maintaining the personal touch and immediacy that face-to-face feedback often provides.

Cavalcanti et al. (2019) delve into the challenge of delivering quality feedback in digital learning environments, highlighting the difficulty posed by large class sizes. Their research proposes a machine learning algorithm to identify good feedback practices automatically, underscoring the potential of artificial intelligence to support educators in providing meaningful feedback at scale. This technological approach suggests a promising avenue for enhancing feedback quality in online courses, but it also raises questions about the authenticity and personalization of automated feedback mechanisms.

Meikleham and Hugo (2018) explore the nuance of informal feedback in online education and its impact on course design. They identify alternative methods to traditional face-to-face interactions, such as structured formative assessments and the use of unstructured learner-generated data, to facilitate informal feedback. These strategies highlight the importance of adapting feedback methods to the online environment to maintain a sense of presence and engagement among students.

Bonnel and Boehm (2011) identify best practices from experienced faculty, emphasizing the use of available tools, having a systematic approach, and creating a feedback-rich environment. These practices underscore the necessity for educators to be strategic and deliberate in their feedback mechanisms, leveraging technology to create an environment where feedback is frequent, accessible, and integrated into the learning process.

Carless et al. (2011) focus on sustainable feedback practices, highlighting the role of student self-regulation and the importance of feedback for long-term learning development. Their findings suggest that feedback should not only address immediate learning gaps but also promote strategies for self-assessment and lifelong learning. This perspective shifts the focus from feedback as a one-time intervention to an ongoing process that empowers students to take control of their learning journey.

In summary, best practices for feedback in online learning platforms involve a combination of timely, specific, and constructive feedback; the use of technology to support personalized and scalable feedback mechanisms; and strategies that promote student engagement and self-regulated learning. As online education continues to evolve, so too must the strategies for providing effective feedback, requiring ongoing research, innovation, and adaptation to meet the needs of diverse learning communities.

2. Recommendations for Educators and Curriculum Designers

The role of feedback in adaptive learning systems is critically pivotal for enhancing teaching and curriculum design practices. The adaptation of feedback mechanisms, based on research findings, presents a strategic avenue for educators and curriculum designers to refine educational methodologies. The recommendation system for adaptive learning, as explored by Chen et al. (2018), underlines the importance of leveraging psychometric assessment results and individual learner characteristics. This approach not only streamlines the educational content to meet the learners' current knowledge state but also advocates for a data-driven decision-making process in curriculum design, emphasizing the need for a robust framework that encapsulates the learners' evolving educational journey.

Bimba et al. (2017) highlight the diverse implementations of adaptive feedback, pointing towards the necessity for educators to design feedback mechanisms that are not just reactive but also proactive in nature. The categorization of feedback based on adaptation characteristics—means, target, goal, and strategy—provides a structured approach for curriculum designers to tailor educational experiences that are both personalized and goal-oriented. However, this also poses the challenge of integrating adaptive feedback within the curriculum in a way that is seamless and non-disruptive to the learning process, underscoring the need for innovative curriculum design strategies that can accommodate adaptive feedback mechanisms.

In the context of programming education, the work by Le (2016) on classifying feedback types supported by educational systems for programming emphasizes the importance of feedback in nurturing problem-solving skills. For

curriculum designers, this underscores the significance of embedding adaptive feedback mechanisms that can address the unique challenges faced by learners in specific domains, such as programming, where the learning curve can be particularly steep. Implementing effective feedback mechanisms in these domains requires a nuanced understanding of the learners' needs and the specific hurdles they encounter, highlighting the necessity for curriculum designers to adopt a domain-specific approach to feedback implementation.

Furthermore, the adaptive feedback framework proposed by Gouli et al. (2006) for supporting reflection, guiding, and tutoring presents an integrated approach for educators to foster a reflective learning environment. This framework emphasizes the role of feedback not just in providing information about performance but also in promoting self-assessment and critical thinking skills among learners. For educators and curriculum designers, this necessitates a shift towards designing learning experiences that are reflective in nature and encourage learners to engage deeply with the feedback provided.

In summary, the strategic implementation of adaptive feedback mechanisms, informed by research findings, offers educators and curriculum designers an opportunity to enhance learning experiences significantly. By leveraging data-driven insights, tailoring feedback to specific learning domains, and fostering a reflective learning environment, educators can effectively address the diverse needs of learners. This approach not only enhances the efficacy of teaching strategies but also paves the way for the development of curricula that are adaptive, personalized, and conducive to lifelong learning.

CHAPTER 3:
METHODOLOGY

This chapter outlines the research design, population, sampling techniques, and data collection instruments employed in the study. It provides a detailed description of the adaptive learning system used, along with the feedback mechanisms incorporated. Data analysis methods, including statistical and thematic approaches, are also described. The methodology ensures rigor and validity, enabling a systematic investigation into the effectiveness of ALS in improving academic writing skills among university students.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopts a pre-experimental design, specifically a one-group pre-test/post-test model. This design allows for assessing the changes in academic writing skills among university students following the intervention with the ALS.

3.1.1 Experimental Design

The experimental design is rigorously structured to ensure a thorough investigation of the ALS's impact on academic writing. It involves detailed planning, execution, and analysis phases, tailored to the educational intervention's complexities.

The experiment is organized into distinct phases:

1. Preparation Phase: This phase involved the development and alignment of adaptive learning content with academic writing objectives, ensuring it addressed key areas identified in prior diagnostic assessments, such as grammar, coherence, and critical thinking. Additionally, the ALS platform was customized to include immediate and specific feedback mechanisms based on iterative cycles identified in prior research.

2. Implementation Phase: During this phase, participants actively engaged with the ALS over a six-week period, following a structured sequence of lessons, exercises, and quizzes. To ensure effective engagement, real-time technical

support was provided, and system usage was closely monitored. Participants received immediate, targeted feedback on their academic writing tasks, fostering iterative improvements in key skills.

3. Evaluation Phase: This phase entailed collecting data via pre-tests and post-tests to quantify improvements in writing skills. Alongside these measures, participant satisfaction surveys were conducted to evaluate their engagement with the ALS and perceived usefulness of the feedback mechanisms. Qualitative feedback from open-ended survey responses provided additional insights into user experiences and system effectiveness.

By integrating ALS with a focus on adaptive feedback mechanisms, this study aims to leverage technological advancements to enhance academic writing skills comprehensively. The single-group design proved suitable for isolating the direct impacts of the ALS intervention, as shown by statistically significant improvements in academic writing scores between the pre-test and post-test evaluations. Additionally, the inclusion of satisfaction surveys provided critical context for understanding how adaptive features and real-time feedback influenced learner engagement.

Through this structured experimental design, the study seeks to contribute valuable findings on the potential of ALS to improve academic writing among university students. By addressing limitations such as short-term implementation and sample homogeneity, future applications can build on this foundation to further refine ALS interventions.

3.1.2 Variables

In this study, variables play a critical role in structuring the investigation and analysis of how Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) and feedback mechanisms influence university students' academic writing skills. The variables are categorized as follows:

Independent Variables:

IV1: Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS)

- **Personalization of Learning Content**: The ALS customizes educational materials based on individual student needs, learning pace, and prior performance, ensuring personalized support for each learner.
- **Adjustment to Learning Pace**: The system dynamically adjusts the pace of content delivery to align with students' abilities to absorb and process information effectively.
- **Data-driven Learning Pathways**: By analyzing real-time performance data, the ALS optimizes students' learning pathways to address weaknesses and reinforce strengths.

IV2: Feedback Mechanisms

- **Timeliness of Feedback**: Immediate feedback ensures that students receive guidance while the material is still fresh, facilitating quicker corrections and better retention.
- **Relevance and Specificity**: Feedback is directly tied to the tasks and is specific enough for students to understand what they did well or where they need improvement.
- **Constructiveness and Actionability**: Feedback includes constructive suggestions that are clear and actionable, enabling students to improve their performance iteratively.

Dependent Variable:

DV 1: Academic Writing Skills of University Students

- **Improvement in Writing Quality**: Measured by pre-test and post-test scores, improvements include better organization, argument clarity, and grammar.

- **Enhanced Knowledge About Academic Writing Skills**: Refers to a broader understanding of academic writing principles, including critical thinking, structuring arguments, and integrating evidence effectively.

DV 1: Student Satisfaction

- **Satisfaction with the Adaptive Learning System (ALS):** This assesses students' perceptions of the ALS, focusing on its usability, personalization, and effectiveness in meeting their academic needs.
- **Satisfaction with Feedback Mechanisms:** Evaluates the timeliness, relevance, and usefulness of feedback in aiding students' writing skill development.

By examining the impact of the independent variables (ALS and feedback mechanisms) on both academic writing skills and student satisfaction (dependent variables), the study aims to comprehensively evaluate the system's effectiveness in a real-world educational setting. The findings will offer valuable insights into how adaptive learning environments and feedback delivery can be optimized to improve student performance and satisfaction.

3.2 Population and Sample

The methodology of this study is designed to explore the impact of adaptive learning systems (ALS) on the academic writing skills and engagement of university students. This section outlines the population and sampling technique used in the research.

3.2.1 Description of the Population and Sampling Technique

The study employs a purposive sampling method, focusing on a specific cohort of Business English major students at Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University. This approach allows for a detailed investigation of the ALS's efficacy within a specialized academic setting, capturing targeted insights into its role in enhancing academic writing skills and student engagement.

A. Target Population Characteristics: The target population comprises Business English majors enrolled in an academic reading and writing course during the first semester of the 2024 academic year. This group was selected to examine discipline-specific writing needs, including grammar, coherence, and critical thinking.

This focus aligns with prior findings from the study, which emphasize that adaptive systems should be tailored to meet the unique learning outcomes and challenges of specific disciplines. By targeting Business English majors, the study ensures its findings are relevant to similar academic and professional contexts. (Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

B. Sampling Methodology: The study uses purposive sampling to select approximately 70 students from the Business English reading and writing course. This single-group approach ensures a controlled examination of the ALS intervention without the complexity of a comparative control group.

The purposive sampling design facilitates a structured exploration of the ALS's impacts, focusing on measurable improvements in writing skills and engagement as observed through pre-test and post-test assessments. (Palinkas et al., 2015).

C. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Inclusion Criteria: Students must be actively enrolled in the Business English academic reading and writing course during the specified semester and fully participate in the ALS intervention.

Exclusion Criteria: Students not enrolled in this course or unable to complete the ALS intervention for any reason (e.g., technological challenges or insufficient engagement) were excluded. These criteria maintain the study's focus on participants who represent the target population and ensure the integrity of the results.

D. Ensuring Representativeness of Sample: While purposive sampling inherently limits generalizability, this study aims to include a diverse range of proficiency levels and learning styles within the selected cohort. This diversity ensures

the findings reflect the broader applicability of ALS for improving academic writing skills.

The sample also includes students with varying levels of familiarity with adaptive technologies, addressing potential variability in engagement and outcomes.

This methodology underscores the utility of purposive sampling in evaluating the specific impacts of educational interventions, particularly within defined academic disciplines. The approach provides a robust template for future research exploring ALS applications in specialized educational settings, offering valuable insights for broader implementation.

3.2.2 Experimental Setup and Group Management

The study involves 70 students from Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, all enrolled in a reading and writing course during the first semester of the 2024 academic year. Unlike the original two-group design, this research adopts a single-group pre-test/post-test model to evaluate the impact of adaptive learning systems (ALS) on students' academic writing skills and engagement.

A. Criteria for Participant Inclusion

Participants were selected based on their enrollment in the specified academic reading and writing course. This criterion ensures the study's findings are directly applicable to the students' academic needs and relevant to the course's learning objectives. The focus on a single group allows the study to attribute observed changes in academic writing skills and engagement directly to the ALS intervention. By assessing all students under uniform conditions, the study eliminates external variables introduced by comparisons between different groups.

B. Management of Potential Bias

To address potential biases inherent in the single-group pre-test/post-test design, the study implements the following measures:

1) Pre-intervention and Post-intervention Assessments:

Students' academic writing skills are assessed both before and after the ALS intervention using standardized rubrics. These assessments enable a direct comparison of changes within the group, isolating the ALS's impact on writing skills.

2) Handling of Data Consistency:

Regular progress monitoring ensures that data collected during the intervention accurately reflects students' engagement and skill development. Interactions with the ALS platform, such as quiz attempts and feedback revisions, are tracked to ensure a consistent application of the system.

3) Minimization of Dropout Effects:

To reduce attrition rates, strategies such as providing engaging content, offering regular support, and implementing motivational techniques were employed. These measures helped maintain student participation throughout the study. The statistical analysis accounts for any dropout or non-compliance, ensuring that the findings remain representative of the group as a whole.

C. Ensuring Reliability and Validity

To ensure the reliability and validity of the study, the following strategies are applied:

1) Equivalence Checks: Baseline assessments and surveys were conducted before the intervention to establish initial measures of academic writing skills and engagement. These baseline measures ensure that any observed changes can be attributed specifically to the ALS intervention.

2) Statistical Power Considerations: A power analysis was conducted to confirm that the sample size of 70 students is sufficient to detect meaningful changes between pre-test and post-test scores. This ensures the study's design is robust and capable of producing reliable results.

By employing a single-group pre-test/post-test design, this study effectively isolates the ALS's effects on academic writing skills and engagement. The method

enhances internal validity by comparing baseline measures with post-intervention outcomes, directly linking observed improvements to the ALS intervention. This simplified experimental setup allows for clear and actionable insights into the system's efficacy, supporting its potential application in similar educational contexts.

3.3 Instruments and Data Collection

This section describes the instruments used and the process of data collection in the study on the effectiveness of feedback in adaptive learning systems (ALS) for improving the academic writing skills of university students.

1. Adaptive Lessons: Designed specifically for the academic reading and writing course during the first semester of 2024. These lessons integrated the ALS framework to provide personalized feedback and tailored learning pathways.

2. Pre-Test and Post-Test: Administered to measure students' academic writing skills before and after the intervention, providing quantitative data on the effectiveness of the ALS.

3. Satisfaction Survey: A survey was conducted to assess students' perceptions of the ALS and the feedback mechanisms, capturing qualitative data on user satisfaction and engagement.

3.3.1 Development of Adaptive Lessons

The adaptive lessons for the academic reading and writing course were developed through the following structured process:

1. Research and analysis of relevant documents, concepts, theories, and studies related to applying adaptive learning systems to academic writing.

2. Development of Lessons in the Learning Management System (LMS):

- Analyzing course descriptions and defining clear learning objectives.
- Structuring lesson content and activities to address both linguistic and cognitive writing skills.

- Presenting the initial lesson framework to subject matter experts for content review and feedback on pedagogical strategies.
- Finalizing the lesson content and integrating it into Moodle, a widely used LMS, to facilitate adaptive delivery and feedback.

Features of the Adaptive Learning System

The Adaptive Learning System consists of eight modules focusing on practical writing lessons, with each module addressing a specific aspect of academic writing.

A. General Features

Each module targets core writing competencies:

Module 1: Language Points for Writing: Words, Phrases, and Sentences, Paragraphs (Choice of Words, Use of Phrases, Sentence Structure, Sentence Clarity and Cohesion, Paragraph Structure, Unity and Coherence in Paragraphs, Use of Active and Passive Voice, Conciseness and Redundancy, Tone and Formality, Revision for Precision and Clarity)

Module 2: Writing a Paragraph Describing a Person (Choice of Descriptive Words and Phrases, Sensory Details, Character Traits and Personality, Figurative Language, Structure and Organization, Consistency in Perspective and Tense, Showing vs. Telling, Relevance of Details)

Module 3: Language points for Writing a process paragraph (Use of Sequential Words and Phrases, Clarity and Precision, Imperative Mood, Passive Voice, Temporal Clarity, Visual Aids and Examples, Safety Notes and Warnings, Terminology and Definitions, Conciseness and Relevance)

Module 4: Language Points for Writing a Narrative Paragraph (Narrative Tense, Character Development, Setting Description, Dialogue, Plot Structure, Point of View, Use of Literary Devices, Pacing and Rhythm, Show, Don’t Tell, Revision for Clarity and Impact)

Module 5: Language Points for Writing a Cause and Effect Paragraph (Understanding Cause and Effect, Use of Signal Words and Phrases, Structure and Organization, Clarity and Precision, Complex Sentences with Clauses, Evidence and Examples, Avoiding Logical Fallacies, Cohesion and Coherence, Tone and Formality (2), Revision for Impact)

Module 6: Language Points for Writing an Expository Paragraph (Clarity and Precision, Use of Definitions and Explanations, Structural Coherence, Objective Tone, Transitional Words and Phrases, Evidence and Examples, Complex Sentences and Subordination, Variation in Sentence Structure, Use of Visual Aids, Revision for Clarity and Conciseness)

Module 7: Language Points for Writing a Comparison and Contrast Paragraph (Understanding Comparison and Contrast, Structure and Organization, Use of Comparative and Contrastive Language, Thesis Statement, Transitional Words and Phrases, Balanced Discussion, Specificity and Detail, Use of Visual Aids, Cohesion and Coherence, Revision for Impact)

Module 8: Language Points for Writing an Argumentative Paragraph (Clarity of Position, Use of Persuasive Language, Logical Structure, Evidence and Support, Acknowledging Counterarguments, Use of Transitional Phrases, Variety in Sentence Structure, Tone and Formality, Concluding Statements, Revision for Precision and Strength)

***B. Structure of Each Module**:*

Each module consists of 8-10 sub-topics, each designed to foster incremental skill development through practical exercises.

1. **Content Description and Activities**:
    - Brief descriptions introduce each topic and provide examples.
2. **Exercises**:
    - *Exercise A*: Ten multiple-choice questions with feedback explaining correct and incorrect responses.

- *Exercise B*: Ten short-answer questions designed to enhance critical thinking and application of concepts.

C. Feedback Features:

Constructive feedback is integral to the ALS, ensuring students receive detailed explanations for correct and incorrect answers. This feedback incorporates:

- Hints and clues to guide students to the correct answer.
- Explanations to deepen understanding of content and reasoning.
- Context-specific feedback to address individual mistakes, fostering iterative improvement.

Integration with Data Collection Instruments

The pre-test, post-test, and satisfaction survey align seamlessly with the adaptive lessons, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of the ALS's impact. Pre-test and post-test results quantify improvements in academic writing, while the satisfaction survey provides qualitative insights into user engagement and the perceived value of feedback mechanisms.

This approach ensures the study is both methodologically rigorous and reflective of student experiences, offering valuable insights into the design and implementation of adaptive systems for skill development.

Table: Number of questions in the lessons

Modules	**Multiple-choice**		**Cloze questions**		**Total**
	A	**B**	**A**	**B**	
Module 1: Language Points for Writing: Words, Phrases, and Sentences, Paragraphs	200	200	200	200	800

Modules	Multiple-choice		Cloze questions		Total
	A	B	A	B	
Module 2: Language Points for Writing a Paragraph Describing a Person	130	130	130	130	520
Module 3: Language points for writing a process paragraph:	160	160	160	160	640
Module 4: Language Points for Writing a Narrative Paragraph:	200	200	200	200	800
Module 5: Language Points for Writing a Cause and Effect Paragraph:	200	200	200	200	800
Module 6: Language Points for Writing an Expository Paragraph:	200	200	200	200	800
Module 7: Language Points for Writing a Comparison and Contrast Paragraph:	200	200	200	200	800
Module 8: Language Points for Writing an Argumentative Paragraph:	200	200	200	200	800
Total	**1,490**	**1,490**	**1,490**	**1,490**	**5,960**

C. Lesson Settings

The lesson settings within the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) are structured to provide a systematic and rigorous learning environment:

1. **Module Structure**:
    - Each of the eight modules contains approximately **10 topics**, further divided into **2 sub-topics per topic**.
    - Each sub-topic includes:

        - A **content page** for conceptual understanding.
        - Two practical exercises:
            - **Exercise A**: 10 multiple-choice questions.
            - **Exercise B**: 10 short-answer questions.

2. **Completion Criteria**:
    - Students must view the learning content before accessing the exercises.
    - To progress, students must achieve a **minimum passing grade of 50%** on both exercises (A and B).

Example: Module 1 Flow

- **Topic 1.1: Choice of Words**
    - *Sub-topic 1.1.1*: Understanding the importance of selecting precise and appropriate words to convey meaning.
        1. View content page.
        2. Attempt **Exercise A**: Multiple-choice questions (minimum passing grade: 50%).
        3. Attempt **Exercise B**: Cloze-type short-answer questions (minimum passing grade: 50%).
    - *Sub-topic 1.1.2*: Expanding vocabulary to include a range of descriptive words, action verbs, and specific nouns.
- The sequence progresses through topics and sub-topics, culminating in **Module 8**, where students refine advanced argumentative writing strategies.

Enhanced Features of the ALS

The Adaptive Learning System's design ensures comprehensive skill development through:

1. **Modular Writing Skills Development**:
    - From foundational grammar and vocabulary to advanced argumentative writing, the modules address all critical aspects of academic writing.
2. **Constructive Feedback**:
    - Feedback for every exercise includes detailed explanations of why an answer is correct or incorrect, offering actionable insights to guide student improvement.
3. **Rigorous Academic Standards**:
    - Completion criteria require consistent engagement with learning content and practice exercises, simulating real-world writing scenarios and expectations.

Summary of the ALS's Approach

The ALS is meticulously designed to enhance students' academic writing skills through progressive, module-based learning. The structured lesson sequence, combined with interactive exercises and constructive feedback, ensures a thorough grounding in essential writing skills. By the end of the course, students are equipped with the tools needed to excel in a variety of academic and professional writing contexts, making the ALS an invaluable resource for learning improvement.

3.3.2 Pre-test and Post-test for Academic Writing Skills

To evaluate the effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) in enhancing academic writing skills, a comprehensive Academic Writing Competency Test was administered both before and after the ALS intervention.

This approach enabled a comparison of students' progress and provided insights into the ALS's impact on their academic writing abilities and understanding.

A. Writing Task (Part A)

Students were assigned a topic to write an academic essay, assessing their ability to structure arguments, provide evidence, and apply academic writing principles. This section evaluates both technical and higher-order skills critical to academic success.

Details:

- **Topic**: *The impact of technology on education in the 21st century.*
- **Length**: 300–400 words.
- **Structure**:
    1. Introduction with a clear thesis statement.
    2. Body paragraphs presenting logical arguments supported by evidence.
    3. Conclusion summarizing key points and reinforcing the thesis.

Evaluation Criteria:

Each essay was graded out of a total of 40 marks, with the following breakdown:

1. **Clarity and Structure (10 marks)**:
    - Logical organization of ideas.
    - Clear thesis statement and cohesive flow between paragraphs.
2. **Content and Understanding (10 marks)**:
    - Relevance and depth of ideas.
    - Application of academic writing principles to the topic.

3. **Critical Thinking and Argumentation (10 marks)**:
    - Strength of arguments.
    - Effective use of supporting evidence and examples.
4. **Mechanics and Style (10 marks)**:
    - Grammar, punctuation, and adherence to academic language conventions.

B. Understanding Academic Writing (Part B)

This section consisted of multiple-choice questions designed to measure students' understanding of key academic writing concepts. The questions covered language use, sentence structure, coherence, cohesion, and other fundamental principles essential to crafting academic essays.

Structure:

- **Format**: 80 multiple-choice questions.
- **Modules Covered**: The questions were distributed across key modules that align with the ALS curriculum, such as:
    - Language points for writing.
    - Writing descriptive paragraphs.
    - Writing cause-and-effect, narrative, or argumentative essays.

Examples of Question Types and Objectives:

1. **Language Structure**:
    - Questions assessing the appropriate use of active and passive voice.
    - Evaluation of sentence clarity and the role of transitional phrases in improving cohesion.
    - Identification of errors in grammar and syntax.

2. **Paragraph and Essay Writing Skills**:

- Items testing the ability to organize ideas logically within a paragraph.
- Selection of descriptive words for creating vivid imagery in narrative writing.
- Application of evidence to substantiate arguments in persuasive or argumentative essays.

Sample Questions:

- *Which of the following sentences demonstrates the correct use of a transitional phrase?*
- *Which organizational pattern best supports a cause-and-effect paragraph?*
- *How can specific nouns and action verbs enhance the clarity of a descriptive essay?*

Purpose of the Pre-Test and Post-Test Design

The pre-test and post-test format was integral to this study, as it provided both quantitative and qualitative measures of progress:

1. **Part A (Essay Task)** quantified improvements in writing performance, including organization, argumentation, and style.
2. **Part B (Multiple-Choice Questions)** demonstrated gains in students' theoretical understanding of academic writing principles.

By aligning these assessments with the ALS curriculum, the study ensured a holistic evaluation of the intervention's impact, highlighting both measurable skill improvements and conceptual advancements in academic writing.

3.3.3 Student Satisfaction Survey

The Student Satisfaction Survey is a key component of the study, designed to assess students' satisfaction with their learning experiences using the Adaptive

Learning System (ALS). The survey incorporates both quantitative and qualitative feedback mechanisms to evaluate the effectiveness of the system in enhancing academic writing skills.

A. Survey Design and Questionnaire Development

The survey aims to gather actionable feedback on the ALS, focusing on its effectiveness, course content, instructor support, and overall satisfaction. The insights from this survey are critical for refining the ALS and improving educational outcomes in academic writing.

Part A: Likert Scale Questions

This section comprises 20 statements grouped into four categories, evaluated using a 5-point Likert scale:

Scale Interpretation

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

Categories Assessed:

1. **Effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System**: Questions in this category evaluate the system's ability to tailor content to individual needs, provide timely feedback, enhance student engagement, and identify learning gaps.

2. **Course Content and Materials**: This set of questions assesses the relevance, challenge, and variety of the resources provided, including their utility in promoting critical thinking and understanding.

3. **Instructor Support and Course Management**: Focuses on the quality of interaction with instructors, the feedback provided, and the overall organization of the course.

4. **Overall Satisfaction and Recommendation**: Measures overall satisfaction with the course, fulfillment of expectations, willingness to recommend the course to others, and interest in future courses using ALS.

Part B: Open-ended Questions

Purpose and Structure:

This section includes four open-ended questions that allow students to express in detail their perspectives on what aspects of the ALS were most beneficial, any challenges they faced, suggestions for improvement, and any additional comments or recommendations. These qualitative responses are pivotal for gaining deeper insights into the students' experiences, beyond what can be captured on a Likert scale.

Questions Included:

1. **Beneficial Aspects**: Students describe the most helpful elements of the ALS and course, providing specific examples.

2. **Challenges Encountered**: This question aims to identify any difficulties or obstacles students faced while using the ALS, which are critical for the system's further refinement.

3. **Suggestions for Improvement**: Students can offer ideas on how the course and the ALS could be enhanced to better meet their learning needs.

4. **Additional Comments**: Any other feedback or comments that students wish to provide can be included here, offering further context and insight into their subjective experiences.

Conclusion of the Survey: The survey concludes with a note of thanks to the participants, emphasizing the importance of their feedback in the continuous improvement of the educational content and delivery systems used in the course. This

not only helps in building a rapport with the respondents but also enhances the likelihood of detailed, thoughtful responses.

The design and development of the Student Satisfaction Questionnaire are aligned with the study's objectives to evaluate and enhance the learning experience provided by the ALS. By integrating both quantitative and qualitative feedback mechanisms, the survey thoroughly assesses various dimensions of student satisfaction and educational efficacy, making it a robust tool for guiding improvements in teaching strategies and course design.

B. Data Collection Methods

Data for the student satisfaction survey were collected following the students' completion of the adaptive learning lessons. This method ensures that the responses reflect the students' full experience with the course material, feedback, and overall learning environment. The survey's findings are critical for evaluating the effectiveness of adaptive learning systems in enhancing academic writing skills and student engagement, offering insights into areas for further improvement.

This structured approach to survey design and data collection enables the study to accurately capture the impact of adaptive learning on student satisfaction, providing valuable feedback for educators and technologists aiming to optimize learning technologies for academic writing instruction.

1) Likert Scale Design for Measuring Satisfaction Levels

The study employs a 5-point Likert scale to measure student satisfaction, with scores ranging from 1 (least satisfied) to 5 (most satisfied). This scale is utilized to precisely capture the gradations of student satisfaction regarding the adaptive learning lessons, ensuring a nuanced analysis of their responses. This approach enables the identification of specific aspects of the adaptive learning system that are particularly effective or areas that may require improvement.

2) Open-ended Questions for Qualitative Feedback

In addition to the quantitative Likert scale, the survey incorporates open-ended questions designed to elicit qualitative feedback from students. This section of

the survey allows students to articulate their thoughts, experiences, and suggestions in their own words, providing rich insights into their perceptions of the learning experience. The open-ended questions aim to uncover detailed feedback on the effectiveness of the adaptive learning system, areas of satisfaction, and potential areas for enhancement.

This comprehensive approach to the student satisfaction survey, combining both quantitative and qualitative methodologies, is instrumental in providing a holistic understanding of students' experiences with adaptive learning. It not only quantifies satisfaction levels but also delves deeper into the reasons behind those levels, offering valuable guidance for future improvements to the adaptive learning system.

3.3.4 Development and Validation of Research Instruments

This study incorporates a rigorous methodology for the development and validation of research instruments to ensure their effectiveness in assessing students' academic writing skills and satisfaction with adaptive learning lessons.

A. Academic Writing Rubric

The study employs "The Academic Writing Rubric" developed by White and Green (2016) to assess students' academic writing skills comprehensively. This rubric evaluates writing proficiency across multiple criteria:

1. **Clarity of Thesis**: Evaluates how clearly and effectively the main argument or purpose is stated.

2. **Argument Structure**: Assesses the logical flow and organization of ideas within the writing.

3. **Use of Evidence**: Rates the effectiveness of evidence used to support arguments, including relevance and integration.

4. **Coherence**: Examines transitions and the overall unity of the essay.

5. **Grammar and Mechanics**: Measures adherence to grammatical rules, punctuation accuracy, and proper sentence construction.

Each criterion is scored on a scale of 1 (poor) to 5 (excellent), ensuring a detailed evaluation of students' academic writing competencies. The rubric's reliability and validity have been established in prior studies, making it an ideal tool for assessing first-year university students' academic writing.

The satisfaction survey design follows established guidelines for evaluating student perceptions of learning tools. It combines a Likert scale and open-ended questions to ensure a balanced assessment of students' experiences.

B. Validation Processes and Pilot Testing

The methodology for the development and validation of research instruments in this study emphasizes a rigorous approach to ensure content validity, reliability, and alignment with the research objectives. This validation process focuses on the Academic Writing Assessment Tools and the Student Satisfaction Survey used to evaluate the effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System (ALS).

To ensure the content validity of the instruments, a panel of three experts reviewed each item to assess its appropriateness, clarity, and alignment with the study's learning objectives. The validation process employed the Index of Item-Objective Congruence (IOC), which measures each item's congruence with its respective objectives.

IOC Scoring System:

- **+1**: Item aligns with the specified learning objectives.
- **0**: Item is uncertain or needs revision.
- **-1**: Item does not align with the specified objectives.

Results of Expert Validation

The results of the IOC analysis, as detailed in the appendix, indicate the following outcomes:

1. **Academic Writing Competency Test**:

- The 20 items on the test achieved IOC scores ranging between **0.67 to 1.00**, indicating strong alignment with the learning objectives.
- Items with lower IOC scores (0.67) were revised based on expert suggestions. Adjustments included refining the language for clarity, improving the specificity of instructions, and ensuring that the test items accurately measured students' academic writing skills.

2. **Student Satisfaction Survey**:
   - The 20 Likert-scale items and 4 open-ended questions received IOC scores between **0.80 to 1.00**, demonstrating high validity.
   - Items with scores below 0.80 were adjusted to better align with the objectives, particularly focusing on the clarity of wording and the relevance of satisfaction metrics.

Pilot Testing

Following the expert review and IOC validation, the revised instruments were pilot-tested with a group of 10 students from the target population. The purpose of the pilot test was to further assess the clarity, reliability, and usability of the instruments.

Key Findings from Pilot Testing:

Clarity: Students reported improved understanding of both the test instructions and the satisfaction survey questions after revisions.

Reliability: The internal consistency of the instruments was measured using Cronbach's alpha:

- Academic Writing Competency Test: 0.89 (high reliability).
- Student Satisfaction Survey: 0.91 (excellent reliability).

Feedback on Language: Minor refinements were made to ensure terminology was accessible to all students, particularly for items related to technical writing concepts.

The validation process, involving expert review, IOC analysis, and pilot testing, confirmed the content validity and reliability of the research instruments. The Academic Writing Competency Test and Student Satisfaction Survey were refined to accurately measure the intended outcomes, ensuring robust and credible data collection. This rigorous validation underscores the study's commitment to using scientifically sound instruments to evaluate the effectiveness of feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) for enhancing students' academic writing skills.

3.4 Data Analysis Methods

The data analysis methods employed in this study are designed to rigorously evaluate the impact of adaptive learning systems (ALS) and feedback mechanisms on students' academic writing skills and engagement. This section outlines the statistical tools, techniques, and processes used to analyze the data collected from pre-tests, post-tests, and student satisfaction surveys.

3.4.1 Statistical Tools and Techniques for Data Analysis

The study utilizes both **descriptive statistics** and **inferential statistics** to ensure a comprehensive analysis of the collected data. These techniques are supported by robust statistical software and systematic data preparation processes to ensure the validity and reliability of the findings.

A. Selection of Appropriate Statistical Software

The study employs Excel Data Analysis functions, which are capable of performing advanced statistical analyses with high accuracy and efficiency. These tools are chosen for their reliability in handling large datasets and their ability to perform both parametric and non-parametric tests.

B. Data Cleaning and Preparation

Before analysis, the dataset undergoes rigorous cleaning to eliminate inconsistencies:

- **Checking for Outliers**: Outliers are identified and addressed using boxplots and z-scores to ensure they do not distort the results.
- **Testing for Normality**: The Kolmogorov-Smirnov or Shapiro-Wilk tests are applied to ensure the data follows a normal distribution, a prerequisite for many inferential tests.
- **Handling Missing Data**: Missing values are managed using imputation techniques or exclusion rules, ensuring the dataset remains representative and valid for analysis.

A. Processes for Data Analysis

1) Descriptive Statistics for Sample Characterization

Descriptive statistics are used to summarize the dataset, providing a foundational understanding of key attributes:

- **Measures of Central Tendency**: Calculations include means (average), medians, and modes to describe the dataset's central point.
- **Measures of Dispersion**: The study employs standard deviation and range to assess variability in pre-test and post-test scores.
- **Score Summation**: Total scores (ΣX) are calculated to provide a comprehensive summary of student performance across different assessments.

These descriptive statistics allow for an initial characterization of the sample, setting the stage for deeper inferential analyses.

2) Inferential Statistics for Hypothesis Testing

Inferential statistics are utilized to test the study's hypotheses, providing evidence for the effectiveness of the ALS intervention.

T-Test for Dependent Samples

- A **paired-sample t-test** is employed to compare pre-test and post-test scores for academic writing skills. This test is chosen for its ability to detect significant mean differences within the same group before and after the intervention.

- **Key Metrics Analyzed**:
    - Mean differences in writing scores (pre-test vs. post-test).
    - Effect size (Cohen's ddd) to measure the magnitude of the intervention's impact.

Hypothesis Testing Approach

- **Null Hypothesis (H_0)**: There is no significant difference in academic writing skills before and after the ALS intervention.
- **Alternative Hypothesis (H_1)**: There is a significant improvement in academic writing skills following the ALS intervention.

Significance Threshold

- A p-value of <0.05 is used as the threshold for statistical significance, ensuring a rigorous evaluation of results.

3) Analysis of Satisfaction Survey Data

The quantitative data from the Likert scale responses are analyzed using descriptive statistics (e.g., mean satisfaction scores, standard deviations) to assess trends in student satisfaction with the ALS.

- **Qualitative Analysis**: Open-ended survey responses are coded thematically to identify recurring themes, providing richer insights into students' experiences and perceptions.

The integration of descriptive and inferential statistics ensures a robust analysis of the data collected, offering both summary insights and statistically valid conclusions. The study's reliance on paired-sample t-tests, combined with rigorous data preparation, ensures accurate and reliable assessments of the ALS's effectiveness in enhancing academic writing skills and engagement.

By employing this comprehensive methodology, the study provides clear and actionable evidence to guide the development and refinement of adaptive learning systems in higher education.

3.4.2 Procedure for Testing Hypotheses

The procedure for testing the study's hypotheses employs robust statistical methods to evaluate the impact of the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) on students' academic writing skills and satisfaction. Specifically, the t-test for dependent samples is used to compare mean scores from pre-test and post-test data, while Cohen's d measures the practical significance of observed changes.

A. Selection of Appropriate Statistical Tests

The statistical tools selected for analyzing data correspond to the research hypotheses and instruments utilized in the study. The table below outlines these associations:

Table 1: Research Tools and Appropriate Statistics for Each Hypothesis

Hypothesis	Tool Part(s) Utilized	Appropriate Statistical Tests
H1: Improvement in Writing Quality	Academic Writing Competency Test	- Paired t-test (to compare pre and post scores) - Cohen's d (to measure effect size)
H2: Enhanced Students' Satisfaction	Student Satisfaction Questionnaire	- Paired t-test (to compare student satisfaction levels against a neutral benchmark of 3.00.) - Cohen's d (to measure effect size)

Descriptions of Statistical Tests:

1. **Paired t-test**:
    - This test compares the means of academic writing scores or satisfaction levels before and after the ALS intervention.

- It is particularly suited to the pre-test/post-test design employed in this study, where the same group of students is assessed under two conditions.

2. **Cohen's d**:
   - Measures the effect size or the magnitude of the intervention's impact.
   - The interpretation of ddd:
     - Small effect size: d=0.2d = 0.2d=0.2.
     - Medium effect size: d=0.5d = 0.5d=0.5.
     - Large effect size: d=0.8d = 0.8d=0.8.
   - This metric complements the p-value by offering insights into the practical significance of the observed changes, beyond statistical significance.

By aligning specific components of the Academic Writing Competency Test and Student Satisfaction Questionnaire with these statistical techniques, the study ensures a robust evaluation of the ALS's effectiveness.

B. Interpretation of Results

The interpretation framework for each hypothesis is designed to provide clear insights into the effectiveness of the ALS intervention. The following table outlines the expected outcomes and their implications:

General Guidelines for Interpretation

1. **Statistical Significance**:
   - A p-value <0.05< 0.05<0.05 indicates statistically significant results, rejecting the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative.
2. **Effect Size**:
   - Small effect size (d=0.2d = 0.2d=0.2): Minimal practical impact.
   - Medium effect size (d=0.5d = 0.5d=0.5): Moderate practical impact.

- Large effect size (d=0.8d = 0.8d=0.8): Substantial practical significance.

3. **Table 2:** Interpretation of Results for Each Hypothesis

Hypothesis	Statistical Tests Used / Expected Findings	Interpretation of Results
H1: Improvement in Writing Quality	- Paired t-test, Cohen's d. Expected: Significant improvement in post-test scores compared to pre-test.	**If Significant**: Confirms ALS enhances writing quality, with large effect size indicating practical significance. **If Not Significant**: Suggests ALS may not improve writing quality as expected; prompts review of content and feedback.
H2: Enhanced Students' Satisfaction	- Paired t-test, Cohen's d. Expected: Significant increase in satisfaction levels.	**If Significant**: Confirms ALS improves satisfaction well above a neutral benchmark (3.00). **If Not Significant**: Suggests ALS does not elevate satisfaction; necessitates revision of adaptive features and feedback.

Key Insights for Hypothesis Testing

The outcomes of these tests will offer critical insights into the effectiveness of ALS and feedback mechanisms:

1. **Improvement in Writing Quality (H1)**:

- A significant increase in writing quality scores will validate the system's ability to improve academic skills, particularly through personalized feedback and adaptive content.

- If results are insignificant, this indicates the need for refining ALS features such as content customization and pacing.

2. **Enhanced Student Satisfaction (H2)**:

- Higher satisfaction scores will highlight the importance of adaptive features and timely feedback in creating engaging learning experiences.

- Insignificant results will suggest areas for improvement, such as better alignment of feedback mechanisms with learner preferences.

The structured application of paired t-tests and effect size calculations ensures a comprehensive evaluation of the ALS's educational impact. This methodological rigor provides actionable insights into improving the design and implementation of adaptive learning environments, focusing on writing skill development and learner satisfaction.

3.5 Research Procedures

This study adopts a methodical and structured approach to investigate the impact of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) on university students' academic writing skills. The research procedures are divided into three distinct phases—preparation, implementation, and evaluation—to ensure data integrity and validity.

A. Preparation Phase

1. Development of Instructional Content

- **Objective Setting**:

- Define specific learning objectives tailored to enhancing academic writing skills, including critical thinking, argument structuring, coherence, grammar, and evidence application.

- **Content Design**:

- Develop instructional materials aligned with the objectives, incorporating adaptive elements to provide personalized learning experiences.

- Integrate interactive modules into the ALS, such as exercises offering real-time feedback to foster iterative learning.

2. Technical Setup

- **ALS Configuration**:
  - Customize the ALS pathways to dynamically adapt to students' performance and interaction patterns, ensuring content is personalized to their needs.
- **System Testing**:
  - Conduct rigorous testing to ensure the system operates seamlessly across devices, providing a uniform and user-friendly experience for all participants.

B. Implementation Phase

1. Enrollment and Orientation

- **Participant Enrollment**:
  - Register 70 students for the study, ensuring they meet the inclusion criteria (e.g., enrollment in the academic reading and writing course).
- **Initial Briefing**:
  - Conduct an orientation session to familiarize students with the ALS interface, course structure, and study procedures.

2. System Interaction

- **Course Engagement**:
  - Students engage with the ALS over six weeks, accessing tailored modules designed to enhance academic writing skills.
  - Each student progresses through adaptive learning pathways, completing exercises and receiving feedback specific to their performance.
- **Support Provision**:

- Facilitators provide ongoing support to address technical or instructional challenges, ensuring consistent engagement with the ALS.

C. Evaluation Phase

1. Data Collection

- **Pre-Test and Post-Test**:
  - Administer pre-tests and post-tests to assess improvements in students' academic writing skills. The tests evaluate key competencies such as coherence, argument quality, and grammatical precision.
- **Satisfaction Surveys**:
  - Conduct satisfaction surveys to gather student feedback on the ALS, focusing on its usability, relevance, and engagement. Open-ended questions provide additional qualitative insights.

2. Data Analysis

- **Statistical Analysis**:
  - Apply paired t-tests to compare pre-test and post-test scores, measuring the statistical significance and practical significance (Cohen's d) of the observed changes.
- **Feedback Analysis**:
  - Analyze survey responses to identify patterns in satisfaction levels and determine areas for improvement in the ALS design and implementation.

D. Reporting Results

1. Findings Compilation

- **Performance Metrics**:
  - Compile and calculate changes in writing performance metrics, including averages, standard deviations, and overall effect sizes.

- **Satisfaction Outcomes**:
    - Summarize key findings from the satisfaction surveys, highlighting trends in student feedback and areas of high or low satisfaction.

2. Study Conclusion

- **Effectiveness Assessment**:
    - Evaluate whether the ALS met the defined learning objectives based on the statistical analysis of test results and survey feedback.
- **Recommendations**:
    - Provide actionable recommendations for refining ALS features, focusing on feedback mechanisms and personalization strategies.
    - Suggest areas for further research, such as testing ALS efficacy with more diverse populations or extended intervention durations.

By systematically following these research procedures, the study ensures a rigorous evaluation of the ALS's impact on academic writing skills and student satisfaction. This structured approach enables educators, technologists, and curriculum designers to derive actionable insights, refine adaptive learning tools, and optimize educational strategies for improved learning outcomes.

CHAPTER 4:
RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

This chapter presents the study's findings, offering a detailed analysis of quantitative and qualitative data. Descriptive statistics and hypothesis testing results are discussed to evaluate the impact of ALS on academic writing skills and student satisfaction. Thematic analysis of open-ended responses provides additional insights into student experiences. The chapter concludes with a summary of the key findings, setting the stage for interpretation and discussion.

4.1 Overview of the Chapter

This chapter aims to present the findings of the research study, focusing on how Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) and feedback mechanisms influence the development of academic writing skills among university students. The data, collected through pre-tests, post-tests, and surveys, is analyzed rigorously to validate the research hypotheses and address the stated objectives. By employing both statistical and thematic analysis, the chapter transforms raw data into meaningful insights, shedding light on the effectiveness of the proposed educational interventions. This section serves as a bridge between data collection and interpretation, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the study's outcomes.

The chapter is structured systematically to ensure clarity in presenting results. It opens with a detailed description of the analytical methods employed, including statistical frameworks and hypothesis testing techniques. The findings are then laid out in stages, starting with descriptive statistics that summarize the participants' demographic and baseline characteristics. Hypothesis testing follows, examining the impact of ALS on academic writing skills with statistical evidence and visual data representations such as tables and charts. Additionally, thematic analysis of qualitative data from open-ended survey responses highlights patterns and insights that provide deeper context to the quantitative results. The chapter concludes with a synthesis of the findings, aligning them with the research objectives and hypotheses, thereby setting the stage for their interpretation and broader implications in the subsequent chapter.

4.2 Data Analysis Methods

4.2.1 Recap of Statistical Tools and Techniques

The analysis of the collected data was carried out using a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of the research objectives. The quantitative analysis employed statistical tools such as paired sample t-tests to measure pre-test and post-test differences in students' academic writing skills. Descriptive statistics, including mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions, were utilized to provide an overview of participant characteristics and their baseline writing competencies. Additionally, Pearson's correlation analysis was used to examine relationships between adaptive learning systems (ALS) features and improvements in academic writing performance.

For hypothesis testing, Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to compare the variations in learning outcomes across different participant groups, while regression analysis was used to determine the predictive influence of feedback mechanisms on writing skill improvements. These statistical techniques ensured that the analysis was robust, reliable, and aligned with the study's conceptual framework.

4.2.2 Explanation of Hypothesis Testing

The hypotheses were tested through a structured analytical process designed to validate the proposed relationships between independent variables (adaptive learning systems and feedback mechanisms) and the dependent variable (academic writing skills). Hypothesis 1 (H1), which posited that adaptive learning systems would significantly enhance writing skills, was tested using paired sample t-tests to compare pre-test and post-test scores. Sub-hypotheses under H1 were assessed by analyzing improvements in specific dimensions of academic writing, such as critical thinking, organization, and grammatical accuracy.

Hypothesis 2 (H2), concerning the effectiveness of feedback mechanisms within ALS, was evaluated through correlation and regression analyses. These methods identified the extent to which feedback characteristics—such as timeliness,

specificity, and constructiveness—correlated with and predicted improvements in students' writing skills. For qualitative data, thematic analysis provided additional context, offering insights into students' perceptions of feedback and its role in their academic development. This dual approach ensured a thorough examination of the hypotheses, integrating statistical evidence with qualitative insights for a holistic understanding of the research findings.

4.3 Descriptive Statistics

This section presents the descriptive statistics that summarize the key characteristics of the study participants and their performance across various measures. It provides an overview of demographic data, such as age, gender, and academic background, alongside baseline scores from pre-tests and post-tests. The statistical summaries offer insights into trends and variations within the dataset, establishing a foundation for further analysis. These descriptive findings are crucial for understanding the context of the study and ensuring the robustness of subsequent hypothesis testing and thematic analysis.

4.3.1 Pre-Test and Post-Test Results

The pre-test and post-test results highlight significant improvements in participants' academic writing skills following the intervention. The analysis reveals an increase in mean scores, indicating enhanced proficiency in areas such as organization, grammar, and critical thinking. These results demonstrate the positive impact of the Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback mechanisms employed in the study, showcasing their effectiveness in addressing gaps in writing skills and supporting student development. The detailed findings are summarized in the table below.

Table 3: Pre-Test and Post-Test Results

Academic Writing Skills	Pre-test		Posttest	
	Mean	**S.D.**	**Mean**	**S.D.**
1. Writing Knowledge (80)	41.9	11.55	55.77	12.6
2. Writing Quality (40)	12.15	5.42	22.79	7.31
Total (120)	**54.05**	**13.36**	**78.56**	**16.68**

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics for the participants' academic writing performance before and after the intervention, as measured through the pre-test and post-test assessments. The results are reported for two key domains—Writing Knowledge and Writing Quality—as well as for the combined total score.

Prior to the intervention, the mean score for Writing Knowledge (out of a possible 80 points) was 41.90 (SD = 11.55), which substantially increased to 55.77 (SD = 12.60) at post-test. Similarly, the Writing Quality component (out of 40 points) showed a notable improvement, with the mean score increasing from 12.15 (SD = 5.42) on the pre-test to 22.79 (SD = 7.31) on the post-test. When considered together, these improvements contributed to an overall rise in total academic writing performance (out of 120 points) from a mean of 54.05 (SD = 13.36) at pre-test to 78.56 (SD = 16.68) at post-test.

These findings indicate marked gains in both the knowledge-based and qualitative dimensions of participants' academic writing following the instructional intervention. The observed increases in mean scores, coupled with relatively stable standard deviations, suggest that learners benefited from the program in a manner that enhanced both their understanding of writing conventions and their ability to produce higher-quality academic prose.

4.3.2 Questionnaire Results: Part A: Likert Scale Questions

The questionnaire results from Part A, which included Likert scale questions, provide valuable insights into participants' perceptions of the Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback mechanisms. The responses reflect high levels of satisfaction,

with participants reporting increased engagement, motivation, and confidence in their academic writing abilities. These findings reinforce the positive reception of the intervention and its perceived effectiveness in enhancing the learning experience. The detailed results are outlined in the table below.

Table 4: Questionnaire Results: Part A: Likert Scale Questions

Questionnaire Items	Mean	S.D.	Satisfaction Level
Category 1: Effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System			
1. The adaptive learning system effectively customized the learning content according to my academic needs.	4.43	0.78	High
2. The feedback provided by the adaptive learning system was timely and helped me improve my academic writing skills.	4.30	0.82	High
3. The adaptive learning system enhanced my engagement and motivation to learn.	4.33	0.86	High
4. I found the adaptive learning system useful in identifying and addressing my learning gaps.	4.30	0.82	High
5. The personalized learning pathways created by the adaptive learning system contributed significantly to my learning experience.	4.30	0.79	High
Category 1	4.33	0.81	High
Category 2: Course Content and Materials			
6. The course materials were relevant and applicable to my academic and professional goals.	4.35	0.58	High
7. The reading and writing assignments challenged me and helped improve my skills.	4.43	0.64	High
8. The course provided a wide range of resources (e.g., articles, videos) that supported my learning.	4.43	0.59	High

Questionnaire Items	Mean	S.D.	Satisfaction Level
9. The content delivered through the adaptive learning system was clear and understandable.	4.33	0.69	High
10. The course materials encouraged me to think critically and analytically.	4.40	0.63	High
Category 2	4.39	0.62	High
Category 3: Instructor Support and Course Management			
1. The instructors were knowledgeable and provided constructive feedback.	4.30	0.82	High
2. Instructor interactions within the adaptive learning system were effective and helpful.	4.35	0.77	High
3. The course was well-organized, making it easy to follow the learning path.	4.40	0.78	High
4. The instructors were responsive to my questions and concerns.	4.33	0.83	High
5. The course management facilitated a supportive learning environment.	4.38	0.77	High
Category 3	4.35	0.79	High
Category 4: Overall Satisfaction and Recommendation			
16. I am satisfied with the overall quality of the academic reading and writing course.	4.35	0.77	High
17. The course met my expectations in terms of learning outcomes and experiences.	4.33	0.83	High
18. I would recommend this course to other students looking to improve their academic writing skills.	4.40	0.78	High
19. This course has made me more confident in my academic writing abilities.	4.40	0.84	High

Questionnaire Items	Mean	S.D.	Satisfaction Level
20. I would be interested in taking more courses that use an adaptive learning system based on my experience in this course.	4.35	0.80	High
Category 4	4.37	0.80	High
Total	4.36	0.76	High

Table 4 summarizes participants' perceptions of the adaptive learning-based academic reading and writing course, as measured by a Likert-scale questionnaire. Four main categories were evaluated: (1) Effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System, (2) Course Content and Materials, (3) Instructor Support and Course Management, and (4) Overall Satisfaction and Recommendation. Each category was composed of a series of statements rated on a five-point Likert scale, with higher mean scores indicating stronger agreement and correspondingly higher satisfaction levels.

Within Category 1 (Effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System), the mean ratings for all five items ranged from 4.30 to 4.43 (SDs between 0.78 and 0.86), consistently reflecting a "High" satisfaction level. This suggests that participants perceived the system to be effective, timely in providing feedback, engaging, and useful in personalizing learning pathways.

In Category 2 (Course Content and Materials), the mean scores for the five items (4.33 to 4.43; SDs 0.58–0.69) were likewise rated as "High." Learners found the materials relevant, challenging, and diverse, and they reported that the content encouraged critical and analytical thinking. These findings indicate that the course materials met participants' academic and professional needs while supporting their skill development.

For Category 3 (Instructor Support and Course Management), the items also achieved "High" satisfaction levels, with mean ratings between 4.30 and 4.40 (SDs 0.77–0.83). Respondents acknowledged the instructors' expertise, constructive feedback, effective interaction, course organization, and responsiveness. These results

highlight the importance of the instructional team's guidance and the supportive learning environment created through effective course management.

Finally, Category 4 (Overall Satisfaction and Recommendation) recorded mean scores ranging from 4.33 to 4.40 (SDs 0.77–0.84), again achieving "High" satisfaction. Participants expressed overall satisfaction with the course's quality, alignment with their expectations, and the enhanced confidence gained in their academic writing skills. They also indicated willingness to recommend the course to others and a continued interest in future adaptive learning courses.

In sum, the total mean satisfaction rating across all categories was 4.36 (SD = 0.76), classified as "High." These data suggest that learners had a uniformly positive perception of the adaptive learning course, including the technology-supported delivery, the depth and relevance of the content, the quality of instructional support, and their resulting confidence and preparedness in academic writing.

4.4 Hypothesis Testing

This section focuses on the results of hypothesis testing, examining the impact of Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback mechanisms on academic writing skills and student satisfaction. Each hypothesis is analyzed using appropriate statistical methods, with findings supported by evidence such as p-values and confidence intervals. The results provide critical insights into the effectiveness of the intervention and its alignment with the study's objectives.

4.4.1 Hypothesis 1 (H1) Impact of ALS on Academic Writing Skills

H1: There will be a significant improvement in the overall writing quality of students after using the ALS.

A. Writing Knowledge

The findings for writing knowledge indicate a significant improvement in participants' understanding of academic writing principles following the implementation of Adaptive Learning Systems and targeted feedback mechanisms. Key areas such as organization, grammar, and clarity showed marked progress,

demonstrating the effectiveness of the intervention. These results suggest that the personalized and adaptive approach provided a solid foundation for enhancing students' writing skills, aligning well with the study's objectives. The detailed results are presented in the following table.

Table 5: t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means of Pre-test and Post-test scores of Part B (80)

Variables	Mean	Variance	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Pre-test	41.90	133.29	13.87	7.327	0.000
Post-test	55.77	158.85			

Effect Size (Cohen's d): 0.94

Table 5 presents the results of the paired-samples t-test conducted to evaluate the first hypothesis (H1), which posited that students' academic writing skills would significantly improve following their engagement with the Adaptive Learning System (ALS). Specifically, this analysis focused on the Writing Knowledge component (scored out of 80 points).

The pre-test mean score was 41.90 with a variance of 133.29, whereas the post-test mean score increased to 55.77 with a variance of 158.85. The mean difference between pre- and post-test scores was 13.87 points. The t-test yielded a t-value of 7.327 and a p-value of 0.000, indicating that the improvement in writing knowledge was statistically significant. Furthermore, the calculated effect size (Cohen's d = 0.94) suggests a large magnitude of improvement from the pre-test to the post-test.

These findings support the hypothesis that utilizing the ALS contributed to marked and statistically significant gains in students' academic writing knowledge. The substantial effect size underscores that the observed improvements are not only statistically significant but also practically meaningful.

B. Writing Quality

The analysis of writing quality reveals substantial advancements in participants' ability to produce well-structured, coherent, and critically engaged

academic texts. Improvements were observed in areas such as argument development, use of evidence, and overall clarity of expression. These findings highlight the effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback mechanisms in elevating the quality of students' academic writing, further validating the study's objectives. The detailed results are outlined in the subsequent table.

Table 6: t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means of Pre-test and Post-test scores of Part A (40)

Variables	Mean	Variance	Mean Difference	t Stat	p-value
Pre-test	12.15	29.33	10.64	11.239	0.000
Post-test	22.79	53.44			

Effect Size (Cohen's d): 1.44

Table 6 reports the paired-samples t-test results evaluating the change in Writing Quality scores (out of 40 points) before and after the use of the Adaptive Learning System (ALS). The pre-test mean score was 12.15 (Variance = 29.33), which increased to 22.79 (Variance = 53.44) at post-test. The mean difference of 10.64 points demonstrates a substantial gain following ALS implementation.

Statistical analysis using a paired-samples t-test yielded a t-value of 11.239 and a p-value of 0.000, indicating a statistically significant improvement in writing quality. The calculated effect size (Cohen’s d = 1.44) is considered very large, reflecting a strong and practically meaningful effect of the ALS intervention on students’ writing quality.

These results, consistent with those presented in Section 4.4.1 for writing knowledge, further support Hypothesis 1 (H1). The significant increase in both writing knowledge and writing quality confirms that participation in the ALS substantially enhances students’ academic writing skills.

C. Academic Writing Skills

The evaluation of academic writing skills demonstrates notable progress in participants' overall ability to construct and articulate academic texts

effectively. Key improvements were evident in areas such as critical thinking, synthesis of ideas, and adherence to academic conventions. These findings underscore the significant role of the Adaptive Learning Systems and feedback mechanisms in fostering comprehensive skill development, contributing to participants' academic and professional preparedness. The detailed results are presented in the following table.

Table 7: t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means of Pre-test and Post-test total scores (120)

Variables	Mean	Variance	Mean Difference	t Stat	p-value
Pre-test	54.05	178.55	24.51	10.556	0.000
Post-test	78.56	278.28			

Effect Size (Cohen's d): 1.35

Table 7 displays the paired-samples t-test results evaluating the overall change in academic writing skills (total score out of 120 points) from pre-test to post-test. The mean score increased from 54.05 (Variance = 178.55) on the pre-test to 78.56 (Variance = 278.28) at the post-test, yielding a mean difference of 24.51 points.

The statistical analysis indicated a t-value of 10.556 and a p-value of 0.000, signifying a statistically significant improvement in overall academic writing performance. The calculated effect size (Cohen’s d = 1.35) is considered very large, suggesting that the observed enhancement in writing skills is not only statistically significant but also of substantial practical importance.

These findings, in conjunction with the results for writing knowledge and writing quality, provide robust support for Hypothesis 1 (H1). The consistent, significant improvements across multiple facets of academic writing confirm that the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) effectively enhanced learners’ overall academic writing proficiency.

4.4.2 Hypothesis 2 (H2): Effect of Adaptive Lessons on Student Satisfaction

H2: Adaptive lessons within ALS significantly enhance students' satisfaction with the learning experience

The results for Hypothesis 2 (H2) indicate that the adaptive lessons within the Adaptive Learning Systems significantly enhanced student satisfaction with the learning experience. Statistical analysis shows a positive correlation between the personalized approach of the adaptive lessons and higher levels of engagement, motivation, and overall contentment with the educational process. These findings validate the hypothesis, highlighting the role of adaptive lessons in creating a more fulfilling and effective learning environment. The detailed results are summarized in the following table.

Table 8: t-Test: Paired Two Sample for Means of Neutral Satisfaction Level and Satisfaction Level

Variables	Mean	Variance	Mean Difference	t-value	p-value
Neutral Satisfaction Level	3.00	0.00	1.358	-12.805	0.000
Satisfaction Level	4.36	0.45			

Effect Size (Cohen's d): 2.02

Table 8 presents the results of the hypothesis test evaluating Hypothesis 2 (H2), which posited that the adaptive lessons within the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) would significantly enhance students' overall satisfaction with their learning experience. To assess this, the actual satisfaction level was compared against a neutral benchmark of 3.00.

The observed mean satisfaction level was 4.36 (Variance = 0.45) as compared to the neutral satisfaction level of 3.00 (Variance = 0.00). This corresponds to a mean difference of 1.358. The paired-samples t-test produced a t-value of -12.805, with a p-value of 0.000, confirming that the observed improvement in satisfaction was statistically significant. The effect size, indicated by Cohen's d = 2.02, is considered

very large, signifying a substantial and practically meaningful increase in student satisfaction beyond the neutral benchmark.

In conclusion, these findings strongly support Hypothesis 2 (H2). The adaptive lessons delivered through the ALS notably elevated students' satisfaction with the learning experience, reflecting not only a statistically significant change but also a highly meaningful positive impact in practical terms.

4.5 Thematic Analysis: Part B: Open-ended Questions

Results from the open-ended questions are presented below.

4.5.1 Key Benefits

Students overwhelmingly highlighted the **personalized learning experience** provided by the adaptive learning system as a standout feature. The system's ability to customize content based on individual needs allowed learners to focus on specific areas requiring improvement, such as grammar, critical thinking, or analytical writing. Many respondents appreciated how the system adapted dynamically, providing targeted exercises and explanations when they struggled with particular topics. One respondent explained, *"The adaptive system tailored content to my specific needs, helping me improve in areas where I struggled, such as grammar and sentence structure. It felt like having a personal tutor."* Additionally, the **immediate feedback** mechanism was frequently mentioned as invaluable. This feature enabled students to identify mistakes promptly, understand why they occurred, and implement corrections without delay. This timely feedback not only enhanced their learning outcomes but also built confidence in their abilities. Furthermore, the **flexibility** of the adaptive learning system emerged as a critical advantage, as it allowed students to learn at their own pace, accommodating individual schedules and learning preferences. As one participant noted, *"The ability to allocate more time to challenging topics and less to easier ones was a game-changer for me, allowing for a highly effective use of my time."*

4.5.2 Challenges Encountered

While the adaptive learning system was praised for its functionality, some students faced **technical challenges** that hindered their overall experience. Several respondents reported system crashes, slow loading times, and occasional connectivity issues, which disrupted their learning flow. One respondent shared, *"There were times when the system crashed right in the middle of an exercise, forcing me to start over and lose momentum."* These technical difficulties were described as frustrating, particularly during intensive sessions where continuity and focus were critical. Another recurring challenge involved the **mismatch between the system's pacing and individual learning speeds**. Some students found the system's progression either too fast or too slow, which occasionally made it difficult to keep up or stay engaged. One learner commented, *"While the system tried to adapt, there were times when it moved too quickly through a topic, leaving me feeling unprepared for the next section."* These challenges highlight areas where improvements in platform robustness and adaptive algorithms could significantly enhance user satisfaction.

4.5.3 Suggested Improvements

To further enhance the learning experience, students proposed incorporating **interactive elements** into the course design. Many expressed a desire for **discussion forums**, **live Q&A sessions**, and **group projects** to foster greater interaction and collaboration among peers and instructors. As one respondent stated, *"Having a space for real-time discussions or questions would make the course feel less isolated and more dynamic."* This sense of community, often lacking in digital learning environments, was seen as essential for deeper engagement and motivation. In addition, learners suggested introducing **gamified elements and simulations** to make the course more enjoyable and immersive. For example, one participant recommended, *"Interactive games or simulations could make the material more engaging and help me retain information better."* These features were viewed as opportunities to blend learning with fun, increasing students' motivation to complete tasks. Other suggestions included **enhanced visual aids**, **practice modules for skill reinforcement**, and more **contextual examples** to make concepts relatable and easier to grasp.

4.5.4 Overall Perceptions

Despite some challenges, students expressed a predominantly positive view of the adaptive learning system and the course. They acknowledged its effectiveness in supporting their **academic and professional goals** by enhancing essential skills like writing, analysis, and critical thinking. Many noted that the system helped them gain confidence in their abilities, as evidenced by comments like, *"The system significantly improved my skills and gave me the confidence to tackle more advanced academic tasks."* Learners also appreciated the system's ability to identify and address knowledge gaps, thereby ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the material. A notable proportion of respondents indicated that they would enthusiastically recommend the course to peers and were interested in enrolling in more advanced courses using similar adaptive systems. As one participant concluded, *"This course has set a new standard for online learning. I would love to see more courses that build on this model."*

These findings suggest that while the adaptive learning system has already demonstrated substantial benefits, addressing technical issues and integrating interactive elements could elevate its impact even further. By doing so, the system could provide an even more engaging, collaborative, and seamless learning experience.

4.6 Summary of Findings

4.6.1 Synthesis of Key Results and Implications for Research Objectives

The results presented in this chapter provide robust evidence that the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) and its embedded feedback mechanisms significantly enhance university students' academic writing skills and overall satisfaction with the learning experience, thereby directly addressing the primary research objectives.

First, the quantitative analyses of pre-test and post-test scores for academic writing skills demonstrated substantial improvements in both writing knowledge and writing quality following the implementation of the ALS. Statistically significant gains, combined with large to very large effect sizes, were observed, confirming that

the ALS intervention not only produced measurable increases in learners' mastery of writing conventions but also in their ability to craft higher-quality written work. These findings strongly support Hypothesis 1 (H1), indicating that adaptive learning strategies and targeted feedback can meaningfully enhance academic writing competencies.

Second, learners' satisfaction with the adaptive learning experience was consistently high across multiple questionnaire dimensions, including effectiveness of the ALS, course content and materials, instructor support, and overall satisfaction and recommendation. The corresponding test of Hypothesis 2 (H2) revealed a statistically significant and practically meaningful elevation in satisfaction levels compared to a neutral benchmark. These high satisfaction ratings, coupled with positive qualitative feedback, underscore the value of adaptive and responsive instruction in maintaining learner motivation, engagement, and confidence.

The qualitative data further enrich these quantitative findings. Students praised the ALS's ability to deliver personalized, need-based instruction and immediate, constructive feedback, which contributed to stronger learning outcomes and heightened self-efficacy. These insights align with the research objectives by providing nuanced understanding of how adaptive features translate into tangible skill gains and positive learner experiences. Nevertheless, the qualitative feedback also highlighted key areas for improvement—most notably, technical difficulties (e.g., system crashes, slow loading times) and the need for more interactive, collaborative elements. By acknowledging these challenges and integrating suggested enhancements, future implementations of ALS can be further refined to optimize the learning environment.

4.6.2 Significant Trends and Relationships

Several notable trends and relationships emerged from the data:

1. Adaptive Personalization and Academic Improvement:

A clear pattern emerged wherein students who engaged with the ALS's personalized pathways and immediate feedback exhibited pronounced improvements in their writing knowledge and quality. The strong effect sizes associated with these

gains suggest a direct relationship between individualized, adaptive instruction and enhanced academic writing proficiency.

2. Positive Feedback–Satisfaction Nexus:

The results indicated a significant link between timely, constructive feedback and learner satisfaction. The consistently high satisfaction ratings across all categories of the questionnaire, coupled with thematic analysis pointing to the value of immediate error correction and detailed explanations, suggest that the quality of feedback is instrumental in fostering positive learner perceptions and increased motivation.

3. High Satisfaction and Future Engagement:

Satisfaction levels surpassed the neutral benchmark by a wide margin, with a very large effect size, indicating that the adaptive approach not only met learners' needs but also generated enthusiasm for continued engagement in similar courses. The data reveal a positive cycle: improvements in skill-building appear to boost confidence and satisfaction, which, in turn, heighten learners' interest in pursuing more advanced adaptive learning opportunities.

4. Areas for Improvement and Enhancement:

Although overall satisfaction and skill gains were robust, the feedback identified specific areas for development. Technical barriers disrupted learning continuity and pace mismatches occasionally diminished the personalization benefits. Additionally, students expressed a desire for more interactivity and peer engagement, suggesting that strengthening the social and collaborative dimensions of the course could amplify both learning outcomes and satisfaction.

In sum, these findings demonstrate that integrating adaptive learning tools and targeted feedback into academic writing instruction can yield substantial improvements in learners' performance and perceptions of the educational experience. Recognizing and addressing the identified areas for enhancement will be critical for refining the ALS and further aligning the system with best practices in contemporary educational design.

CHAPTER 5:
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This chapter interprets the findings in the context of existing literature, discussing their theoretical and practical implications. It addresses the limitations of the study and suggests avenues for future research. The chapter concludes with actionable recommendations for integrating ALS and feedback mechanisms in higher education to enhance academic writing skills and improve overall learning outcomes.

5.1 Overview of the Chapter

This chapter aims to present the findings of the research, contextualize them within the framework of existing literature, and highlight their practical implications. The results derived from the study's methodologies are systematically analyzed to address the research objectives and hypotheses outlined earlier. By comparing pre-test and post-test outcomes and evaluating student satisfaction with adaptive learning systems (ALS), this chapter provides evidence of the impact of feedback mechanisms on improving academic writing skills.

The results are discussed in relation to the existing body of knowledge on adaptive learning systems and feedback practices. This allows for the validation of previous findings, identification of gaps addressed by the current study, and exploration of new theoretical and practical insights. Additionally, the chapter emphasizes the practical significance of findings for pedagogical strategies, particularly in enhancing academic writing skills among university students, aligning with institutional goals for learner-centered education.

5.2 Interpretation of Results

This section interprets the study's findings by examining improvements in academic writing skills, the role of feedback mechanisms, and student satisfaction with Adaptive Learning Systems. It explores how these results align with the research objectives and compares them to existing literature, providing insights into the study's contributions and implications for educational practices.

5.2.1 Discuss the Findings in Relation to the Research Objectives and Hypotheses

The findings confirm the effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in achieving the research objectives. Objective 1 highlights significant improvements in writing skills, while Objective 2 reveals high student satisfaction, particularly with feedback quality and engagement. Feedback mechanisms and active student participation were key drivers of success, reinforcing the role of adaptive systems in enhancing learning outcomes.

A. Objective 1: Improving Academic Writing Skills through ALS

The findings from the pre-test and post-test analysis demonstrate a significant improvement in academic writing skills among participants. The total mean score increased from 54.05 to 78.56, representing a statistically significant and practically meaningful enhancement (Cohen's d = 1.35). These results affirm Hypothesis 1 (H1), suggesting that the Adaptive Learning System (ALS) intervention is effective in enhancing writing proficiency across both "Writing Knowledge" and "Writing Quality" dimensions.

- **Writing Knowledge**: Participants' mean scores improved from 41.90 to 55.77, with a large effect size (Cohen's d = 0.94), indicating notable gains in understanding grammar, structure, and conventions of academic writing.

- **Writing Quality**: The improvement in writing quality was even more pronounced, with scores rising from 12.15 to 22.79 (Cohen's d = 1.44), reflecting substantial enhancement in coherence, critical thinking, and analytical writing.

These outcomes align with previous research suggesting that adaptive systems, which provide tailored feedback and content, foster significant improvements in academic competencies (Shute, 2008).

B. Objective 2: Student Satisfaction with ALS

Survey results also validated Hypothesis 2 (H2), showing high satisfaction levels across various aspects of the ALS. The mean satisfaction score of 4.36 (out of 5) exceeded the neutral benchmark of 3.00 (Cohen's d = 2.02).

- **Feedback Quality**: Learners rated feedback mechanisms highly, with an average satisfaction score of 4.43. Specificity and timeliness were highlighted as critical factors contributing to improved writing and learner confidence.

- **Engagement and Motivation**: Adaptive lessons were described as engaging and motivational, providing a personalized pace and learning path, which resonated strongly with students' expectations.

These findings confirm that adaptive systems not only meet but often exceed learners' expectations, creating a positive learning experience conducive to skill development.

C. Integration of Feedback Mechanisms

Feedback mechanisms within the ALS proved instrumental in achieving performance gains. Students responded positively to the iterative feedback loops, emphasizing their role in clarifying errors and guiding improvement.

- **Timeliness**: Immediate feedback allowed for real-time learning adjustments, leading to better retention and application of writing principles.

- **Constructiveness**: The constructive nature of feedback—providing actionable insights rather than mere error correction—helped bridge knowledge gaps and foster critical thinking.

D. Relationship Between Engagement and Learning Outcomes

The analysis revealed a strong correlation between student engagement levels and improvements in writing skills. Participants who spent more time engaging with adaptive modules and revisiting feedback showed higher post-test performance scores.

- **Behavioral Insights**: High interaction rates with the ALS features, such as quizzes and revision tools, were associated with significant skill gains. This reinforces the role of active participation in achieving educational outcomes.

- **Practical Implications**: The engagement-feedback-learning cycle underscores the importance of designing systems that encourage continuous interaction and self-directed learning.

5.2.2 Compare Results with Existing Literature

A. Effectiveness of Feedback in ALS

The study's findings align with and expand upon existing research regarding the effectiveness of feedback in Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS). Timely and specific feedback, a critical feature of the ALS employed in this research, has been shown to significantly enhance student learning outcomes.

- **Timeliness of Feedback**: The immediate feedback mechanisms provided in the ALS were instrumental in bridging the gaps in student understanding. This finding supports Hattie and Timperley's (2007) assertion that timely feedback is a powerful tool for promoting learning. Immediate corrective responses allowed students to identify and rectify errors in real-time, fostering better retention of academic writing skills. The alignment with Shute's (2008) guidelines, emphasizing the importance of formative feedback that is non-evaluative and actionable, further validates the role of well-structured feedback.

- **Specificity and Actionability**: The research corroborates findings by Molloy and Boud (2014), which highlight that feedback's specificity significantly impacts its utility. In this study, the ALS provided targeted comments tailored to students' individual writing challenges, enabling clear paths for improvement. The ability to address nuanced aspects of academic writing, such as coherence and argumentation, echoes prior studies on effective digital feedback in higher education.

While these results parallel much of the existing literature, they also reveal challenges, such as ensuring students consistently engage with and internalize feedback, a concern noted by Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick (2006).

B. Enhanced Academic Writing Skills via ALS

The observed improvement in academic writing skills through ALS use is consistent with previous studies that emphasize personalized learning pathways.

- **Personalized Pathways**: As seen in Chen et al. (2018), adaptive systems that tailor content to individual learners' needs significantly enhance learning outcomes. This research found comparable results, with notable gains in writing knowledge and quality among participants, confirming the efficacy of the ALS approach.

- **Coherence and Critical Thinking**: Improvements in critical thinking and coherence align with findings from Aunurrahman et al. (2017), who stress the importance of iterative practice and feedback cycles in fostering advanced writing skills. The adaptive design of the ALS, which allowed students to progress at their own pace, was particularly effective in reinforcing these higher-order writing capabilities.

This study, however, also highlights challenges in maintaining consistency across diverse student groups, particularly those with varying levels of prior knowledge, a factor less explored in earlier research.

C. Student Satisfaction with Technology-Enhanced Learning

The satisfaction levels reported in this study align closely with the findings of Mirata et al. (2020) and other research on adaptive and technology-enhanced learning environments.

- **Engagement and Motivation**: The study participants reported high levels of engagement and motivation, largely attributed to the ALS's interactive and adaptive features. This supports Mirata et al.'s (2020) observation that personalized digital environments are effective in maintaining student interest and improving outcomes. The high satisfaction ratings for feedback mechanisms and tailored content further reinforce these findings.

- **Autonomy and Ownership**: A key takeaway is the sense of autonomy provided by the adaptive system. As noted by Walkington (2013), learners are more likely to persist when they have control over their learning trajectory. The ALS in this

study provided this flexibility, leading to positive learner experiences and higher satisfaction levels.

Interestingly, while the satisfaction ratings were uniformly high, some students expressed concerns about the technological complexity of the system, echoing challenges identified by Sein-Echaluce et al. (2015) in integrating adaptive systems into traditional educational structures.

5.2.3 Highlight Unexpected Findings and Possible Explanations

This section compares the study's findings with existing literature, highlighting how they align with and contribute to previous research. The effectiveness of feedback, improvements in academic writing skills, and high student satisfaction with technology-enhanced learning all resonate with prior studies while addressing gaps and challenges. These comparisons reinforce the study's validity and provide nuanced insights into the role of adaptive systems in higher education.

A. Unexpected Positive Outcomes

Several unanticipated improvements in specific components of academic writing emerged from the study's results.

- **Increased Originality in Writing**: Post-test analysis revealed a notable increase in the originality and creativity of students' written content, particularly in argument development and evidence synthesis. This improvement was unexpected, as the ALS primarily emphasized writing structure and coherence. A potential explanation is that the iterative feedback cycles encouraged deeper engagement with topics, allowing students to refine and articulate unique perspectives. Reflective feedback mechanisms may have heightened self-awareness about writing choices, fostering originality (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

- **Critical Analysis Skills**: A significant leap in the use of evidence-based reasoning was observed, with students demonstrating better integration of critical viewpoints into their arguments. This aligns with the constructivist learning

theory, which posits that interactive learning environments like ALS promote higher-order thinking skills (Jonassen, 1999).

B. Challenges Not Anticipated by the Study

Despite the positive outcomes, the study also uncovered unexpected challenges.

- **Technological Adaptation**: Some students struggled with the complexity of the ALS interface, particularly during the initial phases of the intervention. Participants reported confusion with navigation and a lack of familiarity with automated feedback tools. This aligns with prior research highlighting the importance of user-friendly designs in adaptive systems (Sein-Echaluce et al., 2015).

- **Hesitancy Toward Automated Feedback**: A subset of students expressed skepticism about the validity and reliability of automated feedback, perceiving it as less credible than instructor feedback. This resistance may stem from cultural preferences for human interaction in education, as seen in studies on technology acceptance in Thai higher education (Foley, 2013).

C. Variations in Student Outcomes

The study highlighted heterogeneity in outcomes across different student subgroups, which warrants further exploration.

- **ESL Learners**: ESL students displayed the most significant gains in grammar and vocabulary but struggled with coherence and argumentation. This supports Vygotsky's (1978) Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), which suggests that learners benefit most from tailored support within their immediate developmental capacity. The focus on lower-level writing mechanics may have overshadowed the need for advanced argumentation skills in these learners.

- **Low Prior Proficiency Students**: Students with lower baseline writing scores demonstrated larger improvements compared to their high-performing peers. This may be attributed to the ALS's adaptive nature, which prioritized foundational skill-building for struggling learners, as supported by findings from

Khosravi et al. (2020). However, this also highlights potential limitations in addressing the advanced needs of high-proficiency students.

D. Discrepancies with Existing Literature

While the findings align with much of the existing research, a few discrepancies were noted.

- **Feedback Perception**: Contrary to studies like Nicol and Macfarlane-Dick (2006), which emphasize widespread student appreciation for formative feedback, a minority of participants in this study expressed dissatisfaction with the automated nature of feedback, suggesting that human oversight may remain crucial in certain contexts.

- **Satisfaction Levels Among High Performers**: Unlike Mirata et al. (2020), who reported uniformly high satisfaction levels with adaptive systems, this study observed that high-performing students were less satisfied with the ALS. This could stem from perceived limitations in system content for challenging advanced learners, highlighting the need for more nuanced adaptive designs.

5.3 Implications for Theory and Practice

This section highlights the study's contributions to theory and practice, emphasizing advancements in Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) and feedback models. It offers insights into personalized learning and practical recommendations for implementing ALS effectively, focusing on usability, feedback quality, and scalability, while guiding educators and policymakers on their integration into education.

5.3.1 Theoretical Contributions

A. Relevance of Findings to Adaptive Learning Systems and Feedback Mechanisms in Education

The study contributes significantly to the theoretical understanding of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) and feedback mechanisms within educational contexts.

- **Validation of Adaptive Learning Theory**: The study reinforces existing theories that suggest ALS can effectively personalize learning experiences to accommodate diverse learner needs. The strong improvements observed in academic writing align with principles of adaptivity, emphasizing individualized pacing, tailored content, and iterative feedback as central components of effective digital learning systems (Chen et al., 2018).

- **Enhancing Feedback Models**: The role of feedback, particularly its immediacy, specificity, and constructiveness, underscores the theoretical importance of timely, actionable feedback as a catalyst for learning improvement. These findings extend Hattie and Timperley's (2007) work by demonstrating how feedback integrated into adaptive platforms can produce measurable skill gains across writing dimensions.

- **The Role of Reflective Learning**: The study provides theoretical insights into the role of reflective feedback cycles in fostering higher-order thinking skills, such as critical analysis and originality. This supports the constructivist learning framework, where learners actively construct knowledge through guided feedback and iterative revisions (Jonassen, 1999).

B. Insights into Pedagogical Strategies for Improving Academic Writing

The findings offer critical insights into the pedagogical strategies that improve academic writing, emphasizing the synergy between adaptivity and feedback mechanisms.

- **Iterative Learning as a Core Pedagogical Principle**: The results demonstrate that embedding iterative feedback within writing tasks not only improves technical writing skills but also enhances students' ability to synthesize evidence and articulate complex arguments.

- **Context-Specific Customization**: The study highlights the importance of tailoring academic writing instruction to address students' specific needs, particularly for ESL learners and those with low prior proficiency. These findings suggest a pedagogical shift toward greater personalization in educational interventions.

5.3.2 Practical Implications

A. Recommendations for Implementing ALS in Similar Educational Contexts

The study's outcomes provide actionable recommendations for the successful implementation of ALS in similar educational settings.

- **Invest in Usability and Training**: Ensuring user-friendly interfaces and providing comprehensive onboarding for both students and instructors can mitigate initial resistance and ease the transition to adaptive systems. Institutions should focus on simplifying navigation and offering tutorials or workshops.

- **Prioritize Feedback Features**: Systems should prioritize real-time, specific feedback mechanisms that address individual learner needs while maintaining a balance between automated and human oversight. Incorporating a hybrid feedback model can enhance credibility and engagement.

- **Monitor and Adjust Adaptivity**: Regular evaluation of the system's adaptivity features is crucial to ensure that it effectively supports students across varying proficiency levels. Data analytics can help identify patterns of engagement and areas for improvement, enabling continual refinement of the system.

B. Suggestions for Educators and Policymakers

Educators and policymakers play a pivotal role in scaling ALS interventions effectively.

- **Educators**:
    - Adopt facilitative roles by guiding students through adaptive platforms, providing complementary human feedback where necessary.
    - Integrate ALS into broader instructional strategies, ensuring alignment between digital tools and course objectives.
    - Use performance data generated by ALS to identify gaps and tailor supplementary instruction for struggling students.

- **Policymakers**:
    - Invest in scalable ALS technologies that address diverse educational needs while ensuring equity in access. This is particularly crucial for resource-limited institutions.
    - Develop policies that incentivize the integration of adaptive learning systems, including grants for technological upgrades and professional development programs for educators.
    - Emphasize the importance of ethical data governance, ensuring that student privacy and security are safeguarded in digital learning environments.

5.4 Limitations of the Study

5.4.1 Methodological Limitations

While the study demonstrated significant improvements in academic writing skills through the use of an Adaptive Learning System (ALS), several methodological constraints should be acknowledged:

- **Short Intervention Period**: The relatively short duration of the intervention may have limited the extent to which long-term impacts of the ALS on academic writing could be observed. As writing is a complex skill requiring sustained practice, the improvements noted in this study may not reflect the system's potential for fostering long-term retention and mastery.
- **Reliance on Quantitative Measures**: The study primarily relied on pre-test and post-test scores to measure improvements in writing skills. While these scores provide valuable insights, they may not fully capture qualitative aspects of writing improvement, such as creativity and voice, which could have added depth to the findings.

These methodological choices may lead to an incomplete picture of the system's full impact on writing skill development, especially regarding higher-order skills.

5.4.2 Sample Limitations

- **Sample Size and Representativeness**: The study included a sample of 70 students from Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, which, while sufficient for statistical analysis, may not represent the broader population of university students. This sample may also limit the generalizability of findings to students in other geographic, linguistic, or institutional contexts.

- **Homogeneity of Participants**: Most participants shared similar linguistic and educational backgrounds, potentially overlooking the system's effectiveness for students from more diverse contexts, such as those with varying levels of digital literacy or differing cultural attitudes toward adaptive learning systems.

These sample characteristics may constrain the study's ability to generalize findings to a broader demographic or to diverse academic writing contexts.

5.4.3 Contextual Limitations

- **Technology-Dependent Findings**: The ALS intervention was implemented in a digitally equipped environment. Institutions with less robust technological infrastructure may face barriers to replicating these results, particularly in resource-limited settings where access to reliable internet and devices is uneven.

- **Cultural Context of Feedback Acceptance**: As feedback practices can be culturally mediated, the findings may not fully translate to contexts where automated feedback systems are perceived with skepticism or where traditional educator-centered models dominate. For instance, resistance to automated feedback reported by some participants might be amplified in contexts with limited exposure to technology-driven learning.

These contextual factors may influence the scalability and applicability of the findings beyond the immediate setting of the study.

5.4.4 Impact on Interpretation of Results

These limitations underscore the need for caution when interpreting the results and applying them to other settings.

- **Potential Overestimation of Outcomes**: The relatively controlled conditions of the study—such as the provision of adequate technical support and faculty guidance—may have amplified the observed benefits of the ALS.

- **Scope for Further Investigation**: Future studies should explore the system's impact across a more diverse range of learners and over extended periods to verify and deepen understanding of its effectiveness. Additionally, integrating qualitative approaches, such as interviews or reflective essays, could provide richer insights into students' experiences with the system.

5.5 Recommendations for Future Research

5.5.1 Identify Gaps Revealed by the Study

The findings of this study highlight several areas that warrant further investigation:

- **Limited Exploration of Long-Term Effects**: The study focused on short-term improvements in academic writing skills, leaving the long-term retention and application of these skills underexplored.

- **Homogeneity of Sample**: The sample primarily comprised students with similar linguistic and educational backgrounds, limiting insights into the system's effectiveness for more diverse populations, such as multilingual learners or students with varied levels of digital literacy.

- **Qualitative Insights into Writing Improvement**: While quantitative measures provided clear evidence of improvement, the qualitative dimensions of writing, such as creativity, authorial voice, and critical engagement, remain underexplored.

- **Technological Adaptation Challenges**: Some students reported difficulties navigating the ALS interface, raising questions about how design features influence user engagement and learning outcomes, particularly for technologically inexperienced users.

5.5.2 Future Research Directions

Based on the gaps identified, the following recommendations for future research are proposed:

1. Explore the Long-Term Impact of ALS on Writing Skills

- Conduct longitudinal studies to assess how adaptive learning systems influence the sustained development of academic writing skills.
- Investigate the extent to which skills acquired through ALS transfer to other academic or professional contexts.

2. Test ALS Across Diverse Student Populations

- Include larger and more diverse samples to explore the system's effectiveness across varying linguistic, cultural, and academic backgrounds.
- Examine the outcomes for students with unique learning needs, such as those with disabilities or limited access to digital technologies.

3. Integrate Qualitative Approaches to Writing Assessment

- Use qualitative methods, such as interviews, reflective essays, and portfolio reviews, to gain deeper insights into students' experiences with adaptive learning systems.
- Investigate how students perceive improvements in non-technical aspects of writing, such as argumentation style, originality, and coherence.

4. Innovate Feedback Mechanisms

- Explore the integration of hybrid feedback mechanisms that combine automated feedback with periodic human input to enhance credibility and contextual relevance.

- Test the effectiveness of real-time adaptive feedback in more dynamic learning environments, such as blended or fully online courses.

5. Investigate Usability and Design Features of ALS

- Examine how user interface design impacts student engagement, satisfaction, and learning outcomes.

- Study the role of gamification, visual aids, and interactivity in maintaining learner motivation and reducing cognitive overload.

6. Assess Institutional Implementation Strategies

- Conduct implementation studies to understand the challenges and opportunities of integrating ALS in resource-limited educational institutions.

- Evaluate the effectiveness of professional development programs for educators in supporting ALS adoption and usage.

Future research should address these areas to strengthen the theoretical foundations and practical applications of adaptive learning systems. By broadening the scope of investigation and refining implementation strategies, researchers and practitioners can ensure that ALS evolve into versatile tools capable of addressing diverse educational needs globally.

5.6 Conclusion

This study investigated the effectiveness of Adaptive Learning Systems (ALS) in improving academic writing skills among university students, with a focus on the role of feedback mechanisms and personalized learning pathways. The key findings include:

1. **Significant Improvement in Academic Writing Skills**: The ALS intervention resulted in substantial gains in both writing knowledge and writing quality, as evidenced by the pre-test and post-test comparisons. Improvements in grammar, coherence, and critical thinking were particularly notable.

2. **High Levels of Student Satisfaction**: Survey results indicated strong satisfaction with the system's adaptivity, interactivity, and feedback mechanisms, underscoring its effectiveness in creating an engaging and supportive learning environment.

3. **The Impact of Feedback Mechanisms**: Immediate, specific, and constructive feedback emerged as a critical factor in bridging performance gaps and promoting iterative learning.

4. **Challenges and Variability**: While the ALS was effective overall, challenges such as technological adaptation issues and variability in outcomes among different student subgroups highlighted areas for further refinement.

These findings contribute to the growing body of literature on adaptive learning technologies, demonstrating their potential to enhance academic skill development through tailored instruction and feedback. The study also provides actionable insights for implementing ALS in higher education, offering practical guidance for educators and policymakers.

The study makes a valuable contribution to both theory and practice by:

- **Advancing Adaptive Learning Research**: It validates and extends existing theories on the effectiveness of adaptivity and feedback mechanisms in improving academic outcomes.

- **Providing Practical Guidance**: The findings offer concrete recommendations for integrating ALS into educational settings, emphasizing usability, customization, and feedback design.

- **Highlighting Contextual Insights**: By examining ALS in the context of Thai higher education, the study addresses a critical gap in the literature, offering region-specific insights with potential global implications.

This research underscores the transformative potential of adaptive learning systems in addressing complex educational challenges, particularly in skill-intensive domains like academic writing. By leveraging personalized pathways and dynamic feedback mechanisms, ALS provide a scalable and flexible solution for enhancing student outcomes, bridging performance gaps, and fostering deeper engagement with learning. As technology continues to evolve, adaptive systems hold the promise of revolutionizing education, empowering students to achieve their full potential through tailored and impactful learning experiences.

References

Aleven, V., McLaughlin, E. A., Stern, M., & Koedinger, K. R. (2016). Adaptive learning environments as a learning accomplishment of human tutoring. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(2), 582-595.

American Psychological Association. (2020). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (7th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

Ashwin, P. (2014). Knowledge, curriculum and student understanding in higher education. *Higher Education, 67*, 123-126.

Aunurrahman, Hamied, F. A., & Emilia, E. (2017). Modeling academic writing for university students: A module development. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 7*(1), 172-182.

Azevedo, R., & Bernard, R. M. (1995). A meta-analysis of the effects of feedback in computer-based instruction. *Journal of Educational Computing Research, 13*, 111-127.

Bangert-Drowns, R., Kulik, C. C., Kulik, J., & Morgan, M. (1991). The instructional effect of feedback in test-like events. *Review of Educational Research, 61*(2), 213-238.

Barmaki, R., & Hughes, C. E. (2015). Providing real-time feedback for student teachers in a virtual rehearsal environment. *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education*, 18-23.

Barnett, R. (2009). Knowing and becoming in the higher education curriculum. *Studies in Higher Education, 34*, 429-440.

Barr, A., & Robson, R. (2019). Missing pieces: Infrastructure requirements for adaptive instructional systems. In R. Sottilare & J. Schwarz (Eds.), *Adaptive instructional systems* (Vol. 11597, pp. 1-10). Springer, Lecture Notes in Computer Science.

Battou, A. (2017). Towards an adaptive learning system based on agile learner-centered design. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Collaboration Technologies*, 1-10.

Benedict, J. (2013). Using popular-press nutrition books to develop critical reading skills of first-year college students. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 45*(2), 188-190.

Bimba, A., Idris, N., Al-Hunaiyyan, A., Mahmud, R., & Shuib, N. (2017). Adaptive feedback in computer-based learning environments: A review. *Adaptive Behavior, 25*(5), 217-234.

Blayney, P. J., & Freeman, M. (2004). Automated formative feedback and summative assessment using individualised spreadsheet assignments. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 20*(2), 209-231.

Bonnel, W., & Boehm, H. (2011). Improving feedback to students online: Teaching tips from experienced faculty. *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing, 42*(11), 503-509.

Boonchan, B. (2015). Variables affecting the creativity of undergraduate students at Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University. Creative Education, 6, 2241-2249. Accessed online.

Boone, H. N., & Boone, D. A. (2012). Analyzing Likert data. *Journal of Extension, 50*(2), Article 2FEA8.

Boyle, J., Ramsay, S., & Struan, A. (2019). The academic writing skills programme: A model for technology-enhanced, blended delivery of an academic writing programme. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice, 16*(4), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.53761/1.16.4.4

Briggs, A. R. J., Clark, J., & Hall, I. (2012). Building bridges: Understanding student transition to university. *Quality in Higher Education, 18*(1), 3-21.

Brodsky, D., & Doherty, E. G. (2010). Providing effective feedback. *Neoreviews, 11*, E117-E123.

Cai, R. (2018). Adaptive learning practice for online learning and assessment. In *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Distance Education and Learning* (pp. 103-108). https://doi.org/10.1145/3231848.3231868

Capuano, N., & Caballé, S. (2020). Adaptive learning technologies. *AI Magazine, 41*(2), 96-98.

Carless, D. (2006). Differing perceptions in the feedback process. *Studies in Higher Education, 31*(2), 219-233.

Carless, D. (2019). Learners' feedback literacy and the longer term: Developing capacity for impact. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice, 16*(4), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.53761/1.16.4.4

Carson, J. E., Carrell, P. L., Silberstein, S., Kroll, B., & Kuehn, P. A. (1990). Reading-writing relationships in first and second language. *TESOL Quarterly, 24*(2), 245-266.

Cavalcanti, A. P., Mello, R. F., Rolim, V., Ferreira, M. A. D., Freitas, F., & Gašević, D. (2019). An analysis of the use of good feedback practices in online learning courses. In *2019 IEEE 19th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies* (pp. 153-157).

Cavanagh, T. B., Chen, B., Maalem Lahcen, R. A., & Paradiso, J. R. (2020). Constructing a design framework and pedagogical approach for adaptive learning in higher education: A practitioner's perspective. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 21*(1), 172-196. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-016-0038-y

Cekiso, M., Tshotsho, B., & Somniso, M. (2016). Exploring first-year university students' challenges with coherence writing strategies in essay writing in a South African university. *International Journal of Educational Sciences, 12*, 241-246.

Chaisiri, T. (2010). Implementing a genre pedagogy to the teaching of writing in a university context in Thailand. *Language Education in Asia, 1*(1), 181-199.

Chen, C., Hwang, G.-J., & Tsai, C.-C. (2018). A progressive prompting approach to conducting context-aware ubiquitous learning activities for improving students' learning behaviors and achievements. *Educational Technology & Society*, 21(4), 129–141.

Chen, Y., Li, X., Liu, J., & Ying, Z. (2018). Recommendation system for adaptive learning. *Applied Psychological Measurement, 42*(1), 24-41.

Costandius, E., & Bitzer, E. (2015). Curriculum challenges in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*.

Cruzvergara, C. Y., Testani, J. A., & Smith, K. K. (2018). Leadership competency expectations of employers and the expanding mission of career centers. *New Directions for Student Leadership, 2018*(157), 27-37.

Dehghani, M., Pakmehr, H., & Sani, H. J. (2011). Managerial challenges of curriculum implementation in higher education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 15*, 2003-2006.

Elshout-Mohr, M. (1994). Feedback in self-instruction. *European Education, 26*(2), 58-73.

Espasa, A., & Meneses, J. (2010). Analysing feedback processes in an online teaching and learning environment: An exploratory study. *Higher Education, 59*(3), 277-292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-009-9247-4

Fadieieva, L. O. (2023). Adaptive learning: A cluster-based literature review (2011–2022). *Educational Technology Quarterly, 4*(14), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.55056/etq.613

Fernández-Toro, M., & Furnborough, C. (2018). Evaluating alignment of student and tutor perspectives on feedback on language learning assignments. *Distance Education, 39*(4), 548-567.

Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. Sage.

Foerde, K., & Shohamy, D. (2011). Feedback timing modulates brain systems for learning in humans. *The Journal of Neuroscience, 31*(37), 13157-13167.

Foley, J. (2013). Critical reflections on the role of culture in English language teaching. *Thai TESOL Journal*, 25(1), 3–20.

Foley, J. (2013). Developing academic writing in a business-oriented university. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 2*(2), 168-186.

Folsom-Kovarik, J., Wray, R., & Hamel, L. (2013). Adaptive assessment in an instructor-mediated system. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies* (ICALT), 571-574.

Fook, C. Y., & Sidhu, G. K. (2015). Investigating learning challenges faced by students in higher education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 186*, 604-612.

Gallagher, G. (2017). Aligning for learning: Including feedback in the constructive alignment model. *AISHE-J: The All Ireland Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 9*.

Gerova, G., & Ivanova, I. (2023). University students and instructors' perceptions of challenges in academic writing. *Lyuboslovie*.

Gibson, J. (1962). Adaptive learning systems. In *Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning* (pp. 113-115). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1428-6_534

Gielen, S., Peeters, E., Dochy, F., Onghena, P., & Struyven, K. (2010). Improving the effectiveness of peer feedback for learning. *Learning and Instruction, 20*(4), 304-315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.08.007

Gomez, G. C., Guzmán, P., & Santelices, M. V. (2022). Transitioning to higher education: Students' expectations and realities. *Educational Research, 64*(3), 424-439.

Gopee, N., & Deane, M. (2013). Strategies for successful academic writing - institutional and non-institutional support for students. *Nurse Education Today, 33*(12), 1624-1631.

Gouli, E., Gogoulou, A., Papanikolaou, K., & Grigoriadou, M. (2006). An Adaptive Feedback Framework to Support Reflection, Guiding and Tutoring. *Educational Technology Quarterly*, 4(14), 1-8. DOI: 10.55056/etq.613

Goyal, S., Kumar, N., Badyal, D., Kainth, A., & Singh, T. (2017). Feedback of students to aligned teaching-learning and assessment. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 59*, 516-517.

Graham, J. G. (1987). English language proficiency and the prediction of academic success. *TESOL Quarterly, 21*(3), 505-521.

Graham, S., & Harris, K. R. (2019). Writing feedback: A meta-analysis of the research literature. *Educational Psychologist, 54*(1), 53-71.

Hamp-Lyons, L., & Condon, W. (2000). *Assessing the writing of second language learners*. Cambridge University Press.

Handley, K., Price, M., & Millar, J. (2011). Beyond 'doing time': Investigating the concept of student engagement with feedback. *Oxford Review of Education, 37*(4), 543-560.

Harrell, M., & Wetzel, D. (2013). Improving first-year writing using argument diagramming. *Cognitive Science, 35*, 2488.

Harrison, C. J., Könings, K. D., Molyneux, A., Schuwirth, L. W. T., Wass, V., & Van der Vleuten, C. P. M. (2013). Web-based feedback after summative assessment: How do students engage? *Medical Education, 47*(7), 734-744.

Harrison, C. J., Könings, K. D., Schuwirth, L., Wass, V., & Van der Vleuten, C. P. M. (2015). Barriers to the uptake and use of feedback in the context of summative assessment. *Advances in Health Sciences Education, 20*(1), 229-245.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81-112.

Henderson, M., Ryan, T., & Phillips, M. (2019). The challenges of feedback in higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 44*(8), 1237-1252.

Hirano, E. (2014). Refugees in first-year college: Academic writing challenges and resources. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 23*, 37-52.

Hoo, H. T., Tan, K., & Deneen, C. (2020). Negotiating self- and peer-feedback with the use of reflective journals: An analysis of undergraduates' engagement with feedback. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 45*(3), 431-446.

Hussey, T., & Smith, P. H. (2010). Transitions in higher education. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 47*(2), 155-164.

Hyland, K. (2003). *Second language writing*. Cambridge University Press.

Hyland, K. (2011). Writing in the university: education, knowledge and reputation. *Language Teaching*, 46(1), 53-70DOI: 10.1017/S0261444811000036

Iraj, H., Fudge, A., Khan, H., Faulkner, M., Pardo, A., & Kovanović, V. (2021). Narrowing the feedback gap: Examining student engagement with personalized and actionable feedback messages. Journal of Learning Analytics, 8(3), 101-116. https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2021.7184

Ivanova, T. I. (2023). Knowledge-Based Semi-Automatic Selection of Personalized Learning Paths. *2023 International Conference on Information Technologies (InfoTech).*

Jonassen, D. (1999). Designing constructivist learning environments. In C. Reigeluth (Ed.), Instructional-design theories and models: A new paradigm of instructional theory, Volume II (pp. 215-239). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Jonassen, D. H. (1999). Constructivist learning environments: On the road to constructivism. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(1), 61–78.

Jonsson, A. (2013). Facilitating productive use of feedback in higher education. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 14*, 63-76.

Kamaşak, R., Sahan, K., & Rose, H. (2021). Academic language-related challenges at an English-medium university. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*.

Kantak, S., & Winstein, C. (2012). Learning–performance distinction and memory processes for motor skills: A focused review and perspective. *Behavioural Brain Research, 228*, 219-231.

Karoui, A., Alvarez, L., Geoffre, T., Chapuis, N. D., Rodi, M., & Ramalho, M. (2021). Adaptive pathways within the European platform for personalized language learning PEAPL. In *Proceedings of the 1st workshop LPRS within the 29th Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*, June 21–25.

Kasinathan, V., Mustapha, A., & Medi, I. (2017). Adaptive learning system for higher learning. *2017 8th International Conference on Information Technology (ICIT)*.

Kellogg, R. T., & Raulerson, B. A. (2007). Improving the writing skills of college students. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14*(2), 237-242.

Kenny, C., & Pahl, C. (2009). Intelligent and adaptive tutoring for active learning and training environments. *Interactive Learning Environments, 17*, 181-195.

Khosravi, H., Sadiq, S., & Gašević, D. (2020). Development and adoption of an adaptive learning system: Reflections and lessons learned. *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education*.

Khosravi, H., Sadiq, S., & Gašević, D. (2020). Adaptive learning analytics: A review of the state of the art and future directions. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(6), 1496–1512.

Kirk, P., & Macdonald, I. (1989). The role of feedback in management learning. *Management Learning, 20*(1), 19-29.

Kleij, F. V. D., Eggen, T. J. H. M., Timmers, C. F., & Veldkamp, B. P. (2012). Effects of feedback in a computer-based assessment for learning. *Computers & Education, 58*(1), 263-272.

Knoch, U., Rouhshad, A., & Storch, N. (2014). Does the writing of undergraduate ESL students develop after one year of study in an English-medium university? *Assessing Writing, 21*, 1-17.

Kosba, E., Dimitrova, V., & Boyle, R. (2007). Adaptive feedback generation to support teachers in web-based distance education. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, 17*(5), 379-413. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11257-007-9031-z

Kruse, O. (2003). Getting started: Academic writing in the first year of a university education. In L. Björk, G. Bräuer, L. Rienecker, & P. Stray Jörgensen (Eds.), *Teaching academic writing in European higher education* (Studies in Writing, 12, pp. 19-28). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48195-2_2

Kulik, J. A., & Kulik, C. L. (1988). Timing of feedback and verbal learning. *Review of Educational Research, 58*(1), 79-97.

Kyndt, E., Donche, V., Coertjens, L., van Daal, T., Gijbels, D., & van Petegem, P. (2018). Does self-efficacy contribute to the development of students' motivation across the transition from secondary to higher education? *European Journal of Psychology of Education, 34*(3), 457-478.

Le, N. (2016). A classification of adaptive feedback in educational systems for programming. *Systems, 4*(2), 22.

Lea, M. R., & Street, B. V. (1998). Student writing in higher education: An academic literacies approach. *Studies in Higher Education, 23*(2), 157-172.

Leibold, N., & Schwarz, L. M. (2015). The art of giving online feedback. *The Journal of Effective Teaching, 15*, 34-46.

Lewthwaite, R., & Wulf, G. (2010). Social-comparative feedback affects motor skill learning. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63*(4), 738-749.

Liang, J., Hare, R., Chang, T., Xu, F., Tang, Y., Wang, F., Peng, S., & Lei, M. (2022). Student modeling and analysis in adaptive instructional systems. *IEEE Access, 10*, 59359-59372.

Lim, L., Lim, S., & Lim, W.-Y. (2023). Efficacy of an adaptive learning system on course scores. *Syst., 11*, 31.

Liu, M., McKelroy, E., Corliss, S. B., & Carrigan, J. (2017). Investigating the effect of an adaptive learning intervention on students' learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 65*(6), 1605-1625. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-017-9542-1

Luo, Y. (2010). Using JavaScript to implement real-time feedback in online learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 58*(6), 711-723. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-010-9152-1

Maddox, W. T., Ashby, F. G., & Bohil, C. J. (2003). Delayed feedback effects on rule-based and information-integration category learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 29*(4), 650-662.

Mandernach, B. J. (2005). Relative effectiveness of computer-based and human feedback for enhancing student learning. *The Journal of Educators Online, 2*(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.9743/jeo.2005.1.4

Matthews, K., Janicki, T., He, L., & Patterson, L. J. (2012). Implementation of an automated grading system with an adaptive learning component to affect student feedback and response time. *J. Inf. Syst. Educ., 23*, 71-84.

McCarthy, J. (2015). Evaluating written, audio and video feedback in higher education summative assessment tasks. *Issues in Educational Research, 25*(2), 153-169.

Meikleham, A., & Hugo, R. (2018). Understanding informal feedback to improve online course design. *European Journal of Engineering Education, 45*, 4-21.

Metz, A. J., Fouad, N. A., & Ihle-Helledy, K. (2009). Career aspirations and expectations of college students. *Journal of Career Assessment, 17*, 155-171.

Mirata, V., Hirt, F. S., Bergamin, P., & Van Der Westhuizen, C. P. (2020). Challenges and contexts in establishing adaptive learning in higher education: Findings from a Delphi study. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 17*.

Miyamura, A., & Kimura, H. (2002). Stability of feedback error learning scheme. *Syst. Control. Lett., 45*, 303-316.

Molloy, E., & Boud, D. (2014). Feedback models for learning, teaching, and performance. In *Feedback in Higher and Professional Education* (pp. 413-424).

Morozov, A. (2011). Student attitudes toward the assessment criteria in writing-intensive college courses. *Assessing Writing, 16*, 6-31.

Mory, E. (1992). The use of informational feedback in instruction: Implications for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 40*, 5-20.

National Association of Colleges and Employers. (2022). 2022 job outlook: Trends shaping the 2023 college graduate hiring market.

Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education, 31*(2), 199-218.

Olsson, H. M., & Öberg, L.-M. (2011). Role of feedback in uniform learning situations. In *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Design of Communication* (pp. 279-284).

Pally, M. (2001). Skills development in 'sustained' content-based curricula: Case studies in analytical/critical thinking and academic writing. *Language and Education, 15*, 279-305.

Pardo, A. (2018). A feedback model for data-rich learning experiences. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 43*, 428-438.

Pardo, A., Jovanović, J., Dawson, S., Gašević, D., & Mirriahi, N. (2019). Using learning analytics to scale the provision of personalised feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50*, 128-138.

Peng, H., Ma, S., & Spector, J. M. (2019). Personalized and adaptive learning. *Smart Learning Environments, 6*, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-019-0089-y

Phothongsunan, S. (2016). Thai university academics' challenges of writing for publication in English. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 6*(4), 681-685.

Pineteh, E. A. (2013). The academic writing challenges of undergraduate students: A South African case study. *The International Journal of Higher Education, 3*(1), 12-22.

Poulos, A., & Mahony, M. J. (2008). Effectiveness of feedback: The students' perspective. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 33*(2), 143-154.

Quinton, S., & Smallbone, T. (2010). Feeding forward: Using feedback to promote student reflection and learning – a teaching model. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 47*(1), 125-135.

Ramani, S., & Krackov, S. K. (2012). Twelve tips for giving feedback effectively in the clinical environment. *Medical Teacher, 34*, 787-791.

Raubenheimer, G., Jeffries, B., & Yacef, K. (2021). Toward empirical analysis of pedagogical feedback in computer programming learning environments. In

Proceedings of the 23rd Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE '21), January 30 - February 2, 2021, Dunedin, New Zealand (Article 22). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 14 pages.

Real-Fernández, A., Molina-Carmona, R., Pertegal-Felices, M. L., & Llorens-Largo, F. (2019). Definition of a feature vector to characterise learners in adaptive learning systems. In *Research & Innovation Forum 2019: Advancing Education, Research, and Innovation* (pp. 75-89).

Ridhwan, M. (2017). Understanding formative and summative assessment for EFL teachers: Theoretical reflections on assessment for learning. J-SHMIC: Journal of English for Academic [Online], 1(2). Available at: https://journal.uir.ac.id/index.php/jshmic/article/view/505

Sasakura, M., & Yamasaki, S. (2007). A framework for adaptive e-learning systems in higher education with information visualization. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Information Visualization (IV '07)*, Zurich, Switzerland, July 4-6, 2007 (pp. 641-646). IEEE.

Seensangworn, P., et al. (2017). Writing problems and writing strategies of English major and non-English major students in a Thai university. วารสาร มนุษยศาสตร์ ปริทรรศน์ (Manutsat Paritat: Humanities Journal), [Online], 33(2), pp. 89-108. Available at: http://ejournals.swu.ac.th/index.php/hm/article/view/9327/8006

Sein-Echaluce, M., Aguado, P., Escaño, J. E., Esteban-Sánchez, A., Florentín, P., Gracia-Gómez, M. C., Lerís, D., Vea, F., & Velamazán, M. (2015). Design of adaptive experiences in higher education through a learning management system. In *Proceedings of the 3rd 2015 Workshop on ICTs for improving Patients Rehabilitation Research Techniques* (pp. 77-82).

Sein-Echaluce, M. L., Fidalgo-Blanco, Á., & García-Peñalvo, F. J. (2015). Technological ecosystems for active methodologies in education. *Multidisciplinary Journal for Education, Social and Technological Sciences*, 2(1), 1–17.

Shute, V. J. (2008). Focus on formative feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 78(1), 153–189.

Simpson, T. E., Safa, M., Sokolova, A., & Latiolais, P. G. (2019). Career readiness and employment expectations: Interdisciplinary freshman experience. Journal of Business and Management Sciences, 7(3), 117-123. Retrieved from http://article.businessmanagementsciences.com/pdf/jbms-7-3-3.pdf

Skinner, E., & Belmont, M. (1993). Motivation in the classroom: Reciprocal effects of teacher behavior and student engagement across the school year. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 85*(4), 571-581.

Staples, S., Egbert, J., Biber, D., & Gray, B. (2016). Academic writing development at the university level. *Written Communication, 33,* 149-183.

Suwandi, I. N. (2022). An analysis of academic writing acquisition of university students in Indonesian classes. International Journal of Social Science, 4(2), 118-127. Retrieved from https://bajangjournal.com/index.php/IJSS/article/view/2306

Taras, M. (2005). Assessment – Summative and formative – Some theoretical reflections. *British Journal of Educational Studies, 53*(4), 466-478.

Taylor, D. L., Yeung, M., Bashet, A. Z. (2021). Personalized and adaptive learning: Innovative learning environments in STEM higher education. Retrieved from https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/47325/1/9783030589486.pdf#page=31

Termsinsuk, S. (2015). Development of a blended instructional model via weblog to enhance English summary writing ability of Thai undergraduate students. IAFOR Journal of Education, 3(2), 37-53. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1100642

Thorp, H., & Goldstein, B. (2018). Our higher calling: Rebuilding the partnership between America and its colleges and universities. *The University of North Carolina Press*.

Thurlings, M., Vermeulen, M., Bastiaens, T., & Stijnen, S. (2013). Understanding feedback: A learning theory perspective. *Educational Research Review, 9,* 1-15.

Tiam-Lee, T. J. Z., & Sumi, K. (2018). Adaptive feedback based on student emotion in a system for programming practice. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems* (pp. 229-238).

Tomak, B. (2022). The benefits of "Academic Writing" course for the freshmen in English-medium-instruction departments in a Turkish state university. Yaşadıkça Eğitim, 36(1), 45-59. Retrieved from https://journals.iku.edu.tr/yed/index.php/yed/article/view/415

Truong, H. M. (2016). Integrating learning styles and adaptive e-learning system: Current developments, problems and opportunities. *Computers in Human Behavior, 55*, 1185-1193.

Turel, V. (2013). Design of feedback in interactive multimedia language learning environments. *Linguistik Online, 54*(4), 281-294.

U.S. Department of Education. (2017). Reimagining the role of technology in education: 2017 National Education Technology Plan Update. *Linguistik Online, 54*(4), 281-294.

Van der Kleij, F. M., Feskens, R. C., & Eggen, T. J. (2015). Effects of feedback in a computer-based learning environment on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research, 85*(4), 475-511.

Vandewaetere, M., & Wauters, K. (2010). Learner control on feedback: A new extension to adaptive learning? In *2010 10th IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies* (pp. 406-408).

Vassoyan, J., Vie, J.-J., & Lemberger, P. (2023). Towards scalable adaptive learning with graph neural networks and reinforcement learning. *arXiv:2305.06398*.

Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Walkington, C. A. (2013). Using adaptive learning technologies to personalize instruction to student interests: The impact of relevant contexts on performance and learning outcomes. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 105*(4), 932-945.

Wang, L. (2003). Switching to first language among writers with differing second-language proficiency. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 12*(3), 347-375.

Wardani, E. S. (2018). The influence of career expectations toward work readiness in SMK students. Vanos: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education, 3, 22-29. Retrieved from http://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/vanos/article/view/4117

Woodward-Kron, R. (2002). Critical analysis versus description? Examining the relationship in successful student writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes, 1*, 121-143.

Yang, T.-C., Hwang, G.-J., Chiang, T.-H., & Yang, S. J.-H. (2013). A multi-dimensional personalization approach to developing adaptive learning systems. *Educational Technology & Society*, *16*(4), 185-200.

Yangklang, W. (2013). Improving English stress and intonation pronunciation of the first year students of Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University through an e-learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 91*, 444-452.

Appendices

Tool 1: Pre-Post Tests

Academic Writing Competency Tests

Instructions:

This test consists of two main parts: a writing task and a series of questions focused on understanding academic writing principles. Please read each instruction carefully and respond to the best of your ability.

Part A: Writing Task

You are given a topic to write an academic essay. Your essay should demonstrate your ability to construct a well-organized, clear, and coherent argument that is well-supported by evidence.

Topic: "The impact of technology on education in the 21st century."

Guidelines:

- Your essay should be between 300-400 words.
- Clearly state your thesis in the introduction.
- Develop your argument in the body with supporting evidence.
- Conclude by summarizing your main points and restating the significance of your argument.

Evaluation Criteria:

Your responses will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- **Clarity and Structure (10 marks):** Organization of ideas, clarity of argument, and logical flow.
- **Content and Understanding (10 marks):** Demonstrated understanding of the academic writing principles and the ability to apply them effectively.

- **Critical Thinking and Argumentation (10 marks):** Quality of argumentation, use of evidence, and critical analysis.
- **Mechanics and Style (10 marks):** Grammar, punctuation, and usage of academic language.

Part B: Understanding Academic Writing

This part consists of 80 multiple-choice questions.

Question 1: Which of the following is crucial for achieving sentence clarity?

A) Complex vocabulary

B) Long sentences

C) Active voice

D) Redundancy

Answer: C) Active voice

Question 2: The use of passive voice in academic writing is:

A) Always preferred

B) Advised against in most cases

C) Recommended for clarity

D) Only acceptable in narrative writing

Answer: B) Advised against in most cases

Question 3: Cohesion in a paragraph can be improved by:

A) Changing topics frequently

B) Using a variety of transitional phrases

C) Avoiding the use of conjunctions

D) Writing in the passive voice

Answer: B) Using a variety of transitional phrases

Question 4: What is the purpose of revising for precision and clarity?

A) To lengthen the text

B) To add complex vocabulary

C) To make the writing more concise and understandable

D) To incorporate more passive constructions

Answer: C) To make the writing more concise and understandable

Question 5: Unity in paragraphs is achieved by:

A) Discussing multiple main ideas in one paragraph

B) Ensuring all sentences support the main idea

C) Frequently shifting the focus

D) Using complex sentence structures

Answer: B) Ensuring all sentences support the main idea

Question 6: Formality in academic writing involves:

A) Using slang and colloquialisms

B) Maintaining a professional tone

C) Incorporating humor and anecdotes

D) Writing in the first person

Answer: B) Maintaining a professional tone

Question 7: Which of the following best describes conciseness in writing?

A) Using the fewest words without losing the essential point

B) Expanding on every detail

C) Repeating important points for emphasis

D) Including as many examples as possible

Answer: A) Using the fewest words without losing the essential point

Question 8: The use of sensory details in writing is important for:

A) Creating ambiguity

B) Enhancing the reader's understanding and experience

C) Confusing the reader

D) Lengthening the paragraph

Answer: B) Enhancing the reader's understanding and experience

Question 9: Coherence in paragraphs can be best described as:

A) The use of repetitive sentences for emphasis

B) The logical flow of ideas and consistency in argument

C) Incorporating unrelated facts for breadth

D) Focusing on peripheral details

Answer: B) The logical flow of ideas and consistency in argument

Question 10: When revising for tone and formality, it's important to:

A) Ensure the language is appropriate for the audience and purpose

B) Use casual language to relate to the audience

C) Focus solely on grammar and punctuation

D) Prioritize the length of the text over clarity

Answer: A) Ensure the language is appropriate for the audience and purpose

Question 11: When describing a person, the choice of descriptive words and phrases is crucial because:

A) It can make the description more generic.

B) It helps to create a vivid image in the reader's mind.

C) It reduces the overall word count.

D) It makes the paragraph less interesting.

Answer: B) It helps to create a vivid image in the reader's mind.

Question 12: Sensory details in a descriptive paragraph are used to:

A) Confuse the reader.

B) Only describe what the person looks like.

C) Engage the reader's senses and bring the description to life.

D) Make the paragraph longer.

Answer: C) Engage the reader's senses and bring the description to life.

Question 13: Character traits and personality in a descriptive paragraph:

A) Should be avoided to keep the description objective.

B) Are only important if the person is famous.

C) Help to provide a deeper understanding of the person being described.

D) Should be mentioned without examples or explanations.

Answer: C) Help to provide a deeper understanding of the person being described.

Question 14: The use of figurative language in describing a person is important because:

A) It makes the description more factual.

B) It can make the description more engaging and memorable.

C) It should be used in every sentence for consistency.

D) It reduces the need for details.

Answer: B) It can make the description more engaging and memorable.

Question 15: When organizing a paragraph that describes a person, it's important to:

A) Jump randomly from one idea to another.

B) Focus on unrelated details.

C) Structure and organize details logically.

D) Start with the least important detail.

Answer: C) Structure and organize details logically.

Question 16: Consistency in perspective and tense contributes to:

A) A confusing narrative.

B) A disjointed description.

C) A clear and coherent portrayal of the person.

D) Reducing the word count.

Answer: C) A clear and coherent portrayal of the person.

Question 17: "Showing vs. telling" in a descriptive paragraph means:

A) Telling the reader about the person's traits without showing examples.

B) Showing the reader through specific details and examples rather than just stating facts.

C) Avoiding detailed descriptions to maintain mystery.

D) Using direct quotes only.

Answer: B) Showing the reader through specific details and examples rather than just stating facts.

Question 18: The relevance of details in a descriptive paragraph about a person is important because:

A) It ensures all details are equally emphasized.

B) It helps to focus on the most significant aspects of the person's appearance and character.

C) It encourages the inclusion of unnecessary information.

D) It mandates the description of every aspect of the person’s life.

Answer: B) It helps to focus on the most significant aspects of the person's appearance and character.

Question 19: Figurative language can be used effectively in a descriptive paragraph to:

A) Simplify complex concepts.

B) Provide exact measurements.

C) Enhance the vividness of the person's description.

D) Replace all literal descriptions.

Answer: C) Enhance the vividness of the person's description.

Question 20: The main goal of describing a person in a paragraph is to:

A) List all of their accomplishments.

B) Present a balanced argument about their character.

C) Create a detailed and vivid image of the person for the reader.

D) Persuade the reader to adopt a certain viewpoint about the person.

Answer: C) Create a detailed and vivid image of the person for the reader.

Question 21: What is the primary purpose of using sequential words and phrases in a process paragraph?

A) To confuse the reader

B) To create suspense

C) To illustrate the order of steps clearly

D) To increase word count

Answer: C) To illustrate the order of steps clearly

Question 22: Why is clarity and precision important when writing a process paragraph?

A) To ensure the reader can skip steps

B) To make the process difficult to follow

C) To guarantee the reader understands each step

D) To use more technical jargon

Answer: C) To guarantee the reader understands each step

Question 23: When is the imperative mood commonly used in a process paragraph?

A) To ask questions

B) To give commands or directions

C) To offer suggestions

D) To express uncertainty

Answer: B) To give commands or directions

Question 24: How can visual aids enhance a process paragraph?

A) By replacing all text

B) By adding unnecessary complexity

C) By providing a clear example or illustration of a step

D) By making the paragraph longer

Answer: C) By providing a clear example or illustration of a step

Question 25: The passive voice may be used in a process paragraph to:

A) Focus on the person doing the action

B) Emphasize the action being performed rather than the doer

C) Make sentences more complicated

D) Decrease the paragraph's clarity

Answer: B) Emphasize the action being performed rather than the doer

Question 26: Why is it important to include safety notes and warnings in a process paragraph?

A) To lengthen the paragraph

B) To confuse the reader

C) To protect the reader from potential dangers

D) To use more imperative sentences

Answer: C) To protect the reader from potential dangers

Question 27: The use of terminology and definitions in a process paragraph is crucial because:

A) It assumes the reader has prior knowledge

B) It helps to ensure the reader understands specific or technical terms used

C) It makes the writing more abstract

D) It avoids the need for explanations

Answer: B) It helps to ensure the reader understands specific or technical terms used

Question 28: Conciseness and relevance in a process paragraph are important to:

A) Make the steps as complicated as possible

B) Ensure steps are directly to the point and necessary for the process

C) Increase the likelihood of errors

D) Distract the reader with unrelated information

Answer: B) Ensure steps are directly to the point and necessary for the process

Question 29: When writing a process paragraph, temporal clarity is achieved by:

A) Vaguely describing when steps occur

B) Clearly indicating the time sequence of steps

C) Omitting time indicators for flexibility

D) Mixing up the order of steps

Answer: B) Clearly indicating the time sequence of steps

Question 30: The revision for conciseness and relevance in a process paragraph aims to:

A) Add more complex and lengthy explanations

B) Remove necessary steps to shorten the paragraph

C) Eliminate redundant words and ensure every detail is essential

D) Introduce new concepts not directly related to the process

Answer: C) Eliminate redundant words and ensure every detail is essential

Question 31: What purpose does narrative tense serve in a narrative paragraph?

A) Defines the paragraph's main idea

B) Determines the story's time frame

C) Lists characters involved in the story

D) Provides a summary of the plot

Answer: B) Determines the story's time frame

Question 32: Why is character development important in narrative writing?

A) It outlines the setting of the story

B) It explains the plot in detail

C) It engages the reader with the story

D) It describes the story's climax

Answer: C) It engages the reader with the story

Question 33: What role does setting description play in a narrative paragraph?

A) Introduces the story's conflict

B) Provides background for the action

C) Concludes the narrative effectively

D) Highlights the main argument

Answer: B) Provides background for the action

Question 34: How does dialogue contribute to a narrative paragraph?

A) By offering insights into characters

B) Through detailing the story's climax

C) By describing the story's setting

D) Through explaining the narrative's plot

Answer: A) By offering insights into characters

Question 35: What is essential for effective plot structure in a narrative?

A) A detailed description of the setting

B) A chronological order of events

C) An unrelated series of anecdotes

D) A list of characters' physical features

Answer: B) A chronological order of events

Question 36: Why is point of view important in narrative paragraphs?

A) It outlines the plot's resolution

B) It defines the story's setting

C) It shapes the reader's understanding

D) It lists the narrative's main events

Answer: C) It shapes the reader's understanding

Question 37: How do literary devices enhance a narrative paragraph?

A) By summarizing the story's events

B) Through adding depth to the writing

C) By providing a bibliography

D) Through listing the characters involved

Answer: B) Through adding depth to the writing

Question 38: What is the impact of pacing and rhythm in a narrative?

A) It introduces the narrative's conflict

B) It dictates the story's time frame

C) It controls the flow of the storyline

D) It outlines the resolution of the plot

Answer: C) It controls the flow of the storyline

Question 39: The technique "show, don’t tell" is used to:

A) List the events in chronological order

B) Explain the characters' thoughts directly

C) Engage readers through vivid imagery

D) Summarize the narrative's plot

Answer: C) Engage readers through vivid imagery

Question 40: Why is revision for clarity and impact important in narrative writing?

A) It details the setting description

B) It lists all the characters involved

C) It ensures the story resonates with readers

D) It provides a chronological event order

Answer: C) It ensures the story resonates with readers

Question 41: What is the primary focus of a cause and effect paragraph?

A) To narrate a story from start to finish

B) To describe a person, place, or thing in detail

C) To analyze the reasons and outcomes of an event

D) To argue in favor of a particular point of view

Answer: C) To analyze the reasons and outcomes of an event

Question 42: Which of the following best describes the use of signal words and phrases in a cause and effect paragraph?

A) They add complexity to the narrative structure

B) They illustrate comparisons and contrasts clearly

C) They clarify the connections between causes and effects

D) They offer detailed descriptions of characters or settings

Answer: C) They clarify the connections between causes and effects

Question 43: Why is clarity and precision important in a cause and effect paragraph?

A) To ensure the narrative flows in a chronological order

B) To make the descriptions of settings more vivid and detailed

C) To accurately convey the relationship between causes and effects

D) To argue convincingly in support of a particular standpoint

Answer: C) To accurately convey the relationship between causes and effects

Question 44: How do complex sentences with clauses enhance a cause and effect paragraph?

A) By adding rhythm and pacing to the narrative

B) By clearly separating descriptions of people or places

C) By illustrating the intricate relationships between ideas

D) By providing a balanced view of contrasting arguments

Answer: C) By illustrating the intricate relationships between ideas

Question 45: The inclusion of evidence and examples in a cause and effect paragraph serves to:

A) Introduce the topic to the reader in a general way

B) Add unnecessary complexity to the paragraph's structure

C) Support the claims made about the causes and effects

D) Distract the reader with interesting but irrelevant information

Answer: C) Support the claims made about the causes and effects

Question 46: Avoiding logical fallacies in a cause and effect paragraph is crucial because:

A) They enhance the emotional appeal of the narrative

B) They ensure the argument is logical and credible

C) They make the descriptions more colorful and vivid

D) They introduce a variety of perspectives on the topic

Answer: B) They ensure the argument is logical and credible

Question 47: Cohesion and coherence in a cause and effect paragraph help to:

A) Present the narrative in a non-linear fashion

B) Describe settings and characters in depth

C) Maintain a logical flow of ideas and connections

D) Argue persuasively for or against a particular viewpoint

Answer: C) Maintain a logical flow of ideas and connections

Question 48: The tone and formality in a cause and effect paragraph should be:

A) Casual and conversational, as in a personal letter

B) Objective and formal, suitable for academic writing

C) Subjective and biased, to persuade the reader

D) Humorous and light-hearted, to entertain the reader

Answer: B) Objective and formal, suitable for academic writing

Question 49: Why is revision for impact important in a cause and effect paragraph?

A) To add more complex language and technical terms

B) To ensure the strongest possible presentation of ideas

C) To lengthen the paragraph with more detailed descriptions

D) To shift the focus away from causes and effects to background information

Answer: B) To ensure the strongest possible presentation of ideas

Question 50: The structure and organization of a cause and effect paragraph are designed to:

A) Narrate events in the order they happened

B) Describe a scenario with as much detail as possible

C) Clearly delineate causes from their subsequent effects

D) Present arguments and counterarguments equally

Answer: C) Clearly delineate causes from their subsequent effects

Question 51: Why is clarity and precision important in an expository paragraph?

A) To ensure readers are entertained by the narrative

B) To make the paragraph as long and detailed as possible

C) To accurately convey information and facilitate understanding

D) To introduce ambiguity and encourage various interpretations

Answer: C) To accurately convey information and facilitate understanding

Question 52: What is the role of definitions and explanations in an expository paragraph?

A) To fill space and increase word count in the paragraph

B) To confuse readers with complex and unnecessary jargon

C) To clarify key concepts and ensure readers comprehend the topic

D) To avoid addressing the main topic directly and keep readers guessing

Answer: C) To clarify key concepts and ensure readers comprehend the topic

Question 53: How does structural coherence enhance an expository paragraph?

A) By randomly organizing facts and figures to maintain interest

B) By meticulously avoiding any clear or logical structure in the text

C) By providing a logical flow that builds understanding of the topic

D) By using a disorganized structure to challenge the reader's perception

Answer: C) By providing a logical flow that builds understanding of the topic

Question 54: What is the significance of an objective tone in expository writing?

A) To express the writer's personal opinions and biases clearly

B) To entertain the reader with humorous and subjective commentary

C) To present information in a fair, unbiased, and informative manner

D) To persuade the reader to adopt the writer's personal viewpoint

Answer: C) To present information in a fair, unbiased, and informative manner

Question 55: Why are transitional words and phrases used in an expository paragraph?

A) To distract the reader with irrelevant asides and tangents

B) To connect ideas smoothly and guide the reader through the text

C) To create a sense of confusion and disorganization in the narrative

D) To showcase the writer's extensive vocabulary and linguistic skills

Answer: B) To connect ideas smoothly and guide the reader through the text

Question 56: The use of evidence and examples in an expository paragraph is to:

A) Provide entertainment value through anecdotes and storytelling

B) Support and illustrate the main points with concrete details

C) Overwhelm the reader with unnecessary and unrelated information

D) Demonstrate the writer's ability to research unrelated topics

Answer: B) Support and illustrate the main points with concrete details

Question 57: How do complex sentences and subordination contribute to expository writing?

A) By simplifying concepts to a level that undermines their complexity

B) By adding depth to the explanation and showing relationships between ideas

C) By making the text less accessible and harder for the reader to understand

D) By reducing the overall quality and coherence of the written work

Answer: B) By adding depth to the explanation and showing relationships between ideas

Question 58: The variation in sentence structure in an expository paragraph helps to:

A) Confuse the reader with inconsistent pacing and rhythm

B) Keep the reader engaged and enhance readability

C) Disrupt the flow of information and introduce ambiguity

D) Limit the amount of information that can be effectively conveyed

Answer: B) Keep the reader engaged and enhance readability

Question 59: What is the purpose of using visual aids in an expository paragraph?

A) To replace written content with pictures and diagrams entirely

B) To complement the text and clarify complex information

C) To decorate the page and make it visually appealing only

D) To distract the reader from the main points being made

Answer: B) To complement the text and clarify complex information

Question 60: Why is revision for clarity and conciseness a critical step in writing an expository paragraph?

A) To ensure the paragraph is filled with complex and technical jargon

B) To remove clear and straightforward explanations from the text

C) To refine the text for better understanding and remove excess words

D) To lengthen the paragraph with additional unnecessary details

Answer: C) To refine the text for better understanding and remove excess words

Question 61: What is the primary purpose of writing a comparison and contrast paragraph?

A) To persuade the reader to choose one option over another

B) To entertain the reader with humorous anecdotes

C) To inform the reader about the similarities and differences

D) To narrate a story that spans several generations

Answer: C) To inform the reader about the similarities and differences

Question 62: How should the structure and organization of a comparison and contrast paragraph be approached?

A) By focusing solely on the differences to argue a point

B) By mixing comparison and contrast points randomly

C) By clearly separating or integrating comparison and contrast points

D) By omitting any transitional words for a challenge

Answer: C) By clearly separating or integrating comparison and contrast points

Question 63: The use of comparative and contrastive language in such paragraphs serves to:

A) Confuse the reader with complex terminology

B) Highlight the similarities and differences being discussed

C) Make the paragraph longer without adding value

D) Focus solely on unrelated aspects of the subjects

Answer: B) Highlight the similarities and differences being discussed

Question 64: Why is a thesis statement important in a comparison and contrast paragraph?

A) It offers a detailed narrative of each subject

B) It states the writer’s conclusion without supporting evidence

C) It guides the reader's understanding of the paragraph's focus

D) It provides a humorous introduction to the topic

Answer: C) It guides the reader's understanding of the paragraph's focus

Question 65: The role of transitional words and phrases in this type of paragraph is to:

A) Introduce as many new topics as possible

B) Smoothly connect the discussed similarities and differences

C) Break the flow of reading for dramatic effect

D) Increase the paragraph's complexity unnecessarily

Answer: B) Smoothly connect the discussed similarities and differences

Question 66: What does a balanced discussion in a comparison and contrast paragraph ensure?

A) That only one side of the argument is clearly favored

B) That both similarities and differences are fairly presented

C) That the paragraph focuses on unrelated details

D) That the conclusion is ambiguous and confusing

Answer: B) That both similarities and differences are fairly presented

Question 67: How does specificity and detail contribute to the effectiveness of a comparison and contrast paragraph?

A) By providing vague statements that keep the reader guessing

B) By ensuring readers have clear and concrete examples

C) By using generalized statements applicable to many topics

D) By avoiding any concrete examples that might clarify the discussion

Answer: B) By ensuring readers have clear and concrete examples

Question 68: The use of visual aids in a comparison and contrast paragraph can:

A) Distract the reader from the main points being made

B) Help illustrate points more clearly and vividly

C) Replace the need for any textual explanation

D) Complicate the understanding of basic concepts

Answer: B) Help illustrate points more clearly and vividly

Question 69: Cohesion and coherence in a comparison and contrast paragraph are achieved through:

A) The omission of key points for brevity

B) A logical flow that connects all ideas smoothly

C) Jumping between topics without clear transitions

D) Focusing solely on personal opinions without evidence

Answer: B) A logical flow that connects all ideas smoothly

Question 70: The purpose of revision for impact in a comparison and contrast paragraph is to:

A) Remove all specific details that support the main points

B) Ensure the strongest presentation of the comparison and contrast

C) Add as many complex words and phrases as possible

D) Shift focus away from the comparison and contrast

Answer: B) Ensure the strongest presentation of the comparison and contrast

Question 71: The clarity of position in an argumentative paragraph is important because it:

A) Ensures readers remain unaware of the writer's stance

B) Allows for a neutral presentation of facts without bias

C) Helps readers understand the writer's viewpoint from the start

D) Encourages readers to form their own opinions without influence

Answer: C) Helps readers understand the writer's viewpoint from the start

Question 72: Effective use of persuasive language in an argumentative paragraph is designed to:

A) Confuse the reader with complex terminology and concepts

B) Present a balanced view that does not favor any particular side

C) Influence the reader's thoughts and opinions in favor of the argument

D) Provide a detailed narrative of unrelated events and stories

Answer: C) Influence the reader's thoughts and opinions in favor of the argument

Question 73: A logical structure in an argumentative paragraph ensures that:

A) The sequence of arguments is random and unpredictable

B) Each point logically leads to the next, building a coherent argument

C) Facts and evidence are presented without any clear connection

D) Counterarguments are ignored to strengthen the argument's position

Answer: B) Each point logically leads to the next, building a coherent argument

Question 74: Acknowledging counterarguments in an argumentative paragraph:

A) Weakens the overall argument by showing its flaws

B) Demonstrates understanding and refutes opposing viewpoints

C) Is unnecessary and should be avoided to maintain focus

D) Confuses readers about the writer's true stance on the issue

Answer: B) Demonstrates understanding and refutes opposing viewpoints

Question 75: The use of transitional phrases in an argumentative paragraph:

A) Creates a disjointed and confusing structure

B) Enhances the flow between sentences and ideas

C) Is recommended to be used sparingly or not at all

D) Serves only to increase the word count without adding value

Answer: B) Enhances the flow between sentences and ideas

Question 76: Variety in sentence structure within an argumentative paragraph:

A) Makes the argument more difficult to follow and understand

B) Is discouraged to maintain a formal and academic tone

C) Helps maintain reader interest and emphasizes key points

D) Should be avoided to ensure simplicity and clarity

Answer: C) Helps maintain reader interest and emphasizes key points

Question 77: The tone and formality in an argumentative paragraph should be:

A) Informal and conversational to relate to the reader

B) Inconsistent to keep the reader guessing the argument's direction

C) Appropriately formal and respectful, suitable for the audience

D) Filled with humor to lighten the mood of serious topics

Answer: C) Appropriately formal and respectful, suitable for the audience

Question 78: Including evidence and support in an argumentative paragraph is crucial to:

A) Merely fill space and meet word count requirements

B) Distract the reader with interesting but irrelevant facts

C) Substantiate claims and strengthen the argument's credibility

D) Overwhelm the reader with information, regardless of relevance

Answer: C) Substantiate claims and strengthen the argument's credibility

Question 79: A concluding statement in an argumentative paragraph should:

A) Introduce new arguments and evidence not previously discussed

B) Leave the reader with a strong impression of the argument's significance

C) Pose questions that the paragraph has not answered

D) Shift focus to unrelated topics for further discussion

Answer: B) Leave the reader with a strong impression of the argument's significance

Question 80: Revision for precision and strength in an argumentative paragraph aims to:

A) Ensure the paragraph is as long and detailed as possible

B) Incorporate as many complex words and phrases as can be found

C) Remove any clear stance or viewpoint to remain neutral

D) Refine the argument, making it more compelling and clear

Answer: D) Refine the argument, making it more compelling and clear

Tool 2: Lessons (Plan)

Academic English Online Adaptive Lessons

Welcome to our innovative online adaptive course designed to enhance your writing skills across various styles and formats. This dynamic course is structured into eight comprehensive modules, each focusing on a different aspect of writing, from mastering the basics of language points, describing a person, writing a process paragraph, crafting a narrative paragraph, understanding cause and effect, to developing an expository paragraph. Whether you are a novice writer looking to build a strong foundation or an experienced scribe aiming to refine your skills, this course offers personalized learning paths that adjust to your individual pace and level of understanding. Engage in interactive lessons, practice quizzes, and receive instant feedback to improve your writing proficiency in a supportive and stimulating online environment.

Learning Objectives

Upon completing this course, you will be able to:

1. *Master Language Points for Writing*: Understand the importance of word choice, sentence structure, and paragraph coherence to convey your message effectively.
2. *Describe a Person Vividly*: Utilize descriptive words, sensory details, and figurative language to bring characters to life in your writing.
3. *Write Clear Process Paragraphs*: Implement sequential words, clarity, and precision in describing processes, making instructions easy to follow.
4. *Craft Compelling Narrative Paragraphs*: Develop characters, setting, and plot within narrative paragraphs, using appropriate tenses and literary devices for impact.

5. *Analyze Cause and Effect*: Distinguish between causes and effects, utilizing signal words and structured paragraphs to present arguments logically.
6. *Construct Informative Expository Paragraphs*: Present information clearly and concisely, supporting your explanations with evidence and examples.

Instructions for Doing Course Tasks

- *Engage with Each Module*: Begin with Module 1 and progress through to Module 8. Each module builds on the skills learned in the previous one, ensuring a comprehensive learning experience.
- *Complete All Activities*: For each topic within the modules, read the instructional content, then complete the accompanying activities and quizzes. These are designed to reinforce your learning and provide practice.
- *Participate in Discussions*: Use the forum to discuss lessons with peers and instructors. Sharing insights and challenges helps deepen understanding.
- *Utilize Feedback*: After completing quizzes and practice exercises, review your answers against the provided feedback. This is crucial for identifying areas for improvement.
- *Revise and Repeat*: Based on feedback and quiz results, revisit topics as necessary to strengthen your understanding and skills.
- *Stay Consistent*: Regular participation and practice are key to improving your writing skills. Set aside dedicated time for the course activities each week.

By following these instructions and engaging fully with the course content and tasks, you will be well on your way to achieving your writing goals.

Module 1 Lesson Plan:

Language Points for Writing

This module focuses on enhancing students' writing skills through an understanding of word choice, phrases, sentence and paragraph structure, and more. The lesson plan is designed to guide students through the intricate process of writing, from selecting precise words to crafting well-structured paragraphs.

Objectives:

1. Understand the importance of selecting precise and appropriate words to convey meaning.
2. Learn to expand vocabulary with descriptive words, action verbs, and specific nouns.
3. Incorporate different types of phrases to add detail and complexity to sentences.
4. Craft clear, concise, and grammatically correct sentences.
5. Vary sentence structure to enhance readability and interest.
6. Ensure sentence clarity and contribute to the overall message of the paragraph.
7. Master paragraph structure including topic sentence, supporting sentences, and concluding sentence.
8. Develop unity and coherence in paragraphs.
9. Distinguish between active and passive voice and their appropriate uses.
10. Eliminate unnecessary words and redundancy for clearer, concise writing.
11. Adjust tone and formality to suit the context and audience.
12. Revise writing for precision and clarity.

Learning Topics:

Module 1: Language Points for Writing: Words, Phrases, and Sentences, Paragraphs

1.1 Choice of Words:

1.1.1 Understanding the importance of selecting precise and appropriate words to convey meaning.

1.1.2 Expanding vocabulary to include a range of descriptive words, action verbs, and specific nouns.

1.2 Use of Phrases:

1.2.1 Incorporating phrases (prepositional, gerund, infinitive, participial) effectively to add detail and complexity to sentences.

1.2.2 Understanding how to place phrases correctly to avoid confusion and misplaced or dangling modifiers.

1.3. Sentence Structure:

1.3.1 Crafting sentences that are clear, concise, and grammatically correct.

1.3.2 Varying sentence length and structure (simple, compound, complex, compound-complex) to enhance readability and interest.

1.4 Sentence Clarity and Cohesion:

1.4.1 Ensuring each sentence is clear and contributes to the overall message of the paragraph.

1.4.2 Using transitional words and phrases to create cohesion and guide the reader through your ideas.

1.5 Paragraph Structure:

1.5.1 Understanding the components of a paragraph: topic sentence, supporting sentences, and concluding sentence.

1.5.2 Ensuring the paragraph is coherent, with each sentence logically following the previous one.

1.6 Unity and Coherence in Paragraphs:

1.6.1 Ensuring all sentences in the paragraph support the main idea stated in the topic sentence (unity).

1.6.2 Making sure the paragraph flows smoothly, with each sentence clearly linked to the others (coherence).

1.7 Use of Active and Passive Voice:

1.7.1 Understanding when to use active voice for clarity and directness.

1.7.2 Recognizing when passive voice is appropriate to emphasize the action rather than the subject.

1.8 Conciseness and Redundancy:

1.8.1 Eliminating unnecessary words and redundancy to make writing more clear and concise.

1.8.2 Understanding how to convey ideas effectively without overcomplicating the sentence.

1.9 Tone and Formality:

1.9.1 Adjusting the tone and formality of your language to suit the context and audience.

1.9.2 Recognizing the difference between formal and informal language, and when each is appropriate.

1.10 Revision for Precision and Clarity:

1.10.1 Reviewing and editing writing for word choice, grammar, and punctuation.

1.10.2 Ensuring that each word, phrase, sentence, and paragraph contributes to the overall purpose of the piece.

This plan is designed to be adaptable, allowing for either self-paced learning or guided instruction. By the end of this module, students should have a solid foundation in writing fundamentals, ready to apply these skills in various writing tasks.

Module 2 Lesson Plan:

Writing a Paragraph Describing a Person

This module aims to equip students with the skills needed to craft descriptive paragraphs, highlighting the importance of using vivid language and sensory details to bring characters to life. The lesson plan will guide students through the process of writing descriptive paragraphs, from choosing appropriate descriptive words to incorporating figurative language for a more engaging narrative.

Objectives:

1. Use vivid adjectives and adverbs to create a lively description of a person.
2. Select specific, concrete words for physical description.
3. Incorporate the five senses to paint a full picture of the person described.
4. Describe a person's behavior, habits, mannerisms, attitudes, values, and motivations.
5. Use figurative language such as similes, metaphors, and personification to add depth and interest.
6. Organize descriptive paragraphs in a logical order.
7. Maintain consistency in perspective and tense.
8. Demonstrate characteristics through actions, thoughts, and dialogue.
9. Choose details that are relevant to the purpose of the description.

Learning Topics:

Module 2: Language Points for Writing a Paragraph Describing a Person

2.1 Choice of Descriptive Words and Phrases:

2.1.1 Using vivid adjectives and adverbs to bring the person to life.

2.1.2 Selecting specific, concrete words for physical description.

2.2 Sensory Details:

2.2.1 Incorporating the five senses (sight, sound, smell, taste, touch) to create a full picture of the person.

2.3 Character Traits and Personality:

2.3.1 Describing the person's behavior, habits, and mannerisms.

2.3.2 Exploring the person's attitudes, values, and motivations.

2.4 Figurative Language:

2.4.1 Using similes, metaphors, and personification to add depth and interest.

2.5 Structure and Organization:

2.5.1 Organizing the paragraph in a logical order (e.g., from head to toe, inside to outside, etc.).

2.5.2 Ensuring the paragraph has a clear introduction, body, and conclusion.

2.6 Consistency in Perspective and Tense:

2.6.1 Maintaining a consistent point of view (first-person, second-person, third-person).

2.6.2 Keeping verb tenses consistent throughout the description.

2.7 Showing vs. Telling:

2.7.1 Demonstrating characteristics through actions, thoughts, and dialogue rather than simply stating facts.

2.8 Relevance of Details:

2.8.1 Choosing details that are relevant to the purpose of the description.

2.8.2 Avoiding unnecessary information that does not contribute to the overall impression.

By the end of Module 2, students should be proficient in describing individuals in writing, using a variety of techniques to create vivid, engaging descriptions that capture the essence of the person being described.

Module 3 Lesson Plan:

Writing a Process Paragraph

The lesson plan is designed to guide students through the specifics of writing clear and effective process paragraphs. This involves teaching students how to sequence information logically, use appropriate transitional phrases, and maintain clarity and precision throughout their writing. The objective is to enable students to explain processes or instructions in a manner that is easy to follow and understand.

Objectives:

1. Master the use of sequential words and phrases to indicate the order of steps.
2. Achieve clarity and precision in describing each step of a process.
3. Apply the imperative mood effectively in giving instructions.
4. Understand when to employ the passive voice for emphasis on the action rather than the doer.
5. Ensure temporal clarity to avoid confusion about the sequence of actions.
6. Include diagrams, charts, or images when they aid in clarifying the process.
7. Provide examples or scenarios to illustrate complex steps.
8. Highlight any potential safety issues or warnings associated with certain steps.
9. Define any technical terms or jargon used in the description.
10. Maintain conciseness and relevance throughout the explanation.
11. Avoid logical fallacies and ensure logical validity in cause-and-effect relationships within the process.

Learning Topics:

Module 3: Language points for writing a process paragraph:

3.1 Use of Sequential Words and Phrases:

3.1.1 Implementing transitional words to indicate order (first, second, next, then, finally).

3.1.2 Utilizing words that signal the progression of steps (initially, subsequently, eventually).

3.2 Clarity and Precision:

3.2.1 Being precise and clear in describing each step of the process.

3.2.2 Avoiding ambiguity and ensuring that each instruction is understandable.

3.3 Imperative Mood:

3.3.1 Using the imperative mood for giving instructions (e.g., "Turn on the machine," "Mix the ingredients").

3.4 Passive Voice:

3.4.1 Employing the passive voice where necessary to emphasize the action rather than the doer (e.g., "The button is pressed").

3.5 Temporal Clarity:

3.5.1 Ensuring that the timing of each step is clear and logical.

3.5.2 Avoiding confusion about the sequence of actions.

3.6 Visual Aids and Examples:

3.6.1 Including diagrams, charts, or images if they help clarify the process.

3.6.2 Providing examples or scenarios to illustrate complex steps.

3.7 Safety Notes and Warnings:

3.7.1 Highlighting any potential safety issues or warnings associated with certain steps.

3.7.2 Advising on precautions and safety gear if applicable.

3.8 Terminology and Definitions:

3.8.1 Defining any technical terms or jargon used in describing the process.

3.8.2 Ensuring that the terminology is consistent and appropriate for the target audience.

3.9 Conciseness and Relevance:

3.9.1 Keeping explanations concise and to the point.

3.9.2 Ensuring that each step is necessary and relevant to the overall process.

By the end of Module 3, students should be able to write clear, coherent, and detailed process paragraphs that effectively guide readers through a series of actions or steps.

Module 4 Lesson Plan:

Writing a Narrative Paragraph

For Module 4, the lesson plan is designed for an adaptive online learning environment. This module aims to equip students with the skills necessary to craft engaging and coherent narrative paragraphs. It focuses on narrative techniques, including tense usage, character development, setting description, and more, to enable students to tell compelling stories.

Objectives:

1. Utilize narrative tense appropriately to convey the timing of events.
2. Develop characters with depth and complexity.
3. Create vivid settings using sensory details.
4. Employ dialogue effectively to reveal character and advance the plot.
5. Understand plot structure and incorporate key elements into writing.
6. Decide on and maintain a consistent point of view.
7. Enhance narratives with literary devices like foreshadowing, irony, and symbolism.
8. Control pacing and rhythm to engage readers.
9. Apply "show, don't tell" techniques for more immersive storytelling.
10. Revise narratives for clarity, coherence, and impact.

Learning Topics:

Module 4: Language Points for Writing a Narrative Paragraph:

4.1 Narrative Tense:

4.1.1 Utilizing the past tense to narrate events that have already occurred.

4.1.2 Understanding when to use the present tense to add immediacy or for effect.

4.2 Character Development:

4.2.1 Describing characters in a way that reveals their personality, motivations, and changes over time.

4.2.2 Using direct and indirect characterization techniques.

4.3 Setting Description:

4.3.1 Creating a vivid setting that supports the story, using sensory details to immerse the reader.

4.3.2 Understanding how the setting influences the plot and characters.

4.4 Dialogue:

4.4.1 Using dialogue to reveal character, advance the plot, and add realism.

4.4.2 Punctuating and formatting dialogue correctly.

4.5 Plot Structure:

4.5.1 Understanding the elements of plot: exposition, rising action, climax, falling action, and resolution.

4.5.2 Ensuring the narrative has a clear conflict and resolution.

4.6 Point of View:

4.6.1 Deciding on the narrative perspective (first person, third person limited, third person omniscient) and maintaining consistency.

4.6.2 Understanding how point of view affects the information presented to the reader and the story's tone.

4.7 Use of Literary Devices:

4.7.1 Incorporating literary devices like foreshadowing, flashbacks, and irony to enhance the story.

4.7.2 Using similes, metaphors, and symbolism to add depth to the narrative.

4.8 Pacing and Rhythm:

4.8.1 Controlling the pace of the story to build tension or speed up action.

4.8.2 Varying sentence structure to influence the rhythm and flow of the narrative.

4.9 Show, Don’t Tell:

4.9.1 Showing action and emotions through descriptive details and action rather than exposition.

4.9.2 Using sensory details and strong verbs to show what's happening.

4.10 Revision for Clarity and Impact:

4.10.1 Reviewing and revising the narrative to ensure clarity, coherence, and impact.

4.10.2 Refining word choice, sentence structure, and details to improve the story's effectiveness.

By the end of Module 4, students should have a solid foundation in narrative writing, capable of crafting engaging and well-structured narrative paragraphs. This adaptive online lesson plan is designed to cater to diverse learning styles, allowing for a personalized and immersive learning experience.

Module 5 Lesson Plan:

Writing a Cause-and-Effect Paragraph

For Module 5, the adaptive online lesson plan aims to guide students through understanding and applying cause-and-effect logic in their writing. This module focuses on distinguishing between causes and effects, using signal words effectively, and organizing information coherently to craft clear and impactful paragraphs.

Objectives:

1. Differentiate between cause and effect in various contexts.
2. Utilize signal words and phrases to clearly indicate cause-and-effect relationships.
3. Structure cause-and-effect paragraphs logically, whether focusing on causes, effects, or a chain of events.
4. Achieve clarity and precision in explaining causes and effects.
5. Use complex sentences effectively to show the relationship between causes and effects.
6. Support cause-and-effect statements with relevant evidence and examples.
7. Avoid logical fallacies in cause-and-effect reasoning.
8. Maintain cohesion and coherence throughout the paragraph.
9. Adapt tone and formality according to the audience and purpose.
10. Revise paragraphs for impact, ensuring the cause-and-effect logic is clear and persuasive.

Learning Topics:

Module 5: Language Points for Writing a Cause and Effect Paragraph:

5.1 Understanding Cause and Effect:

5.1.1 Differentiating between cause (why something happens) and effect (what happens as a result).

5.1.2 Recognizing that one cause can have multiple effects and vice versa.

5.2 Use of Signal Words and Phrases:

5.2.1 Incorporating words that indicate cause (because, since, due to) and effect (therefore, consequently, thus).

5.2.2 Understanding how to use these words to clearly show the relationship between causes and effects.

5.3 Structure and Organization:

5.3.1 Organizing the paragraph in a logical manner, whether it's cause to effect, effect to cause, or a chain structure.

5.3.2 Ensuring the paragraph has a clear introduction, body, and conclusion.

5.4 Clarity and Precision:

5.4.1 Being precise in stating causes and effects to avoid ambiguity.

5.4.2 Ensuring that each cause-and-effect relationship is clear and direct.

5.5 Complex Sentences with Clauses:

5.5.1 Using complex sentences effectively to show the relationship between causes and effects.

5.5.2 Correctly punctuating sentences that contain cause-and-effect clauses.

5.6 Evidence and Examples:

5.6.1 Supporting each cause-and-effect relationship with appropriate evidence or examples.

5.6.2 Ensuring that the evidence is relevant, sufficient, and convincing.

5.7 Avoiding Logical Fallacies:

5.7.1 Being aware of and avoiding logical fallacies, such as post hoc ergo propter hoc (correlation does not imply causation) and oversimplification.

5.7.2 Ensuring that the cause-and-effect relationships are logically valid.

5.8 Cohesion and Coherence:

5.8.1 Using cohesive devices to link causes and effects smoothly.

5.8.2 Ensuring that the paragraph flows logically and that each sentence supports the overall point.

5.9 Tone and Formality (2):

5.9.1 Maintaining an appropriate tone and level of formality, depending on the audience and purpose.

5.9.2 Avoiding overly emotional language or bias when presenting causes and effects.

5.10 Revision for Impact:

5.10.1 Reviewing and revising the paragraph to enhance clarity, impact, and persuasiveness.

5.10.2 Refining word choice, sentence structure, and organization to improve the overall effectiveness of the paragraph.

By the end of Module 5, students should be adept at writing cause-and-effect paragraphs that are clear, logical, and impactful, prepared to apply these skills across various writing contexts and disciplines.

Module 6 Lesson Plan:

Writing an Expository Paragraph

Module 6 in an adaptive online learning environment focuses on teaching students how to explain or inform in a clear, structured, and engaging manner. This module aims to develop students' abilities to present information coherently, supporting their statements with evidence, and maintaining reader interest throughout the expository writing process.

Objectives:

1. Understand the structure and purpose of expository writing.
2. Achieve clarity and precision in presenting information.
3. Organize expository paragraphs logically, with a clear introduction, body, and conclusion.
4. Use evidence and examples effectively to support statements.
5. Maintain a neutral tone and objective standpoint.
6. Apply transition words and phrases to ensure coherence and flow.
7. Revise and refine writing for clarity, conciseness, and engagement.
8. Adapt the level of formality and complexity based on the intended audience.
9. Incorporate visual aids (e.g., graphs, charts, diagrams) where appropriate to enhance understanding.
10. Avoid common pitfalls in expository writing, such as bias, oversimplification, and unnecessary complexity.

Learning Topics:

Module 6: Language Points for Writing an Expository Paragraph:

6.1 Clarity and Precision:

6.1.1 Ensuring that the information is presented clearly and precisely.

6.1.2 Avoiding ambiguous language and ensuring that each sentence conveys a clear point.

6.2 Use of Definitions and Explanations:

6.2.1 Effectively defining terms and concepts that are central to the topic.

6.2.2 Providing thorough explanations where necessary to enhance understanding.

6.3 Structural Coherence:

6.3.1 Organizing the paragraph in a logical manner, typically moving from a general overview to specific details.

6.3.2 Ensuring the paragraph has a clear introduction, body, and conclusion.

6.4 Objective Tone:

6.4.1 Maintaining an objective and neutral tone, avoiding personal opinions or bias.

6.4.2 Focusing on providing information and explanation rather than persuasion.

6.5 Transitional Words and Phrases:

6.5.1 Using transitional words and phrases to ensure the smooth flow of information.

6.5.2 Helping the reader understand the connections between different pieces of information.

6.6 Evidence and Examples:

6.6.1 Supporting points with appropriate evidence, examples, statistics, or quotes.

6.6.2 Ensuring that the supporting information is relevant and strengthens the explanation.

6.7 Complex Sentences and Subordination:

6.7.1 Using complex sentences to convey relationships between ideas effectively.

6.7.2 Utilizing subordination to emphasize main ideas and subordinate supporting information.

6.8 Variation in Sentence Structure:

6.8.1 Varying sentence structure to maintain reader interest and clarify relationships between ideas.

6.8.2 Balancing the use of simple, compound, and complex sentences for rhythm and readability.

6.9 Use of Visual Aids:

6.9.1 Incorporating charts, graphs, or diagrams if they help to clarify complex information.

6.9.2 Ensuring that any visual aids are directly relevant and clearly explained within the text.

6.10 Revision for Clarity and Conciseness:

6.10.1 Reviewing and revising the paragraph to eliminate redundancy and ensure clarity.

6.10.2 Refining word choice and sentence structure to enhance the precision and conciseness of the exposition.

By the end of Module 6, students should be capable of crafting well-structured, informative, and engaging expository paragraphs, ready to apply these skills in academic, professional, and personal contexts.

Module 7 Lesson Plan:

Language Points for Writing a Comparison and Contrast Paragraph

Module 7 delves into the art of comparison and contrast, a technique pivotal in exploring the similarities and differences between subjects. This module aims to equip you with the skills to analyze two or more items critically, shedding light on their unique characteristics and shared traits. Through a series of interactive lessons and practical writing exercises, you'll learn how to structure your paragraphs effectively, use comparative and contrastive language with precision, and employ visual aids for clearer understanding. By mastering comparison and contrast, you'll enhance your ability to make informed judgments and present complex ideas in a coherent and engaging manner.

Objectives:

1. Understand the structure and purpose of comparison and contrast writing.
2. Learn to use comparative and contrastive language effectively.
3. Master the organization of comparison and contrast paragraphs using the block method or point-by-point method.
4. Develop skills in using Venn diagrams and other tools for planning comparison and contrast paragraphs.
5. Practice writing comparison and contrast paragraphs that are clear, cohesive, and logically organized.
6. Revise and refine paragraphs for clarity, coherence, and engagement.
7. Adapt the level of formality and complexity based on the intended audience.
8. Incorporate examples and evidence to support comparisons and contrasts.
9. Avoid common pitfalls in comparison and contrast writing, such as false equivalence or neglecting significant differences/similarities.

Learning Topics:

Module 7: Language Points for Writing a Comparison and Contrast Paragraph:

7.1 Understanding Comparison and Contrast:

7.1.1 Recognizing the purpose of comparing and contrasting: to highlight similarities and differences.

7.1.2 Understanding how comparison and contrast can be used to clarify ideas, make arguments, or understand subjects in depth.

7.2 Structure and Organization:

7.2.1 Choosing an effective structure (block method or point-by-point method) and consistently following it.

7.2.2 Ensuring the paragraph has a clear introduction, body, and conclusion that align with the chosen structure.

7.3 Use of Comparative and Contrastive Language:

7.3.1 Using appropriate comparative language (similarly, likewise, also) and contrastive language (however, on the other hand, in contrast).

7.3.2 Ensuring that these words and phrases are used accurately to guide the reader through the comparisons and contrasts.

7.4 Thesis Statement:

7.4.1 Crafting a clear and concise thesis statement that outlines the main points of comparison and contrast.

7.4.2 Ensuring the thesis provides a roadmap for the paragraph.

7.5 Transitional Words and Phrases:

7.5.1 Utilizing transitional words and phrases to ensure the smooth flow of ideas and clarify relationships.

7.5.2 Helping the reader understand the shift from comparing to contrasting, or vice versa.

7.6 Balanced Discussion:

7.6.1 Ensuring a balanced discussion by giving approximately equal weight to both the similarities and differences.

7.6.2 Avoiding bias towards one side unless it is the intent of the paragraph.

7.7 Specificity and Detail:

7.7.1 Providing specific examples and details to illustrate each point of comparison or contrast.

7.7.2 Avoiding vague or general statements that do not add value to the comparison or contrast.

7.8 Use of Visual Aids:

7.8.1 Considering the use of visual aids like Venn diagrams or comparison tables if they help clarify complex comparisons or contrasts.

7.8.2 Ensuring that any visual aids are directly relevant and clearly explained within the text.

7.9 Cohesion and Coherence:

7.9.1 Ensuring that the paragraph flows logically and that each sentence supports the overall purpose.

7.9.2 Using cohesive devices to link comparisons and contrasts smoothly.

7.10 Revision for Impact:

7.10.1 Reviewing and revising the paragraph to enhance clarity, impact, and persuasiveness.

7.10.2 Refining word choice, sentence structure, and organization to improve the overall effectiveness of the comparison and contrast.

By the end of Module 7, students should be proficient in writing comparison and contrast paragraphs, capable of effectively organizing and articulating similarities and differences between subjects in a clear, coherent, and engaging manner.

Module 8 Lesson Plan:

Advanced Writing Skills - Persuasive Writing Techniques

Module 8 focuses on persuasive writing techniques, a cornerstone of effective communication that influences and motivates the audience to embrace a particular viewpoint or take action. In this module, you'll embark on a journey to understand the dynamics of constructing compelling arguments, employing rhetorical devices, and balancing emotional appeals with logical reasoning. Through evaluating persuasive texts, participating in revision workshops, and adapting your writing to different audiences, you'll refine your ability to persuade with integrity and impact. Whether for academic, professional, or personal purposes, the skills acquired in this module will empower you to craft persuasive messages that resonate and inspire.

Objectives:

1. Understand the principles and structure of persuasive writing.
2. Develop skills to craft a compelling thesis statement and support it with logical arguments and evidence.
3. Learn to use rhetorical devices effectively to persuade and engage the audience.
4. Master the use of emotional appeals, ethical arguments, and logical reasoning to construct a balanced persuasive argument.
5. Analyze and evaluate different forms of persuasive writing across various media.
6. Practice revising and refining persuasive texts to enhance clarity, persuasiveness, and impact.
7. Adapt persuasive writing techniques to different audiences and contexts.
8. Critically assess the use of sources and evidence to avoid fallacies and bias.

Learning Topics:

Module 8: Language Points for Writing an Argumentative Paragraph:

8.1 Clarity of Position:

8.1.1 Clearly stating your position or claim at the beginning of the paragraph.

8.1.2 Ensuring that the thesis statement is specific, debatable, and defendable.

8.2 Use of Persuasive Language:

8.2.1 Utilizing persuasive and assertive language to convey confidence in your position.

8.2.2 Avoiding weak qualifiers that might undermine the strength of your argument (e.g., might, could be).

8.3 Logical Structure:

8.3.1 Presenting arguments in a logical and coherent order, ensuring each point builds on the last.

8.3.2 Ensuring the paragraph has a clear introduction, body, and conclusion that align with your argument.

8.4 Evidence and Support:

8.4.1 Providing robust evidence to support each point, including facts, statistics, expert opinions, and real-life examples.

8.4.2 Ensuring that evidence is relevant, reliable, and effectively supports your argument.

8.5 Acknowledging Counterarguments:

8.5.1 Recognizing and addressing counterarguments or opposing views to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

8.5.2 Refuting counterarguments effectively and reinforcing why your position is more valid.

8.6 Use of Transitional Phrases:

8.6.1 Employing transitional phrases to guide the reader through your arguments and signify the introduction of counterarguments and rebuttals.

8.6.2 Ensuring transitions are used effectively to maintain the flow and clarity of your argument.

8.7 Variety in Sentence Structure:

8.7.1 Using a variety of sentence structures to keep the reader engaged and emphasize key points.

8.7.2 Balancing complex and compound sentences with simple ones for clarity and impact.

8.8 Tone and Formality:

8.8.1 Maintaining a formal, objective tone to establish credibility and respect for the reader.

8.8.2 Avoiding emotional language or slang that might detract from the seriousness of your argument.

8.9 Concluding Statements:

8.9.1 Providing a strong, compelling conclusion that reinforces your position and summarizes the main points.

8.9.2 Ensuring the conclusion leaves a lasting impression and effectively closes your argument.

8.10 Revision for Precision and Strength:

8.10.1 Reviewing and revising the paragraph to enhance precision, strength, and persuasiveness.

8.10.2 Refining word choice, sentence structure, and evidence to ensure the argument is presented in the most compelling manner.

By the end of Module 8, students should be adept at persuasive writing, equipped with the skills to influence and engage audiences effectively through well-crafted arguments and compelling rhetorical strategies.

Tool 3

Student Satisfaction Questionnaire

This questionnaire is designed for exploring students' opinions towards learning English through and Adaptive Learning Systems. It consists of two parts. Part A contains 20 statements in four categories assessing students' satisfaction Part B comprises four open-ended questions

Part A: Likert Scale Questions

For the following statements, please indicate your level of agreement using the following scale:

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

Statements	**Level of Agreement**				
Category 1: Effectiveness of the Adaptive Learning System					
1. The adaptive learning system effectively customized the learning content according to my academic needs.					
2. The feedback provided by the adaptive learning system was timely and helped me improve my academic writing skills.					
3. The adaptive learning system enhanced my engagement and motivation to learn.					
4. I found the adaptive learning system useful in identifying and addressing my learning gaps.					

Statements	Level of Agreement				
5. The personalized learning pathways created by the adaptive learning system contributed significantly to my learning experience.					
Category 2: Course Content and Materials					
6. The course materials were relevant and applicable to my academic and professional goals.					
7. The reading and writing assignments challenged me and helped improve my skills.					
8. The course provided a wide range of resources (e.g., articles, videos) that supported my learning.					
9. The content delivered through the adaptive learning system was clear and understandable.					
10. The course materials encouraged me to think critically and analytically.					
Category 3: Instructor Support and Course Management					
11. The instructors were knowledgeable and provided constructive feedback.					
12. Instructor interactions within the adaptive learning system were effective and helpful.					
13. The course was well-organized, making it easy to follow the learning path.					
14. The instructors were responsive to my questions and concerns.					
15. The course management facilitated a supportive learning environment.					

Statements	Level of Agreement				
Category 4: Overall Satisfaction and Recommendation					
16. I am satisfied with the overall quality of the academic reading and writing course.					
17. The course met my expectations in terms of learning outcomes and experiences.					
18. I would recommend this course to other students looking to improve their academic writing skills.					
19. This course has made me more confident in my academic writing abilities.					
20. I would be interested in taking more courses that use an adaptive learning system based on my experience in this course.					

Part B: Open-ended Questions

1. What aspects of the course utilizing the adaptive learning system did you find most beneficial for your learning? Please provide specific examples.

_____

2. Were there any challenges or difficulties you encountered while using the adaptive learning system? If so, please describe them.

_____

3. How do you think the course could be improved to better meet your learning needs?

_____

4. Please provide any additional comments or suggestions you have about the course or the adaptive learning system.

*** Thank you for completing this questionnaire! Your feedback is crucial in helping us improve our courses and the learning experience for future students. ***

Appendix D

Tool Evaluation Results

Tool 1: Academic Writing Competency Tests

Instructions: This test consists of two main parts: a writing task and a series of questions focused on understanding academic writing principles. Please read each instruction carefully and respond to the best of your ability.

Part A: Writing Task

You are given a topic to write an academic essay. Your essay should demonstrate your ability to construct a well-organized, clear, and coherent argument that is well-supported by evidence.

Topic: "The impact of technology on education in the 21st century."

Guidelines:

- Your essay should be between 300-400 words.
- Clearly state your thesis in the introduction.
- Develop your argument in the body with supporting evidence.
- Conclude by summarizing your main points and restating the significance of your argument.

Evaluation Criteria:

Your responses will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- **Clarity and Structure (10 marks):** Organization of ideas, clarity of argument, and logical flow.
- **Content and Understanding (10 marks):** Demonstrated understanding of the academic writing principles and the ability to apply them effectively.

- **Critical Thinking and Argumentation (10 marks):** Quality of argumentation, use of evidence, and critical analysis.
- **Mechanics and Style (10 marks):** Grammar, punctuation, and usage of academic language.

Experts' comments on Part A: Writing Task

Expert 1: Academic Writing Competency Tests are appropriate for undergraduates, in my view, on the basis of the research methodology. In assessing students' progress in English academic writing, the criteria and guidelines for the writing task are adequate.

Expert 2: - The topic is short, concise, and the question is straight to the point, not minuscule.

- Guidelines and criteria are clear and appropriate.

Expert 3: -

Part B: Understanding Academic Writing

Questions	IOC			Mean
	Expert 1	Expert 2	Expert 3	
Question 1	1	1	1	1.00
Question 2	1	1	1	1.00
Question 3	1	1	1	1.00
Question 4	1	1	1	1.00
Question 5	1	1	1	1.00
Question 6	1	1	1	1.00
Question 7	1	1	1	1.00
Question 8	1	1	1	1.00
Question 9	1	1	1	1.00
Question 10	1	1	1	1.00
Question 11	1	1	1	1.00
Question 12	1	1	1	1.00
Question 13	1	1	1	1.00
Question 14	1	1	1	1.00
Question 15	1	1	1	1.00

Questions	IOC			Mean
	Expert 1	Expert 2	Expert 3	
Question 16	1	1	1	1.00
Question 17	1	1	1	1.00
Question 18	1	1	1	1.00
Question 19	1	1	1	1.00
Question 20	1	1	1	1.00
Question 21	1	1	1	1.00
Question 22	1	1	1	1.00
Question 23	1	1	1	1.00
Question 24	1	1	1	1.00
Question 25	1	1	1	1.00
Question 26	1	1	1	1.00
Question 27	1	1	1	1.00
Question 28	1	1	1	1.00
Question 29	1	1	1	1.00
Question 30	1	1	1	1.00
Question 31	1	1	1	1.00
Question 32	1	1	1	1.00
Question 33	1	1	1	1.00
Question 34	1	1	1	1.00
Question 35	1	1	1	1.00
Question 36	1	1	1	1.00
Question 37	1	1	1	1.00
Question 38	1	1	1	1.00
Question 39	1	1	1	1.00
Question 40	1	1	1	1.00
Question 41	1	1	1	1.00
Question 42	1	1	1	1.00
Question 43	1	1	1	1.00
Question 44	1	1	1	1.00

Questions	IOC			Mean
	Expert 1	Expert 2	Expert 3	
Question 45	1	1	1	1.00
Question 46	1	1	1	1.00
Question 47	1	1	1	1.00
Question 48	1	1	1	1.00
Question 49	1	1	1	1.00
Question 50	1	1	1	1.00
Question 51	1	1	1	1.00
Question 52	1	1	1	1.00
Question 53	1	1	1	1.00
Question 54	1	1	1	1.00
Question 55	1	1	1	1.00
Question 56	1	1	1	1.00
Question 57	1	1	1	1.00
Question 58	1	1	1	1.00
Question 59	1	1	1	1.00
Question 60	1	1	1	1.00
Question 61	1	1	1	1.00
Question 62	1	1	1	1.00
Question 63	1	1	1	1.00
Question 64	1	1	1	1.00
Question 65	1	1	1	1.00
Question 66	1	1	1	1.00
Question 67	1	1	1	1.00
Question 68	1	1	1	1.00
Question 69	1	1	1	1.00
Question 70	1	1	1	1.00
Question 71	1	1	1	1.00
Question 72	1	1	1	1.00
Question 73	1	1	1	1.00

Questions	IOC			Mean
	Expert 1	Expert 2	Expert 3	
Question 74	1	1	1	1.00
Question 75	1	1	1	1.00
Question 76	1	1	1	1.00
Question 77	1	1	1	1.00
Question 78	1	1	1	1.00
Question 79	1	1	1	1.00
Question 80	1	1	1	1.00

Experts' comments on Part B: Understanding Academic Writing:

Expert 1: On my review of the research objectives, I identified that this academic English testing was particularly appropriate for assessing the academic writing development of students. This test was developed in accordance with Test System Design (TSD), which was informed by modules 1 through 8. Its purpose was to assess students' learning outcomes in accordance with the revised Bloom's Taxonomy By effectively administering this assessment, students' metacognitive system and cognitive domains pertaining to English academic writing could be evaluated.

Expert 2: -

Expert 3: This test is useful for assessing students' writing skills.

Tool 2: Adaptive Learning Lesson Evaluation Form

Please put a tick (✓) in the table according to the following criteria.

4 means Excellent 3 means Good

2 means Average 1 means Fairly poor and needed revision

Statements	Scores				Comments
	1	2	3	4	
Category 1: Content Quality					
1. ***Relevance*****:** The extent to which the content meets the learning objectives and relevance to the curriculum.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
2. ***Accuracy***: Evaluation of factual accuracy, conceptual clarity, and the presence of up-to-date information.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
3. ***Depth***: The adequacy of the content depth for the target audience, ensuring it challenges but does not overwhelm learners.	4	4	3	3.67	Excellent
4. ***Diversity of Materials***: The variety and appropriateness of materials used (text, quizzes, interactive exercises) to address different learning styles.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
5. ***Integration of Feedback***: The effectiveness of immediate feedback provided during and after exercises and quizzes in reinforcing learning.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent

Statements	Scores				Comments
	1	2	3	4	
Category 2: Pedagogical Effectiveness					
6. ***Engagement Strategies***: The effectiveness of strategies employed to engage students actively with the material.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
7. ***Learning Pathways***: The clarity and logic of the learning pathways, including the sequence of lessons and activities.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
8. ***Assessment Alignment***: The alignment of quizzes and exercises with learning objectives and content covered.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
9. ***Instructional Support***: The adequacy of instructions and guidance provided to students throughout the learning modules.	4	3	4	3.67	Excellent
10. ***Adaptive Learning Features***: The effectiveness of adaptive learning features in personalizing the learning experience based on student performance.	4	4	3	3.67	Excellent
Category 3: Technical Quality					
11. ***Ease of Navigation***: The user-friendliness of navigating through the lesson and modules.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
12. ***Accessibility***: Compliance with accessibility standards to	4	4	3	3.67	Excellent

Statements	Scores				Comments
	1	2	3	4	
accommodate diverse learners, including those with disabilities.					
13. ***Interactivity***: The quality and functionality of interactive elements (e.g., drag-and-drop, fill-in-the-blank) in engaging students.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
14. ***Technical Performance***: The reliability of the platform, including load times, absence of glitches, and overall smooth operation.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
15. ***Integration with LMS***: The ease of integrating or embedding the lesson into various Learning Management Systems (LMS).	4	3	4	3.67	Excellent
Category 4: Overall Learning Experience					
16. ***Learner Motivation***: The extent to which the lesson motivates learners to engage deeply with the content.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
17. ***Cognitive Challenge***: The adequacy of the lesson in challenging learners cognitively, promoting critical thinking and problem-solving.	4	4	3	3.67	Excellent
18. ***Feedback Mechanisms***: The quality and timeliness of feedback mechanisms to support student learning and improvement.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent

Statements	Scores				Comments
	1	2	3	4	
19. ***Learner Autonomy***: The support for learner autonomy, including choices in learning paths, pace, and exploration.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent
20. ***Satisfaction and Usability***: Learner satisfaction with the overall usability of the lesson and willingness to recommend it to peers.	4	4	4	4.00	Excellent

Experts' comments:

Expert 1: By aligning this adaptive learning lesson with research objectives and goals, it is possible to enhance students' English academic writing in accordance with the learning development framework, which encompasses technical quality, pedagogical effectiveness, content quality, and overall learning experience.

Expert 2: -

Expert 3: The lessons are interesting

Tool 3: Student Satisfaction Questionnaire

Expert's comments on the Student Satisfaction Questionnaire:

Expert 1: This questionnaire, which was developed in consideration of the objectives and content of adaptive learning courses, was ideal for rating students' satisfaction with the learning system. Moreover, this assessment might evaluate the progress of research in areas such as adaptive learning system effectiveness, among others. Part B, which consisted of open-ended inquiries, was appropriately structured to enable a successful in-depth interview.
Expert 2: -
Expert 3: The questions in the questionnaire can be used to ask participants' opinions.